## URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPLICATION

City of Madison
Planning Division
Madison Municipal Building, Suite 017
215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
P.O. Box 2985

Madison, WI 53701-2985
(608) 266-4635


Complete all sections of this application, including the desired meeting date and the action requested.

If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate formats or other accommodations to access these forms, please call the phone number above immediately.
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Paid
Date received
Received by
Aldermanic District
Zoning District ___
Urban Design District
Submittal reviewed by
Legistar \#

## 1. Project Information

Address: 8355 Mansion Hill Avenue, Madison, WI 53593
Title:

$$
\text { Continental } 479 \text { Fund LLC (Springs at Pleasant View) }
$$

2. Application Type (check all that apply) and Requested Date

UDC meeting date requested
$\square$ New development
$\square$ Alteration to an existing or previously-approved development
$\square$ Informational $\square$ Initial approval

- Final approval

3. Project Type
$\square$ Project in an Urban Design District
$\square$ Project in the Downtown Core District (DC), Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX), or Mixed-Use Center District (MXC)
$\square$ Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC), Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC)
$\square \quad$ Planned Development (PD)
$\square$ General Development Plan (GDP)
$\square$ Specific Implementation Plan (SIP)
■ Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building Complex
4. Applicant, Agent, and Property Owner Information

| Applicant name Continental 479 Fund LLC | Company Continental 479 Fund LLC |
| :---: | :---: |
| Street address W134 N8675 Executive Pkwy | City/State/Zip Menomonee Falls, WI 53051Email N/A |
| Telephone (262) 502-5500 |  |
| Project contact person Robert McCaigue | Company Continental Properties Company, Inc. |
| Street address W134 N8675 Executive Pkwy | City/State/Zip Menomonee Falls, WI 53051 |
| Telephone (262) 502-5500 | Email rmccaigue@cproperties.com |

Property owner (if not applicant) Randall Theis Trust; Laverne Theis Trust

| Street address | 9101 Mineral Point Rd. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Telephone |  |

Street address
Telephone

```
City/State/Zip
Verona, WI 53593
```

Email rtheis2004@yahoo.com

## 5. Required Submittal Materials

Application Form
■ Letter of Intent

- If the project is within an Urban Design District, a summary of how the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required
- For signage applications, a summary of how the proposed signage is consistent with the applicable CDR or Signage Variance review criteria is required.
$\square$ Development plans (Refer to checklist on Page 4 for plan details)
Filing fee


## ■ Electronic Submittal*

Each submittal must include fourteen (14) $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$ collated paper copies. Landscape and Lighting plans (if required) must be full-sized and legible. Please refrain from using plastic covers or spiral binding.

Both the paper copies and electronic copies must be submitted prior to the application deadline before an application will be scheduled for a UDC meeting. Late materials will not be accepted. A completed application form is required for each UDC appearance.

For projects also requiring Plan Commission approval, applicants must also have submitted an accepted application for Plan Commission consideration prior to obtaining any formal action (initial or final approval) from the UDC. All plans must be legible when reduced.
*Electronic copies of all items submitted in hard copy are required. Individual PDF files of each item submitted should be compiled on a CD or flash drive, or submitted via email to udcapplications@cityofmadison.com. The email must include the project address, project name, and applicant name. Electronic submittals via file hosting services (such as Dropbox.com) are not allowed. Applicants who are unable to provide the materials electronically should contact the Planning Division at (608) 266-4635 for assistance.

## 6. Applicant Declarations

1. Prior to submitting this application, the applicant is required to discuss the proposed project with Urban Design Commission staff. This application was discussed with Janine Glaseser, Jeftroy Grger and Tim Paks on 1 1845:19
2. The applicant attests that all required materials are included in this submittal and understands that if any required information is not provided by the application deadline, the application will not be placed on an Urban Design Commission agenda for consideration.

Name of applicant Continemal 479 Fund uce
Relationship to property Demesper
Authorizing signature of property owner Xeplease see attached Agent Authorization Form Date $1127 / 9$

## 7. Application Filing Fees

Fees are required to be paid with the first application for either initial or final approval of a project, unless the project is part of the combined application process involving the Urban Design Commission in conjunction with Plan Commission and/or Common Council consideration. Make checks payable to City Treasurer. Credit cards may be used for application fees of less than $\$ 1,000$.

