URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPLICATION



City of Madison Planning Division Madison Municipal Building, Suite 017 215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Receipt # _

| | P.O. Box 2985 | Date received | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Madison, WI 53701-2985 (608) 266-4635 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | (, | Aldermanic District | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Zoning District Urban Design District Submittal reviewed by | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Complete all sections of this application, including the desired meeting date and the action requested. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| formats or other accommodations to access these forms, please call the phone number above immediately. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~ | stease can the phone namber above minicalately. | Legistar # | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 0 | 1. Project Information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Title: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. <i>F</i> | 2. Application Type (check all that apply) and Requested Date | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ι | UDC meeting date requested | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \square New development \square Alteration to an existing | g or previously-approved development | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Informational ☐ Initial approval | | Final approval | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. F | Project Type | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Project in an Urban Design District | Sig | nage | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Project in the Downtown Core District (DC), Urban | | Comprehensive Design Review (CDR) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Г | Mixed-Use District (UMX), or Mixed-Use Center District (MXC) ☐ Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC) | | Signage Variance (i.e. modification of signage height, | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Planned Development (PD) | | Please specify | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ General Development Plan (GDP) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building Complex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. <i>I</i> | Applicant, Agent, and Property Owner Information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P | Applicant name | Company | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Street address | | | City/State/Zip | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | Telephone | Em | _ Email | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Project contact person | Co | Company | | | | | | | | | | |
| Street address | | | City/State/Zip | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | Telephone | Email | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property owner (if not applicant) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Street address | | | City/State/Zip | | | | | | | | | | |
| Telephone | | | Email | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

requests for signage variances (i.e. modifications of

signage height, area, and setback), and additional sign

code approvals: \$300 (per §31.041(3)(d)(2) MGO)

| 5. 1 | Required Submittal Materials | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ŀ | | _ | | | |
| E | Letter of Intent |) |) ₅₋₄ , | | |
| | If the project is within an Urban Design District, a development proposal addresses the district criteria | a summary of how the | Each submittal must include fourteen (14) 11" x 17" collated paper copies. Landscape and | | |
| | For signage applications, a summary of how the properties tent with the applicable CDR or Signage Variance rev | occad =: : . | Lighting plans (if required) must be <u>full-sized and legible</u> . | | |
| V | Development plans (Refer to checklist on Page 4 for pla | an details) | Please refrain from using | | |
| | l Filing fee | | plastic covers or spiral binding. | | |
| V | - Total office of the control of the | • | | | |
| Bo SC | oth the paper copies and electronic copies <u>must</u> be submitte heduled for a UDC meeting. Late materials will not be accepted. | eadline before an application will be | | | |
| FC | or projects also requiring Plan Commission approval, applicants monsideration prior to obtaining any formal action (initial or final a | webster to the | | | |
| pr no | Electronic copies of all items submitted in hard copy are responsible on a CD or flash drive, or submitted via email to use oject address, project name, and applicant name. Electronical allowed. Applicants who are unable to provide the mater 66-4635 for assistance. | control de la co | n.com. The email must include the | | |
| 6. A | oplicant Declarations | | | | |
| 1. | Prior to submitting this application, the applicant is r Commission staff. This application was discussed windows. Jan. 29, 2020 | required to discuss the project ith Janine Glaeser | posed project with Urban Design on | | |
| 2. | The applicant attests that all required materials are included is not provided by the application deadline, the application consideration. | in this submittal and understa n will not be placed on an Ur | nds that if any required information ban Design Commission agenda for | | |
| Name | e of applicant_John Leja | Relationship to prope | rty Owner | | |
| Autho | orizing signature of property owner Qol L. | | Date 2-25.20 | | |
| 7. Ap | plication Filing Fees | | | | |
| Cor tha | es are required to be paid with the first application for eithe the combined application process involving the Urban Des mmon Council consideration. Make checks payable to City Ton \$1,000. | reasurer. Credit cards may b | | | |
| Ple | ase consult the schedule below for the appropriate fee for | your request: | | | |
| | Urban Design Districts: \$350 (per §35.24(6) MGO). | A filing fee is not rea | uired for the fell of | | |
| | Minor Alteration in the Downtown Core District (DC) or Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX): \$150 (per §33.24(6)(b) MGO) | A filing fee is not required for the following project applications if part of the combined application process involving both Urban Design Commission and Plan Commission: | | | |
| | Comprehensive Design Review: \$500 (per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(a) MGO) | Project in the Downt Mixed-Use District (U (MXC) | own Core District (DC), Urban MX), or Mixed-Use Center District | | |
| | Minor Alteration to a Comprehensive Sign Plan: \$100 (per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(c) MGO) | Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC), Campus Institutional District (CI), or | | | |
| | All other sign requests to the Urban Design Commission, including, but not limited to: appeals from the decisions of the Zoning Administrator, | Employment Campus District (EC) Planned Development (PD): General Development Plan (GDP) and/or Specific Implementation Plan (SIP) | | | |

Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building

Complex

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPROVAL PROCESS



Introduction

The City of Madison's Urban Design Commission (UDC) has been created to:

- Encourage and promote high quality in the design of new buildings, developments, remodeling, and additions so as to maintain and improve the established standards of property values within the City.
- Foster civic pride in the beauty and nobler assets of the City, and in all other ways possible assure a functionally efficient
 and visually attractive City in the future.

Types of Approvals

There are three types of requests considered by the UDC:

- <u>Informational Presentation</u>. Applicants may, at their discretion, request to make an Informational Presentation to the UDC prior to seeking any approvals to obtain early feedback and direction before undertaking detailed design. Applicants should provide details on the context of the site, design concept, site and building plans, and other relevant information to help the UDC understand the proposal and provide feedback. (Does not apply to CDR's or Signage Variance requests)
- <u>Initial Approval</u>. Applicants may, at their discretion, request initial approval of a proposal by presenting preliminary design information. As part of their review, the Commission will provide feedback on the design information that should be addressed at Final Approval stage.
- <u>Final Approval</u>. Applicants may request Final Approval of a proposal by presenting all final project details. Recommendations or concerns expressed by the UDC in the initial approval must be addressed at this time.

Presentations to the Commission

Primarily, the UDC is interested in the appearance and design quality of projects. Emphasis should be given to the site plan, landscape plan, lighting plan, building elevations, exterior building materials, color scheme, and graphics.

When presenting projects to the UDC, applicants must fill out a registration slip provided in the meeting room and present it to the Secretary. Presentations should generally be limited to 5 minutes or as extended by motion by consent of the Commission. The Commission will withhold questions until the end of the presentation.

Applicants are encouraged to consider the use of various graphic presentation material including a locator map, photographs, renderings/model, scale drawings of the proposal in context with adjacent buildings/uses/signs, etc., as may be deemed appropriate to describe the project and its surroundings. Graphics should be mounted on rigid boards so that they may be easily displayed. Applicants/presenters are responsible for all presentation materials, AV equipment and easels.

URBAN DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PLANS CHECKLIST



The items listed below are minimal application requirements for the type of approval indicated. Please note that the UDC and/or staff may require additional information in order to have a complete understanding of the project.

