### PREPARED FOR THE LANDMARKS COMMISSION

Project Name & Address: 1717 Regent Street

**Application Type(s):** Certificate of Appropriateness for exterior alterations in the University Heights

historic district

Legistar File ID # 57656

Prepared By: Heather Bailey, Preservation Planner, Planning Division

**Date Prepared:** October 21, 2019

**Summary** 

**Project Applicant/Contact:** Tom Johnson – Home Works Remodeling

**Requested Action:** The Applicant is requesting that the Landmarks Commission approve a Certificate

of Appropriateness for the replacement of windows.

# **Background Information**

Parcel Location/Information: The subject site is located in the University Heights Historic District.

#### **Relevant State Statute Section:**

Wisc SS 62.23(7)(em)2m. In the repair or replacement of a property that is designated as a historic landmark or included within a historic district or neighborhood conservation district under this paragraph, a city shall allow an owner to use materials that are similar in design, color, scale, architectural appearance, and other visual qualities.

#### **Relevant Ordinance Sections:**

- **41.18 STANDARDS FOR GRANTING A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.** A certificate of appropriateness shall be granted only if the proposed project complies with this chapter, including all of the following standards that apply.
  - (1) <u>New construction or exterior alteration</u>. The Landmarks Commission shall approve a certificate of appropriateness for exterior alteration or construction only if:
    - (a) In the case of exterior alteration to a designated landmark, the proposed work would meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
    - (b) In the case of exterior alteration or construction of a structure on a landmark site, the proposed work would meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
    - (c) In the case of exterior alteration or construction on any property located in a historic district, the proposed exterior alteration or construction meets the adopted standards and guidelines for that district.
    - (d) In the case of any exterior alteration or construction for which a certificate of appropriateness is required, the proposed work will not frustrate the public interest expressed in this ordinance for protecting, promoting, conserving, and using the City's historic resources.

#### 41.24 UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS HISTORIC DISTRICT.

- (5) Standards for the Review of Exterior Alterations and Repairs in TR-C2, TR-C3, and TR-C4, Zoning Districts.
  - (a) Height. No alterations shall be higher than the existing structure; however, if the existing structure is already a nonconforming one, alteration shall be made thereto except in accordance with Section 28.192. Roof alterations resulting in an increased structure volume are prohibited unless they meet the requirements in Sec. 41.24(4)(a)5. and are permitted under Chapter 28, or approved as a variance pursuant to Sec. 28.184 or approved as a conditional use or as part of a planned residential development.
  - (b) Second Exit Platforms and Fire Escapes. Second exit platforms and fire escapes shall be invisible from the street, wherever possible, and shall be of a plain and unobtrusive design in all cases. In instances where an automatic combustion products detection and alarm system is permitted as an alternative to second exits, use of such a system shall be mandatory.
  - (c) Repairs. Materials used in exterior repairs shall duplicate the original building materials in texture and appearance, unless the Landmarks Commission approves duplication of the existing building materials where the existing building materials differ from the original. Repairs using materials that exactly duplicate the original in composition are encouraged.
  - (d) Restoration. Projects that will restore the appearance of a structure to its original appearance are encouraged and will be approved by the Landmarks Commission if such projects are documented by photographs, architectural or archeological research or other suitable evidence.
  - (e) Re-Siding. Re-siding with aluminum or vinyl that replaces or covers clapboards or nonoriginal siding on structures originally sided with clapboards will be approved by the Landmarks Commission provided that the new siding imitates the width of the original clapboard siding to within one (1) inch and provided further that all architectural details including, but not limited to, window trim, wood cornices and ornament either remain uncovered or are duplicated exactly in appearance. Where more than one layer of siding exists on the structure, all layers except the first must be removed before new siding is applied. If insulation is applied under the new siding, all trim must be built up so that it projects from the new siding to the same extent it did with the original siding.
  - (f) Alterations Visible from the Street and Alterations to Street Facades. Alterations visible from the street, including alterations to the top of structures, and alterations to street facades shall be compatible with the existing structure in architectural design, scale, color, texture, proportion and rhythm of solids to voids and proportion of widths to heights of doors and windows. Materials used in such alterations shall duplicate in texture and appearance, and architectural details used therein shall duplicate in design, the materials and details used in the original construction of the existing structure or of other structures in University Heights of similar materials, age and architectural style, unless the Landmarks Commission approves duplication of the texture and appearance of materials and the design of architectural details used in the existing structure where the existing building materials and architectural details differ from the original. Alterations that exactly duplicate the original materials in composition are encouraged. Alterations that destroy significant architectural features are prohibited. Side alterations shall not detract from the design composition of the original facade.
  - (g) Additions and Exterior Alterations Not Visible from the Street. Additions and exterior alterations that are not visible from any streets contiguous to the lot lines upon which the structure is located will be approved by the Landmarks Commission if their design is compatible with the scale of the existing structure and, further, if the materials used are

