

## **City of Madison**

# **Meeting Minutes - Approved** LANDMARKS COMMISSION

Consider: Who benefits? Who is burdened? Who does not have a voice at the table? How can policymakers mitigate unintended consequences?		
Monday, September 14, 2020	5:00 PM	Virtual Meeting

Some or all members of the Landmarks Commission, applicants, or members of the public participated in the meeting remotely by teleconference or videoconference.

## CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Anna Andrzejewski, Chair, called the meeting to order at 5:00 pm

Present:	6 -	Arvina Martin; David W.J. McLean; Maurice D. Taylor; Anna Andrzejewski;
		Richard B. Arnesen and Katherine N. Kaliszewski
Excused:	1 -	Elizabeth Banks

Martin arrived at 5:17 pm

#### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A motion was made by McLean, seconded by Arnesen, to Approve the August 17, 2020 Minutes. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

1. 60576 Landmarks Commission Public Comment Period

None

#### **DISCLOSURES AND RECUSALS**

Kaliszewski disclosed that she attended a neighborhood meeting as a member of the public regarding the demolition of 7601 Mineral Point Road (item #5). McLean disclosed that he works at UW-Madison in the Physical Plant, which is not directly related to the demolition of UW properties on Mineral Point Road, but he does work with Daniel Einstein related to historic buildings on campus (item #5). He disclosed that he also works with Elizabeth Cwik and they are friends (item #2).

## **PUBLIC HEARING - REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS**

2. 61614 825 Williamson St - Demolition of a Rear Addition and Exterior Alterations in the Third Lake Ridge Hist. Dist.; 6th Ald. Dist.

Elizabeth Cwik, registering in support and available to answer questions

## A motion was made by McLean, seconded by Taylor, to table the item

until the applicant was present. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

A motion was made by Arnesen, seconded by McLean, to Approve the request for the Certificate of Appropriateness. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

3. <u>62133</u> Amendment to Landmarks Commission Policy Manual

A motion was made by Martin, seconded by Arnesen, to Refer the item to a future meeting after the proposed amendments have been presented at the tribal meeting. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

#### **REGULAR BUSINESS**

4. <u>58737</u> Secretary's Report - 2020

Bailey presented the proposed ordinance language and explained that if the commission is okay with the changes, it will be formally introduced to the Common Council. In section 41.06(3), she suggested specifying that public notice will be provided by mail, similar to other ordinance sections. In section 41.17(5), she proposed they add "approve with conditions" as an option in the review process. She explained that as part of the Policy Manual, the commission can introduce conditions in order to make a project meet the standards, and she proposed it be part of the ordinance as well. She said that the proposed language is similar to what Plan Commission uses. She also proposed adding a section about meeting the conditions of approval. She said that once a Certificate of Appropriateness is issued, it expires after two years, but the ordinance doesn't discuss the time period from getting approval with conditions to meeting those conditions, so there is no time period after which that approval is closed out. She suggested they set a one year period for meeting the conditions of approval.

Andrzejewski asked if they should handle minor ordinance changes now or wait for the Landmarks Ordinance Review Committee (LORC) to complete their work. Bailey said the LORC is reviewing the ordinance sections for the historic districts, so this type of ordinance language clean-up is not in their purview. Andrzejewski asked if they should send all of the ordinance amendments to Common Council at once or incrementally. Bailey said that as they see things that need updates, they can send things incrementally.

McLean asked if the language in 41.17(5) removes the possibility of referring a project, especially within a 60-day period. Bailey said that it does not; Plan Commission's language does not specify referral, but they do have the option to place on file without prejudice which is something Landmarks Commission typically does not do. McLean said that the commission often refers projects to give applicants the chance to make revisions, and he was concerned about the 60-day timeline because he didn't want to lose that option. He said that he was thinking of the applicants and wouldn't want to deny a project that could potentially be approved and have the applicants start the process over again.

## 5. <u>58738</u> Buildings Proposed for Demolition - 2020

A motion was made by Arnesen, seconded by McLean, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the buildings at 5201 Old Middleton Road, 4606 Hammersley Road, and 5098 Voges Road have no known historic value. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

A motion was made by Kaliszewski, seconded by Martin, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the buildings at 6101 Mineral Point Road and 5707 Mineral Point Road have historic value related to the Madison's agricultural history and scientific history, and the building at 7601 Mineral Point Road has historic value related to the vernacular context of Madison's built environment, but the buildings themselves are not historically, architecturally, or culturally significant. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

A motion was made by Martin, seconded by Arnesen, to recommend to the Plan Commission that although the buildings at 803 South Shore Drive and 2012 Waunona Way have no known historic value, the sites are culturally significant and warrant careful consideration during redevelopment. The Landmarks Commission strongly recommends consultation with the Ho-Chunk Nation Tribal Preservation Office. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

#### ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by McLean, seconded by Arnesen, to Adjourn at 6:20 pm. The motion passed by voice vote/other.