

From: [Bailey, Heather](#)
To: [Heiser-Ertel, Lauren](#)
Subject: FW: 1/14/20 Comments from the Marquette Bungalows Neighborhood Group
Date: Wednesday, January 8, 2020 8:58:45 AM

From: Jim Murphy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2020 8:17 AM
To: Furman, Keith <district19@cityofmadison.com>; Albouras, Christian <district20@cityofmadison.com>; Martin, Arvina <district11@cityofmadison.com>; Heck, Patrick <district2@cityofmadison.com>; Rummel, Marsha <district6@cityofmadison.com>
Cc: Bailey, Heather <HBailey@cityofmadison.com>; Scanlon, Amy <AScanlon@cityofmadison.com>; Fruhling, William <WFruhling@cityofmadison.com>; Jim Murphy - Retired <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 1/14/20 Comments from the Marquette Bungalows Neighborhood Group

Jim Murphy 1/14/20 LORC testimony for Marquette Bungalow Neighbors Group

Heather, please post this on Legistar.

Landmarks Ordinance Review Committee

Alder Keith Furman, Alder Christian Albouras, Alder Arvina Martin, Alder Patrick Heck, Alder Marsha Rummel

Heather Bailey, Amy Scanlon, Bill Fruhling
Marquette Bungalows Neighborhood Group
Alliance for Historical Perseveration

My name is Jim Murphy and I represent over 63 individuals residing in the Marquette Bungalows Historic District from 39 of the 47 homes in the district, including many of those who have moved into the neighborhood since our previous testimony. My comments have been reviewed and approved by the entire Marquette Bungalows Neighborhood Group.

I wish to make only 2 brief points:

1. We recommend district-specific standards for each historic district:

We in the bungalow district have neighborhood-specific concerns and the other districts have issues that impact them that do not impact us. For example, we do not have commercial or overlapping zoning districts or the complicated issues of other districts.

2. We request [strongly that neighborhood stakeholder committees should develop their own district specific standards](#) for their own historic districts and not one-size-fits-[none](#) approach with the current model being reviewed by LORC.

Neighborhood stakeholder buy-in was the model used in the development of our current bungalow ordinance in 1993 and our members wish again to have a direct voice working with an alder appointed committee to develop our own neighborhood-specific ordinance as we did in 1993 and not just respond to a generic ordinance from LORC.

In fact, as one neighbor who was part of the development of the 1993 bungalow ordinance put it: “We originally agreed to the existence of the historical district because we

DID IN FACT (*his emphasis*) have stakeholder buy-in for district specific standards, and it would be perfectly reasonable for us to expect that relationship with the city to continue in that way. It would be bad faith on the city's part to now take that away from us." I know that is the sentiment of many of our bungalow neighbors.

Thank you - I am happy to answer any questions.

Jim Murphy