PREPARED FOR THE LANDMARKS COMMISSION

Project Name & Address: 167 N Prospect Avenue

Application Type(s): Certificate of Appropriateness for exterior alterations in the University Heights

historic district

Legistar File ID # 63898

Prepared By: Heather Bailey, Preservation Planner, Planning Division

Date Prepared: February 9, 2021

Summary

Project Applicant/Contact: Aaron Gary and Jennifer Nashold

Requested Action: The Applicant is requesting that the Landmarks Commission approve a Certificate

of Appropriateness for the repair of the foundation.

Background Information

Parcel Location/Information: The subject site is located in the University Heights Historic District.

Relevant State Statute Section:

Wisc SS 62.23(7)(em)2m. In the repair or replacement of a property that is designated as a historic landmark or included within a historic district or neighborhood conservation district under this paragraph, a city shall allow an owner to use materials that are similar in design, color, scale, architectural appearance, and other visual qualities.

Relevant Ordinance Sections:

41.24 UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS HISTORIC DISTRICT.

- (5) Standards for the Review of Exterior Alterations and Repairs in TR-C2, TR-C3, and TR-C4, Zoning Districts.
 - (a) Height. No alterations shall be higher than the existing structure; however, if the existing structure is already a nonconforming one, alteration shall be made thereto except in accordance with Section 28.192. Roof alterations resulting in an increased structure volume are prohibited unless they meet the requirements in Sec. 41.24(4)(a)5. and are permitted under Chapter 28, or approved as a variance pursuant to Sec. 28.184 or approved as a conditional use or as part of a planned residential development.
 - (b) Second Exit Platforms and Fire Escapes. Second exit platforms and fire escapes shall be invisible from the street, wherever possible, and shall be of a plain and unobtrusive design in all cases. In instances where an automatic combustion products detection and alarm system is permitted as an alternative to second exits, use of such a system shall be mandatory.
 - (c) Repairs. Materials used in exterior repairs shall duplicate the original building materials in texture and appearance, unless the Landmarks Commission approves duplication of the existing building materials where the existing building materials differ from the original. Repairs using materials that exactly duplicate the original in composition are encouraged.

Legistar File ID #63898 167 N Prospect Avenue February 15, 2021 Page **2** of **4**

(i)

Roof Material.

- (d) Restoration. Projects that will restore the appearance of a structure to its original appearance are encouraged and will be approved by the Landmarks Commission if such projects are documented by photographs, architectural or archeological research or other suitable evidence.
- (e) Re-Siding. Re-siding with aluminum or vinyl that replaces or covers clapboards or nonoriginal siding on structures originally sided with clapboards will be approved by the Landmarks Commission provided that the new siding imitates the width of the original clapboard siding to within one (1) inch and provided further that all architectural details including, but not limited to, window trim, wood cornices and ornament either remain uncovered or are duplicated exactly in appearance. Where more than one layer of siding exists on the structure, all layers except the first must be removed before new siding is applied. If insulation is applied under the new siding, all trim must be built up so that it projects from the new siding to the same extent it did with the original siding.
- (f) Alterations Visible from the Street and Alterations to Street Facades. Alterations visible from the street, including alterations to the top of structures, and alterations to street facades shall be compatible with the existing structure in architectural design, scale, color, texture, proportion and rhythm of solids to voids and proportion of widths to heights of doors and windows. Materials used in such alterations shall duplicate in texture and appearance, and architectural details used therein shall duplicate in design, the materials and details used in the original construction of the existing structure or of other structures in University Heights of similar materials, age and architectural style, unless the Landmarks Commission approves duplication of the texture and appearance of materials and the design of architectural details used in the existing structure where the existing building materials and architectural details differ from the original. Alterations that exactly duplicate the original materials in composition are encouraged. Alterations that destroy significant architectural features are prohibited. Side alterations shall not detract from the design composition of the original facade.
- (g) Additions and Exterior Alterations Not Visible from the Street. Additions and exterior alterations that are not visible from any streets contiguous to the lot lines upon which the structure is located will be approved by the Landmarks Commission if their design is compatible with the scale of the existing structure and, further, if the materials used are compatible with the existing materials in texture, color and architectural details. Additions and alterations shall harmonize with the architectural design of the structure rather than contrast with it.
- (h) Roof Shape. The roof shape of the front of a structure shall not be altered except to restore it to the original documentable appearance or to add a dormer or dormers in a location and shape compatible with the architectural design of the structure and similar in location and shape to original dormers on structures of the same vintage and style within the district. Alterations of the roof shape of the sides or back of a structure shall be visually compatible with the architectural design of the existing structure.
 - 1. If the existing roof is tile, slate or other material that is original to the structure and/or contributes to its historic character, all repairs thereto shall be made using the same materials. In addition, in all cases any such roof must be repaired rather than replaced, unless the documented cost of repair exceeds the documented cost of reroofing with a substitute material that approximates the appearance of the original roofing material as closely as possible, in which case re-roofing with a material that approximates the appearance of the original roofing material as closely as possible will be approved by the Landmarks Commission.

