



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 28101 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Approving plans and specifications for public improvements necessary for the project known as Southwest Path Lighting. (5th, 10th, 11th, and 13th AD)

Type: Resolution **Status:** Passed

File created: 10/23/2012 **In control:** COMMON COUNCIL

On agenda: 10/30/2012 **Final action:** 12/11/2012

Enactment date: 12/12/2012 **Enactment #:** RES-12-00929

Title: Approving plans and specifications for public improvements necessary for the project known as Southwest Path Lighting. (5th, 10th, 11th, and 13th AD)

Sponsors: Brian L. Solomon, Mark Clear, Satya V. Rhodes-Conway, Jill Johnson, Joseph R. Clausius, Matthew J. Phair, Marsha A. Rummel

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. A Arntsen comment.pdf, 2. Adelina Pudelwitts comments.pdf, 3. Alan Ng comment, 4. Alder Bidar-Sielaff comment.pdf, 5. beckett comment.pdf, 6. Belinda Prael comment, 7. Bill Guetschow comments.pdf, 8. Bob Alt comment, 9. Carolyn Senty comment, 10. Chuck F comment.pdf, 11. Culbert_Lyon comments.pdf, 12. Daniel Den Hartog comment, 13. David Long comment.pdf, 14. David R Sparer.pdf, 15. diehl comment.pdf, 16. Don Thompson comment, 17. Donna Wilson comments.pdf, 18. Facebook online pole taken NOV 11.pdf, 19. George Perkins comment, 20. Henry Cucci comment, 21. Jane Landretti comment.pdf, 22. Janet Murphy comment, 23. Jason Boynton comments.pdf, 24. Jeanne Howard comment, 25. Jeff Spitzer-Resnick comment, 26. John & April Hoffman.pdf, 27. John Perkins comment.pdf, 28. ken golden comment.pdf, 29. Kierstin Kloeckner comment.pdf, 30. Letter to PBMVC & BPW SW Path FAQs.pdf, 31. Liebl comment.pdf, 32. Mark Knaebe comment.pdf, 33. Matt LoConte comment.pdf, 34. Michael Fox comment.pdf, 35. Michael O'Keeffe comment.pdf, 36. Nettleton Comment.pdf, 37. Percy Mather comment.pdf, 38. Preston Austin comments.pdf, 39. Rebecca Cole comment, 40. robinson comment.pdf, 41. Ronnie Hess comments.pdf, 42. Rouse comment.pdf, 43. sandstrom comment.pdf, 44. Scott Lutz comment, 45. seidman comment.pdf, 46. Shawn Schey.pdf, 47. Shiva comment.pdf, 48. Steve Jacobson comment.pdf, 49. Steve Kotajarvi comment.pdf, 50. Susan Clark comment.pdf, 51. SW Path Alliance Petition.pdf, 52. SW Path Lighting PWS Letter to PBMVC-PWC.pdf, 53. SW Path Proposal and WisDOT standards.pdf, 54. Tom_Kathy Huber comments.pdf, 55. Wm Knudson comment, 56. Zac Albrecht-Heiks.pdf, 57. John Jacobs comments.pdf, 58. BPW registrations.pdf, 59. Andrew Potts comment.pdf, 60. Shawn Schey comment.pdf, 61. SWPathLighting Presentation to BPW.pdf, 62. Susan Schmitz comment.pdf, 63. Perry Sandstrom comments.pdf, 64. Laura Brown Comment.pdf, 65. Steve Arnold comment.pdf, 66. Perry Sandstrom comments 12.10.12.pdf, 67. Registration Forms - Common Council Meeting 12-11-12.pdf, 68. Southwest Multi Use Path Documents - Common Council Meeting 12-11-12.pdf, 69. Letter from D Liebl - Common Council Meeting 12-11-12.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/11/2012	1	COMMON COUNCIL	Refer	Fail
12/11/2012	1	COMMON COUNCIL	Adopt the Following Amendment(s)	Fail
12/11/2012	1	COMMON COUNCIL	Adopt the Following Amendment(s)	Fail
12/11/2012	1	COMMON COUNCIL	Adopt	Pass
12/10/2012	1	PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE/MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION (ended 6/2018)	Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval	Pass

11/28/2012	1	BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS	RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL TO ADOPT - REPORT OF OFFICER	Pass
11/28/2012	1	BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS	RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL TO ADOPT - REPORT OF OFFICER	Pass
11/4/2012	1	BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS	Referred	
10/30/2012	1	COMMON COUNCIL	Referred	Pass
10/23/2012	1	Traffic Engineering Division	Referred for Introduction	

Fiscal Note

Estimated Cost: \$275,000.

Funds are available in Acct. No. CS53 58545 810375 00 53W1245 "Bikeways".

Title

Approving plans and specifications for public improvements necessary for the project known as Southwest Path Lighting. (5th, 10th, 11th, and 13th AD)

Body

Whereas the City of Madison's original design for the Southwest Path included continuous path lighting only for the portion south of Beltline Highway, with lighting only in certain critical locations north of the Beltline; and

Whereas the original project included continuous conduit for lighting north of the Beltline for the purpose of evaluating at a future date based on path usage and community input; and

Whereas the path has become one of the most heavily-used paths in the City for transportation (as well as recreation) purposes, with over 3,000 users per day in the segment under consideration; and

Whereas the City and City elected officials have received numerous requests over the intervening years since construction of the path in 2001 for path lighting to support the safety and the perception of security from bicyclists and pedestrians currently using the path as well as potential path users; and

Whereas City Engineering and Traffic Engineering have conducted an extensive process of public information and consultation on the proposed lighting which included: installation of test fixtures for public information in Summer 2011; a well-publicized and attended public information meeting sponsored by the 10th District Alder in December of 2011; creation of a special City website in 2011 to provide information to the public and to receive and share comments from the public; an opinion survey of path users conducted by Traffic Engineering in the summer of 2012; and a second well-attended public information meeting in July of 2012, again sponsored by the Alder; and

Whereas Traffic Engineering responded to community concerns by developing a custom lighting fixture specific for this project, which does a superior job of cutting off undesired lighting behind the fixtures where it could affect private property, and which has a warmer color and lower overall intensity than the original test fixtures; and

Whereas numerous comments have been received during and following the public meetings, including several hundred comments to date received on the website, including many in support and many in opposition; and

Whereas after careful consideration of all the comments received and after consideration of the feasibility of possible alternate ideas, City Engineering and Traffic Engineering have concluded that additional lighting of the path is desirable to enhance safety and promote path usage, and that there are no practical alternatives to the proposed lighting plan which would significantly address the remaining concerns of opponents; and

Whereas the City of Madison proposes to install lighting along the Southwest Path from north of the West Beltline Highway to Breese Terrace in accordance with plans and details developed by Traffic Engineering;

BE IT RESOLVED,

- 1) That the plans and specifications for Southwest Path Lighting be and are hereby approved, and
- 2) That such specifications, per community request, include the test fixtures fitted with louvers, warm high-efficiency LEDs, be dark sky compliant (full cut-off), and provide focused, direct lighting, and
- 3) That hours of operation, per community request, will be evaluated over time but the lights will initially not burn throughout the night, and
- 4) That City forces are hereby authorized to undertake the work.