Please consult the schedule below for the appropriate fee for your request:
$\square \quad$ Urban Design Districts: $\$ 350$ (per $\$ 35.24(6)$ MGO).
$\square$ Minor Alteration in the Downtown Core District (DC) or Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX) : \$150 (per $\S 33.24(6)(b)$ MGO)
$\square$ Comprehensive Design Review: \$500
(per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(a) MGO)
$\square$ Minor Alteration to a Comprehensive Sign Plan: \$100 (per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(c) MGO)
$\square$ All other sign requests to the Urban Design Commission, including, but not limited to: appeals from the decisions of the Zoning Administrator, requests for signage variances (i.e. modifications of signage height, area, and setback), and additional sign code approvals: \$300 (per $\S 31.041$ (3)(d)(2) MGO)

A filing fee is not required for the following project applications if part of the combined application process involving both Urban Design Commission and Plan Commission:

- Project in the Downtown Core District (DC), Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX), or Mixed-Use Center District (MXC)
- Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC), Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC)
- Planned Development (PD): General Development Plan (GDP) and/or Specific Implementation Plan (SIP)
- Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building Complex


## Introduction

The City of Madison's Urban Design Commission (UDC) has been created to:

- Encourage and promote high quality in the design of new buildings, developments, remodeling, and additions so as to maintain and improve the established standards of property values within the City.
- Foster civic pride in the beauty and nobler assets of the City, and in all other ways possible assure a functionally efficient and visually attractive City in the future.


## Types of Approvals

There are three types of requests considered by the UDC:

- Informational Presentation. Applicants may, at their discretion, request to make an Informational Presentation to the UDC prior to seeking any approvals to obtain early feedback and direction before undertaking detailed design. Applicants should provide details on the context of the site, design concept, site and building plans, and other relevant information to help the UDC understand the proposal and provide feedback. (Does not apply to CDR's or Signage Variance requests)
- Initial Approval. Applicants may, at their discretion, request initial approval of a proposal by presenting preliminary design information. As part of their review, the Commission will provide feedback on the design information that should be addressed at Final Approval stage.
- Final Approval. Applicants may request Final Approval of a proposal by presenting all final project details. Recommendations or concerns expressed by the UDC in the initial approval must be addressed at this time.


## Presentations to the Commission

Primarily, the UDC is interested in the appearance and design quality of projects. Emphasis should be given to the site plan, landscape plan, lighting plan, building elevations, exterior building materials, color scheme, and graphics.

When presenting projects to the UDC, applicants must fill out a registration slip provided in the meeting room and present it to the Secretary. Presentations should generally be limited to 5 minutes or as extended by motion by consent of the Commission. The Commission will withhold questions until the end of the presentation.

Applicants are encouraged to consider the use of various graphic presentation material including a locator map, photographs, renderings/model, scale drawings of the proposal in context with adjacent buildings/uses/signs, etc., as may be deemed appropriate to describe the project and its surroundings. Graphics should be mounted on rigid boards so that they may be easily displayed. Applicants/presenters are responsible for all presentation materials, AV equipment and easels.

## URBAN DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PLANS CHECKLIST

The items listed below are minimal application requirements for the type of approval indicated. Please note that the UDC and/ or staff may require additional information in order to have a complete understanding of the project.

## 1. Informational Presentation

$\square \quad$ Locator Map
$\square$ Letter of Intent (If the project is within an Urban Design District, a summary of how the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required)
$\square \quad$ Contextual site information, including photographs and layout of adjacent buildings/structures
$\square$ Site Plan
$\square$ Two-dimensional (2D) images of proposed buildings or structures.

