



WORK PLAN AND SCHEDULE: PHASE 2

The LORC will review the ordinance recommendations and consider amendments and alternative language. Staff proposes that the review of the ordinance recommendations occur in the following order:

- February 28, 2019**
 - ✓ Review proposed work plan and next steps
 - ✓ Review background, process, and goals
 - ✓ Review recommendations for New Structures Standards

- March 14, 2019**
 - ✓ Review recommendations for New Structures Standards
 - ✓ Review recommendations for Alterations and New Additions Standards

- ~~**March 28, 2019**~~
(cancelled)
 - ~~Review recommendations for Alterations and New Additions Standards~~
 - ~~Review district specific standards (if necessary)~~

- April 10, 2019**
 - ✓ Review recommendations for Maintenance, Repair, and Alterations Standards

- June 25, 2019**
 - ✓ Review process to date
 - ✓ Review sample chapter for organization
 - ✓ Discuss Definitions of Requirements and Guidelines
 - ✓ Revisit New Structures Standards

- July 30, 2019**
 - ✓ Review Ordinance

- August 20, 2019**
 - Review Ordinance (continued)

- August 29, 2019**
 - Review Ordinance (continued)

- September date(s) TBD**
 - Review Ordinance (continued)
 - Review Spectrum of Standards Recommendations
 - Visible from the street/Not visible from the street
 - Items that can receive administrative approval/Items that require Landmarks Commission review
 - Other issues

- October date(s) TBD**
 - “Parking Lot” issues (see attached for list of issues)
 - Definitions (see attached for working list of terms to be defined)

- November date(s) TBD**
 - “Parking Lot” issues (see attached for list of issues)
 - