

From: [Cynthia K McCallum](#)
To: [McGuigan, Patrick](#)
Subject: Agenda item 61298
Date: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 1:03:15 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello,

I agree with the new configuration of Beld and Cedar Streets.

I strongly oppose option 4.

Neighbors in the area of East Cedar St. are concerned about how to get to their homes if they are traveling south on Park St. Option 4 will prevent them from turning left onto East Cedar which means they will have to use Wingra Dr. I understand they have requested a left turn from Park onto Wingra Dr and have been denied this in the past.

If Option 4 is the final choice then then City could compromise with the residents on the east side and provide the left turn light on Wingra Dr.

Option 4 looks incredibly dangerous for the pedestrian going from the south side of West Cedar to the island. They will be walking in front of oncoming traffic from the south on Park St.

If I had to choose one of the options offered on the website, I would choose 11866TE-Geometrics_CrossingOptions.pdf.

This preserves the neighbors who are driving from the north, the ability to get to Cedar St. from Park St. It still has the dangerous problem as option 4 with pedestrians walking in front of oncoming left bound traffic from the south.

Why aren't we offered a solution that takes advantages of the wide medians?

Use the existing cross walk to the north of this intersection (in front of Cargo coffee) until the Pick and Save property is redeveloped and then move the cross walk further south.

Extend the cross walk south of the intersection across the new green space created by the change in alignment of Beld St.

Why are the options trying to put people and bikes from different directions on small islands? If folks are biking or walking, another 20 feet isn't going to be a burden.

Cindy McCallum