## Requirements for All Plan Sheets

1. Title block
2. Sheet number
3. North arrow
4. Scale, both written and graphic
5. Date
6. Fully dimensioned plans, scaled at $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$ or larger
** All plans must be legible, including the full-sized landscape and lighting plans (if required)

## 2. Initial Approval

Locator Map
Letter of Intent (If the project is within a Urban Design District, a summary of how the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required)
■ Contextual site information, including photographs and layout of adjacent buildings/ structures
Site Plan showing location of existing and proposed buildings, walks, drives, bike lanes, bike parking, and existing trees over $18^{\prime \prime}$ diameter
0 Landscape Plan and Plant List (must be legible)
B Building Elevations in both black \& white and color for all building sides (include material callouts)
$\square$ PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable)

Providing additional information beyond these minimums may generate a greater level of feedback from the Commission.

## 3. Final Approval

All the requirements of the Initial Approval (see above), plus:
Grading Plan
$\square$ Proposed Signage (if applicable)
园 Lighting Plan, including fixture cut sheets and photometrics plan (must be legible)
$\square$ Utility/HVAC equipment location and screening details (with a rooftop plan if roof-mounted)
$\square$ PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable)
$\square$ Samples of the exterior building materials (presented at the UDC meeting)
4. Comprehensive Design Review (CDR) and Variance Requests (Signage applications only)
$\square$ Locator Map
$\square$ Letter of Intent (a summary of how the proposed signage is consistent with the CDR or Signage Variance criteria is required)
$\square$ Contextual site information, including photographs of existing signage both on site and within proximity to the project site
$\square$ Site Plan showing the location of existing signage and proposed signage, dimensioned signage setbacks, sidewalks, driveways, and right-of-ways
$\square$ Proposed signage graphics (fully dimensioned, scaled drawings, including materials and colors, and night view)
$\square \quad$ Perspective renderings (emphasis on pedestrian/automobile scale viewsheds)
$\square$ Illustration of the proposed signage that meets Ch .31 , MGO compared to what is being requested.
$\square$ Graphic of the proposed signage as it relates to what the Ch. 31, MGO would permit

Continental 479 Fund LLC, and any of its affiliates, as agent for the property owner listed below, has approval to submit applications to the Township of Middleton, Dane County, the State of Wisconsin, or any governmental or quasi-governmental department, division, subdivision, council, commission, bureau or other similar entity for rezoning, site plan, subdivision plat, and other necessary development related approvals and permits.

Randall A. Theis Trust and
Theis Trust
Printed Name of Property Owner
3306 County Highway M
Address of Property
Parcel \#t: 0708-344-8502-0

Tax Identification \#

Randall A. Theis Trust dated May 16, 2016
By: $\qquad$
Randall A. Theis, Trustee
and

Theis Trust dated March 15, 2011


Joanne C. Theis, Trustee
$\frac{10 / 29 / 2019}{\text { Dated }}$




## Final UDC Approval Request - Springs at Pleasant View

Continental 479 Fund LLC ("Continental") received initial approval from UDC on $7 / 1 / 2020$. For final approval the commissioners requested detailed information regarding the landscaping selections and the retaining wall conditions. The information resubmitted in this package is the same information that was submitted for consideration at the $7 / 1 / 2020$, however, it is specifically focused on the landscaping design and the retaining wall condition as directed by the commissioners. The only new information is the added "retaining wall makeup" information and additional renderings showing specific landscape and retaining wall condition at select locations. It is understood that the site plan and architectural design was approved, and the commissioners had no further comment on those items.

## SITE \& RETAINING WALL \& LANDSCAPE CONDITIONS

As discussed, the overall site proposes significant grading challenges to any development that would occur. The northern property line of the subject site is approximately 90 feet higher than the southern property line. The northern propery line's existing elevation is 1120 ' while the southern corner is approximately 1030. In addition the neighbordhood plan's contemplated roadway layout plans for Mica Road to run due north creating a challenging grading conflict for any access off of Mica which is critical for any development due to life safety as well as convenience of use.

Continental's proposed plan was derived through multiple discussions with Staff, neighbors, UDC, and other stakeholders. It was determed that the proposed layout best allows resident views and experience, access and life safety to be considered, and all applicable engineering and development standards be satisfied.