| 1. Informational Presentation | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Locator Map |) | | Requirem | ents for All Plan Sheets | | | |
| | Letter of Intent (If the project is within an Urban Design District, a summary of how the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required) | | | 1. Title | 1. Title block | | | |
| | | ا | | 2. Shee | et number | | | |
| | | ' | Providing additional | 3. Nort | th arrow | | | |
| | Contextual site information, including | \ | information beyond these minimums may generate | | 4. Scale, both written and graphic | | | |
| | photographs and layout of adjacent | | a greater level of feedback | | 5. Date | | | |
| | buildings/structures Site Plan | | from the Commission. | • | dimensioned plans, scaled '= 40' or larger | | | |
| | Two-dimensional (2D) images of | | | | ns must be legible, including | | | |
| | proposed buildings or structures. | J | | plans (if re | zed landscape and lighting quired) | | | |
| 2. Initial A | pproval | | | | | | | |
| | Locator Map | | |) | | | | |
| | Letter of Intent (If the project is within the development proposal addresses the | | | y of <u>how</u> | | | | |
| | structures Providing additional | | | | | | | |
| | Site Plan showing location of existing a lanes, bike parking, and existing trees or | information beyond these minimums may generate a greater level of feedback | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Building Elevations in both black & white and color for all building sides (include material callouts) | | | | | | | |
| | □ PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable) | | | | | | | |
| 3. Final A | proval | | | | | | | |
| All the | requirements of the Initial Approval (see a | bove |), <u>plus</u> : | | | | | |
| | Grading Plan | | | | | | | |
| | Proposed Signage (if applicable) | | | | | | | |
| | Lighting Plan, including fixture cut sheet | ts and | l photometrics plan (must be l | legible) | | | | |
| | Utility/HVAC equipment location and sc | reeni | ng details (with a rooftop plan | if roof-mou | inted) | | | |
| | PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable | e) | | | | | | |
| | Samples of the exterior building materia | als (pr | resented at the UDC meeting) | | | | | |
| 4. Compre | hensive Design Review (CDR) and Varia | ance l | Requests (<u>Signage application</u> | ons only) | | | | |
| | Locator Map | | | | | | | |
| | Letter of Intent (a summary of how the proposed signage is consistent with the CDR or Signage Variance criteria is required | | | | | | | |
| | Contextual site information, including photographs of existing signage both on site and within proximity to the project site | | | | | | | |
| | Site Plan showing the location of existing signage and proposed signage, dimensioned signage setbacks, sidewalks, driveways, and right-of-ways | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Perspective renderings (emphasis on pedestrian/automobile scale viewsheds) | | | | | | | |
| | Illustration of the proposed signage that meets Ch. 31, MGO compared to what is being requested. | | | | | | | |
| | Graphic of the proposed signage as it relates to what the Ch. 31, MGO would permit | | | | | | | |

February 26, 2020

Janine Glaeser, AIA, LEED AP
Urban Design Planner
Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development
215 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd
Suite 017
Madison, Wisconsin 53703



Re: Letter of Intent 414 E Washington Ave – UDC Informational KBA Project #1972

Ms. Janine Glaeser,

The following is submitted together with the plans and application for a second informational review by staff and the Urban Design Commission. We have made changes to the design and massing of the project in response to the commission members comments on January 29th.

Those comments centered around two main themes:


- The upper levels of the building were not successfully incorporated into the design. One commissioner thought it appeared as one building on top another building and they didn't like step-backs. Another commissioner thought that the 8th floor datum was a problem for the design and more verticality would be better.
- The building needed to better relate to the neighbors. One commissioner stated the building was a heavy extrusion with massing similar on East Washington and the two side streets. Another commissioner stated that the building needed to play better with the neighbors.

We have addressed those comments by redesigning the building by reallocating the massing of the building and reinforcing the massing with the window fenestration and exterior detailing. The building is now a consistent 10 stories in height along East Washington Ave. stepping down to 6 stories as the building meets the neighboring properties. The exterior material palette remains subtle and refined, reflecting a classic urban architecture.

Thank you for your time reviewing our proposal. We look forward to your input and feedback.

Sincerely,

Randy Bruce, AIA



Site Aerial E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI February 26, 2020







Site Aerial E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI February 26, 2020





































Colored Site Aerial E. Washington Ave. Madison, Wl February 26, 2020







Required Building Setback


Non-Required Building Setback

Building Setbacks E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI February 26, 2020



































E Washington Ave. Madison, WI February 26, 2020






Downtown Plan Building Heights

E Washington Ave.

Madison, WI
February 26, 2020





E. WASHINGTON

GROUND FLOOR



 \propto S


FIRST FLOOR



153 PARKING 149

February 26, 2020






SECOND-SIXTH FLOOR







NINTH & TENTH FLOOR