Legistar File ID #57656 1717 Regent Street October 28, 2019 Page **3** of **4** 

- compatible with the existing materials in texture, color and architectural details. Additions and alterations shall harmonize with the architectural design of the structure rather than contrast with it.
- (h) Roof Shape. The roof shape of the front of a structure shall not be altered except to restore it to the original documentable appearance or to add a dormer or dormers in a location and shape compatible with the architectural design of the structure and similar in location and shape to original dormers on structures of the same vintage and style within the district. Alterations of the roof shape of the sides or back of a structure shall be visually compatible with the architectural design of the existing structure.
- (i) Roof Material.
  - 1. If the existing roof is tile, slate or other material that is original to the structure and/or contributes to its historic character, all repairs thereto shall be made using the same materials. In addition, in all cases any such roof must be repaired rather than replaced, unless the documented cost of repair exceeds the documented cost of reroofing with a substitute material that approximates the appearance of the original roofing material as closely as possible, in which case re-roofing with a material that approximates the appearance of the original roofing material as closely as possible will be approved by the Landmarks Commission.
  - 2. If the existing roofing material is asphalt shingles, sawn wood shingles or a nonhistoric material such as fiberglass, all repairs shall match in appearance the existing roof material; however, if any such roof is covered or replaced, re-roofing must be done using rectangular sawn wood shingles or rectangular shingles that are similar in width, thickness and apparent length to sawn wood shingles, for example, 3-in-1 tab asphalt shingles. Modern style shingles, such as thick wood shakes, Dutch lap, French method and interlock shingles that are incompatible with the historic character of the district are prohibited.
  - 3. Rolled roofing, tar and gravel and other similar roofing materials are prohibited except that such materials may be used on flat or slightly sloped roofs which are not visible from the ground.
- (j) Parking Lots. No new parking lots will be approved unless they are accessory to and on the same zoning lot as a commercial structure or multiple family dwelling.

## **Analysis and Conclusion**

The applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace 8 windows in the attic level of the house. Per Assessor records, the house was constructed in 1913. In May, staff administratively approved two low-profile skylights on the west side of the roof. The current proposal is part of a remodel of the attic to make it insulated space.

The configuration of the attic windows are a bank of four windows located in the dormers on both the front and the back of the house. The existing windows are likely original to the house and do appear to be in need of maintenance. There are a few windows that have heavy damage to the muntins and those sashes likely warrant replacement. Likewise, the sills for the windows appear very deteriorated and may warrant replacement rather than utilizing a Dutchman repair. Other than the storm windows, which likely need to be replaced, the other window frames and sashes appear to be in need of maintenance and repair rather than replacement.

Otherwise, the application provides excellent detail on the dimensions of the existing windows and associated components, how those measurements compare to the proposed replacements, and a discussion of how the applicant proposed to replicate the existing window frames and sills. While the dimensions are not an exact

Legistar File ID #57656 1717 Regent Street October 28, 2019 Page **4** of **4** 

match between the existing and the proposed replacement, they are very similar and it is unlikely that the slight changes in dimensions will be readily noticeable. The current windows are wood and the proposal is for a window with exterior aluminum cladding.

A discussion of the relevant ordinance sections follows:

- **41.18 STANDARDS FOR GRANTING A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.** A certificate of appropriateness shall be granted only if the proposed project complies with this chapter, including all of the following standards that apply.
  - (1) <u>New construction or exterior alteration</u>. The Landmarks Commission shall approve a certificate of appropriateness for exterior alteration or construction only if:
    - (a) N/A
    - (b) N/A
    - (c) See discussion of University Heights Historic District below.
    - (d) Removing character-defining windows which are repairable will frustrate the public interest expressed in this ordinance for protecting, promoting, conserving, and using the City's historic resources. Please see the attached memo from the City Attorney's office.

### 41.24 UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS HISTORIC DISTRICT.

- (5) Standards for the Review of Exterior Alterations and Repairs in TR-C2, TR-C3, and TR-C4, Zoning Districts.
  - (a) Height. N/A
  - (b) Second Exit Platforms and Fire Escapes. N/A
  - (c) Repairs. This application is not currently proposing repairs, but they seem feasible in several locations.
  - (d) Restoration. N/A
  - (e) Re-Siding. N/A
  - (f) Alterations Visible from the Street and Alterations to Street Facades. Four of the windows are located on the street façade and the proposed replacements appear to replicate the existing conditions.
  - (g) Additions and Exterior Alterations Not Visible from the Street. The design of the proposed replacement windows on the rear of the building are compatible with the existing conditions.
  - (h) Roof Shape. N/A
  - (i) Roof Material. N/A
  - (j) Parking Lots. N/A

## Recommendation

Staff believes that the standards for granting a Certificate of Appropriateness are not yet met and recommends that Landmarks Commission refer their finding to a future meeting and request the applicant provide an estimate from a contractor who completes window repair to determine if the existing windows are feasible to repair and how the cost of repair compares to the cost of replacement.