In order to ensure a quality sense of place and site experience, it was determined that several site tiers of 6'-0" + tall retaining walls needed to be broken down in both scale and hardness. It was determined through discussions with Staff and UDC that smaller tiers across the site would lessen the walls to a more human scale. Further, the walls were to be softened with curated landscape design. That is accomplished through wall climbing ivy and an assortment of plant selections to vary the heights and condition at the top, middle, and base of the tiered walls. Below is an example of that plan condition showing the tiered walls and subsequent landscape design.


## SPRIDGS

Some additional information for consideration:

- Of the 630 linear feet of the (2) highest "tiers" only $40 \%$ of the full 13 ' -0 " heigh is ever experienced. Of that 257 linear feet $(40 \%)$ all areas are softenened by wall climbing ivy and plant selections to ensure a full 13 ' -0 " "hard" wall experience does not occur. These areas of full height walls also strategically only occur adjacent to parking and not directly adjacent to resident units. See Rendering below at additionally accompanying this submittal.
- The discussion of retaining wall specification is very much cost driven. The current retaining wall design allows for project feasibility. The integrity or "small block" design results in a cost savings of over \$1MM as compared to the "Redi-Rock" that has been discussed previously.
- Further, the flexibility of the modular unit of the Integrity block allows for tighter turns and soft curves that would otherwise be acute angles and a "harder" design.



## Springs at Pleasant View

Pleasant View Road - 3306 County Highway M CITY OF MADISON, DANE COUNTY, WI

## LANDSCAPE PLAN SET



## SHEET INDEX

L001 COVER SHEET
L100 LANDSCAPE PLAN OVERVIEW
L101-L104 LANDSCAPE PLANS
L105 LANDSCAPE SPECIFICATIONS







## PART 1 - GENERAL

## 1-01 DESCRIPTION:
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1-03 DELIVERY, STORAGE $\&$ HANDLING;






1-04 PROJECT CONDITIONS
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1-05 PRELIMINARY ACCEPTANCE:


1 -05 WARRANTY



## PART 2-PRODUCT

2-01 PLANT MATERIALS:
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2-02 ACCESSORIES
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PART 3-INSTALLATION OF PLANT MATERIAL
3-01 FILLD VERIFICATION:


3-02 PREPARATION
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3-03 PLANTING PROCEDURES
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3.04 MAINTENANCE




### 3.06 SITE CLEAN-UP:





# ** Landscape plans for zoning lots greater than ten thousand $(\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0})$ square feet in size MUST be prepared by a registered landscape architect. ** 

## Applicability

The following standards apply to all exterior construction and development activity, including the expansion of existing buildings, structures and parking lots, except the construction of detached single-family and two-family dwellings and their accessory structures. The entire development site must be brought up to compliance with this section unless all of the following conditions apply, in which case only the affected areas need to be brought up to compliance:
(a) The area of site disturbance is less than ten percent (10\%) of the entire development site during any ten-(10) year period.
(b) Gross floor area is only increased by ten percent (10\%) during any ten-(10) year period.
(c) No demolition of a principal building is involved.
(d) Any displaced landscaping elements must be replaced on the site and shown on a revised landscaping plan.

## Landscape Calculations and Distribution

Required landscaped areas shall be calculated based upon the total developed area of the property. Developed area is defined as that area within a single contiguous boundary which is made up of structures, parking, driveways and docking/loading facilities, but excluding the area of any building footprint at grade, land designated for open space uses such as athletic fields, and undeveloped land area on the same zoning lot. There are three methods for calculating landscape points depending on the size of the lot and Zoning District.
(a) For all lots except those described in (b) and (c) below, five (5) landscape points shall be provided for each three hundred (300) square feet of developed area.

Total square footage of developed area $\qquad$
Total landscape points required $\qquad$
(b) For lots larger than five (5) acres, points shall be provided at five (5) points per three hundred (300) square feet for the first five (5) developed acres, and one (1) point per one hundred (100) square feet for all additional acres.