Legistar File ID #63898 167 N Prospect Avenue February 15, 2021 Page **3** of **4**

- 2. If the existing roofing material is asphalt shingles, sawn wood shingles or a nonhistoric material such as fiberglass, all repairs shall match in appearance the existing roof material; however, if any such roof is covered or replaced, re-roofing must be done using rectangular sawn wood shingles or rectangular shingles that are similar in width, thickness and apparent length to sawn wood shingles, for example, 3-in-1 tab asphalt shingles. Modern style shingles, such as thick wood shakes, Dutch lap, French method and interlock shingles, that are incompatible with the historic character of the district are prohibited.
- 3. Rolled roofing, tar and gravel and other similar roofing materials are prohibited except that such materials may be used on flat or slightly sloped roofs which are not visible from the ground.

Analysis and Conclusion

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to repair the sandstone foundation. Approximately 20 years ago, a cementitious covering was added to the foundation, which has created a situation where hydrostatic pressure is damaging the stone. Sandstone is very porous and needs to be able to breathe to allow water to evaporate.

The Queen Anne-style house was constructed in 1899 and was originally the home of Edward Rose Maurer. Maurer was a professor of Mechanical Engineering at UW, chair of the Department of Mechanics from 1895-1935, and the Society for the Promotion of Engineering Education awarded him the Benjamin Garver Lamme Award in 1934. The building is covered in wood cladding and rests on a sandstone foundation.

Per staff discussions with the applicant, the project team will remove the cementitious covering using hand tools. For stones that are badly deteriorated, they will be removed and flipped so that the undamaged interior moved to the outside. The work will be completed with repointing using a mortar appropriate to the soft and porous sandstone.

A discussion of the relevant ordinance sections follows:

41.24 UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS HISTORIC DISTRICT.

- (5) Standards for the Review of Exterior Alterations and Repairs in TR-C2, TR-C3, and TR-C4, Zoning Districts.
 - (a) Height. N/A
 - (b) Second Exit Platforms and Fire Escapes. N/A
 - (c) Repairs. This work will repair the sandstone foundation and prevent further deterioration caused by an inappropriate application of a cementitious coating to the exterior of the foundation walls. The foundation will be revealed again, any stones that are badly deteriorated will be reoriented to expose the other side of the stone. A soft mortar that will compensate for the permeability of the stone will be used to repoint the foundation.
 - (d) Restoration. This work will effectively restore the look of the foundation by re-exposing the historic materials located underneath the cementitious skim coat.
 - (e) Re-Siding. N/A
 - (f) Alterations Visible from the Street and Alterations to Street Facades. The alteration will be visible from the street and the work will re-expose historic materials and replicate the historic mortar.
 - (g) Additions and Exterior Alterations Not Visible from the Street. Some of the foundation areas are not visible from the street, but will also be exposing historic materials and

Legistar File ID #63898 167 N Prospect Avenue February 15, 2021 Page **4** of **4**

returning the foundation to its historic appearance, which is complementary to the character of the historic building.

- (h) Roof Shape. N/A
- (i) Roof Material. N/A

Recommendation

Staff believes that the standards for granting a Certificate of Appropriateness are met and recommends that the Landmarks Commission approve the request with the following condition:

1. Final mortar specifications be approved by staff