

From: May, Michael
To: ["MDLee@foley.com"](mailto:MDLee@foley.com)
Cc: Strange, John; Nathan J. Wautier; KRist@foley.com; ["Noel Sterett"](mailto:Noel Sterett)
Subject: RE: Edgewood ZBA Appeal
Date: August 21, 2019 6:36:30 PM

Matt:

Thank you for the email.

The Mayor agreed to sponsor the ordinance amendment to avoid a RLUIPA lawsuit. Edgewood filed the RLUIPA lawsuit. The Mayor's efforts failed. Under the circumstances, the Mayor will no longer sponsor the ordinance.

As we have explained, Edgewood has other methods to pursue repeal of the Master Plan if it so desires.

I disagree with the accuracy of many of your statements in the email, but nothing is served by engaging in a debate on that.

As to service of the complaint, we will accept service for all the City defendants when the FRCP rules requesting acceptance are followed. We do not have authority to accept service outside of those rules.



Michael P. May

City Attorney ~ City of Madison
210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, ~ Room
401
Madison, WI 53703
608-266-4511
FAX: 608-267-8715
mmay@cityofmadison.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This electronic message is intended only for the designated recipient(s). It may contain privileged or confidential information and should not be disclosed to third parties without our express permission. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this message in error and review, dissemination or copying of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the original message and destroy any electronic or printed copies of this message. Thank you.

From: MDLee@foley.com

Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2019 1:55 PM

To: May, Michael

Cc: Strange, John ; Nathan J. Wautier ; KRist@foley.com; 'Noel Sterett'

Subject: Edgewood ZBA Appeal

Mike –

This will respond to your letter to Attorney Nathan Wautier dated August 20th, which I have attached.

The premise of your letter is incorrect. The Mayor did not agree to sponsor the ordinance withdrawing Edgewood's Master Plan on the understanding that Edgewood would not file a federal lawsuit. Edgewood has been clear with your office and with the Mayor from the beginning that Edgewood would need to file the lawsuit within 30 days, notwithstanding the ongoing efforts to withdraw the Master Plan. The Mayor sponsored the Master Plan withdrawal ordinance because she understands that doing so is the best way for the City to avoid substantial exposure created by the Zoning Administrator's incorrect and discriminatory interpretation of Madison's zoning code. She also understands, and your office has confirmed in writing, that if the Master Plan withdrawal

ordinance passes, it will place Edgewood on equal footing with the City's other public high schools, which is all Edgewood has been seeking throughout this entire process. (This is of course without consideration of Ald. Tag Evers' spot-zoning ordinance that is blatantly and obviously targeted at Edgewood, which if passed may very well give rise to additional claims by Edgewood against the City.)

It would be unfortunate if the Mayor decides to pull her sponsorship of the ordinance after Edgewood files the lawsuit. That would seem to put short-term political considerations over the long-term benefit to the City, and would fly in the face of the counsel and rationale that prompted the Mayor to sponsor (and prompted you to recommend) the withdrawal ordinance in the first place. This is especially true when the Mayor can simply say that she is aware of Edgewood's lawsuit, was informed by Edgewood that it planned to file its lawsuit in order to meet its statutory deadline for appealing the adverse Zoning Board of Appeals decision, and understands that Edgewood's strong preference is to have the Common Council approve the withdrawal of the Master Plan rather than litigate its claims to judgment, all of which are true statements.

You are also incorrect that "it would be very easy to simply appeal the state law issue to the Circuit Court in Dane County" and "refrain from any federal action for the time being." As you have been told multiple times, Edgewood cannot pursue that option without giving up rights or putting its claims at risk, and it is not willing to do so simply because the City prefers that Edgewood appeal the ZBA decision in state court. Edgewood is not going to spend time and resources providing you a list of "controlling legal authority" supporting its decision to file in federal rather than state court, nor will it list all of the options it examined and which you were briefed on at length during our meeting on August 13th. I note that your letter provides no such authority for the City's position. I suspect this is because, as you know, a federal court will defer to the first-filed state court action on any issues common to both lawsuits, and can do so whether the parties have stipulated otherwise or not (or could do so on the motion of third party intervenors, who would not be subject to a stipulation between Edgewood and the City). While the purported quotes from the second page of your letter are not accurate, it is entirely reasonable for Edgewood to file in federal court, where the RLUIPA case law and other precedent favorable to Edgewood will be binding on the trial court judge, instead of state court.

Edgewood is not filing the lawsuit as a threat, as a power play, or as a means of strong-arming public officials into supporting the Master Plan withdrawal ordinance. It is doing so to preserve its rights to secure equal treatment if the Master Plan withdrawal ordinance fails. If Edgewood could wait until the ordinance has received a final vote before deciding whether to file the lawsuit, it would do that. But Edgewood does not have that luxury, and therefore neither does the City. Indeed, if the City truly believed that it has not treated Edgewood differently than other CI-district schools, then it would have no real concern over the forum of Edgewood's lawsuit.

Edgewood will file the lawsuit this afternoon. The defendants will include the City, Zoning Administrator Tucker (in his official capacity), Director of Building Inspection Hank (in his official capacity), and the Zoning Board of Appeals. Please let me know if your office will accept service of the summons and complaint for all defendants. As a courtesy, Edgewood is willing to hold off on service for the time being while we see what happens with the withdrawal ordinance at the August 26th Plan Commission meeting.

[Matt Lee](#)

Matthew D. Lee
Foley & Lardner LLP
150 East Gilman Street | Suite 5000

Madison, WI 53703-1482
Main Line 608.257.5035
Direct Line 608.258.4203
Facsimile 608.258.4258
View My Bio
[Visit Foley.com](#)



The information contained in this message, including but not limited to any attachments, may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client or work-product privileges. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message and any attachments or copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. Unintended transmission does not constitute waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party. Unless expressly stated otherwise, nothing contained in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature, nor is it intended to reflect an intention to make an agreement by electronic means.