

**From:** [Frances Ingebritson](#)  
**To:** [Heiser-Ertel, Lauren](#)  
**Subject:** Correction of 59517\_\_Public Comments  
**Date:** Saturday, October 31, 2020 5:51:50 PM

---

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hi Lauren,

I don't believe that I said that there should be a specific standard to preserve **non-contributing buildings** in Mansion Hill (see below). I might have commented that I would like to see the The Highlander at 121 W Gilman saved because it is affordable housing with an elevator so it could provide housing for seniors. Seniors would have easy access to the free event at Overture and the Senior Center is just blocks away. I would appreciate it if you would correct the citation by deleting "**non-contributing and**".

There are also 2 apartment buildings from the 60's at the end of N Carroll St. They provide affordable housing. If the owners wanted to demolish them and rebuild to the same footprint, height and gross volume that would be fine if the exterior materials were compatible with surrounding contributing buildings.

Thank you.

Franny Ingebritson

Ingebritson said that the main concern of those who live in Mansion Hill is the demolition of contributing buildings and redevelopment of those sites. She shared a chart that she made, which notes the construction dates of buildings in the district, and said that Mansion Hill can't stand to lose many more buildings. She said that Mansion Hill is a walkable neighborhood with a stunning collection of different types of architecture, including mansions and vernacular homes. **She said that she would like a specific standard that preserves non-contributing and contributing buildings, otherwise they will see the end of a historic district.**