Total square footage of developed area 401,248 SF
Five $(5)$ acres $=\underline{217,800}$ square feet
First five (5) developed acres $=\underline{3,630}$ points
Remainder of developed area 183,448 SF - 1,835 Points
Total landscape points required 5,465 Points
(c) For the Industrial - Limited (IL) and Industrial - General (IG) districts, one (1) point shall be provided per one hundred (100) square feet of developed area.

Total square footage of developed area $\qquad$
Total landscape points required $\qquad$

Use the table to indicate the quantity and points for all existing and proposed landscape elements.

| Plant Type/ Element | Minimum Size at Installation | Points | Credits/ Existing Landscaping |  | New/ Proposed Landscaping |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quantity | Points Achieved | Quantity | Points Achieved |
| Overstory deciduous tree | 2½ inch caliper measured diameter at breast height (dbh) | 35 |  |  | 208 | 7,280 |
| Tall evergreen tree (i.e. pine, spruce) | 5-6 feet tall | 35 |  |  | 33 | 1,155 |
| Ornamental tree | $11 / 2$ inch caliper | 15 |  |  | 85 | 1,275 |
| Upright evergreen shrub (i.e. arborvitae) | 3-4 feet tall | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, deciduous | \#3 gallon container size, Min. 12"-24" | 3 |  |  | 1,160 | 3,480 |
| Shrub, evergreen | \#3 gallon container size, Min. 12"-24" | 4 |  |  | 662 | 2,648 |
| Ornamental grasses/ perennials | \#1 gallon container size, Min. 8"-18" | 2 |  |  | 1,818 | 3,636 |
| Ornamental/ decorative fencing or wall | n/a | 4 per 10 lineal ft. |  |  |  |  |
| Existing significant specimen tree | Minimum size: $2^{1 ⁄ 2} 2$ inch caliper dbh. *Trees must be within developed area and cannot comprise more than $30 \%$ of total required points. | 14 per caliper inch dbh. Maximum points per tree: 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Landscape furniture for public seating and/or transit connections | * Furniture must be within developed area, publically accessible, and cannot comprise more than $5 \%$ of total required points. | 5 points per "seat" |  |  |  |  |
| Sub Totals |  |  |  |  |  | 19,474 |

Total Number of Points Provided _19,474

[^0]

(B-B OVERALL CROSS SECTION


$$
\text { (2) } \frac{\text { LONGITUDINAL SECTION DETAIL }}{0}
$$
(3) LONGITUDINAL SECTION DETAIL

$$
2
$$

$\stackrel{80}{80}$








## Integrity ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Retaining Wall System



The Integrity ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Retaining Wall System is a practical solution for even challenging applications, including multi-level terraces and slope protection. Each system is manufactured to ensure efficient installation, increased stability and lasting durability.


For product details, photos, and to find out where to buy - visit www.countymaterials.com

## Integrity ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Retaining Wall System



| Splitface | Rustic | D $\times H \times L$ (Nominal Size) Inches • Millimeters | SF/ <br> Unit | Units/ Pallet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lbs/ } \\ & \text { Unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lbs/ } \\ & \text { Pallet } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \times 8 \times 18 \cdot 305 \times 203 \times 457 \\ \text { Standard } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 36 | 80 | 2925 |
|  | $35=$ | $12 \times 8 \times 9 \cdot 305 \times 203 \times 228$ <br> Standard Corner | Face - 5 Return - .66 Total Unit - 1.16 | 72 | 40 | 2925 |

Wall Caps - Straight and Tapered Wall Caps are available in both Splitface and Rustic finish.


Straight - $12 \times 35 / 8 \times 151 / 2$ ( $305 \times 92 \times 394$ )


Tapered $-123 / 4 \times 35 / 8 \times 77 / 8-93 / 8$ ( $324 \times 92 \times 200-238$ )
(800) 242-7733
countymaterials.com
Integrity ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Retaining Wall System
is a trademark of County Materials Corp. ©2020 County Materials Corporation • 0868_0520




[^0]:    * As determined by ANSI, ANLA- American standards for nursery stock. For each size, minimum plant sizes shall conform to the specifications as stated in the current American Standard for Nursery Stock.

