

City of Madison

Meeting Minutes - Approved

BODY-WORN CAMERA FEASIBILITY REVIEW COMMITTEE

 5:30 PM	Via Virtual Meeting
How can policymakers mitigate unintended consequences?	
Who does not have a voice at the table?	
Consider: Who benefits? Who is burdened?	

Note: Quorum of the Common Council may be present at this meeting. *You must register before your item is considered by the Work Group.

Watch a recording of the 7/2/2020 meeting here: https://media.cityofmadison.com/Mediasite/Showcase/madison-city-channel/Presentation/13 61773389a640b39ad979d60d23579a1d

1. CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 PM.

Others present: City Attorney Mike Haas, Boyce Johnson (IT staff), Captain Brian Austin (MPD)

Watch a recording of the meeting here: https://media.cityofmadison.com/Mediasite/Showcase/madison-city-channel/Pr esentation/1361773389a640b39ad979d60d23579a1d

- Present: 8 Charles Myadze; Luke B. Schieve; Veronica Figueroa; Tom Brown; Keith A. Findley; Gregory W. Gelembiuk; Kim M. Jorgensen and Matthew W. Braunginn
- **Excused:** 1 Jacquelyn Hunt

2. DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS

None

3. Election of Chair

The committee elected Keith Findley and Tom Brown as Co-Chairs. Passed unanimously.

4. <u>61167</u> Public Comment for 7/2/2020 meeting

No registrants

DISCUSSION ITEMS

5. Establishing Rules or Special Agenda Language

Greg Gelembuik moved and Luke Schieve seconded, to adopt the following special rules:

1. To allow the Co-Chairs to make motions and vote. The motion to allow the Co-Chairs to vote and make motions passed unanimously.

2) To suspend the rules to allow community members to participate in discussion.

The motion was amended by Kim Jorgenson, seconded by veronica Figueroa, to include a 3 minute time limit for public participation, which can be extended by the committee. The addition of the amendment passed by a 3 (approve) 1 (opposed) -3 (abstain) voice vote. The motion, as amended, was passed by unanimous voice vote.

6. Setting Meeting Schedule

The committee agreed on a bi-weekly meeting schedule: every other Thursday from 5:30-7:30PM.

7. Process for recording/requesting IT support

Boyce Johnson from IT explained the difference between Type 1 and Type 2 meetings and informed the committee about the process for requesting Type 1 IT support if they think a particular meeting will garner a high volume of registrants. He explained that the ability of the IT media team to cover a meeting depends on their overall schedule and requested at least 1-2 weeks of advance notice if the committee would like to request their support. IT staff also explained the new process of sending the meeting links when members of the public register, as well as the new "watch now" button on the Clerk's website that allows people to request the meeting link in order to observe. Co-Chair Findley requested that the agenda explain how registrants can participate. Attorney Haas confirmed that anyone who would like to speak when the committee suspends the rules should register or submit their full name on the list of observers. If a person wants to speak but has not done either of those procedures, the co-chairs will ask them to register so there is a public record of at least their name.

8. <u>61165</u> Develop a work plan and timeline

Co-Chair Findley identified the following topics for the work plan:
1) Does the committee want to recommend adoption of body-cams? In pilot or full implementation form? Do we want perspectives from eh community? Do we want to review the scholarly research for effects and effectiveness?
2) If yes, what should the policy be? Camera on, off, automatic, discretion, when to not use, how long to retain recordings, storage, public disclosure? Find policies from other police departments.

3) Technology options, costs, pros and cons

Captain Brian Austin stated that he has access to model policies and has talked with vendors.

Greg Gelembuik agrees with Findley, mentions an ACLU model policy. Matthew Braunginn offers an additional question: Does the Committee hold a shared understanding of what problems the body-cams are supposed to address? Findley stated to put that question under his point #1 above. Luke Schieve emphasized that the report should be based on facts, science, and community input.

Kim Jorgenson requested that the committee receive a presentation from the previous body-cam committee to understand what their findings were and why. Veronica Figueroa mentioned that one key consideration was the impact on immigrants. She recommends: 1) listen to community voices, 2) review the Fitchburg policy.

Charles Myadze raised the issue of trust. Co-Chair Brown stated the following concerns: 1) trust, 2) accountability, 3) privacy, and mentioned that there was someone from the City IT department at the meetings of the previous body-cam committee.

The committee agreed on items for the next meeting agenda.

9. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Figueroa, seconded by Schieve, to Adjourn. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

61164 Documents for the Body-Worn Camera Feasibility Review Committee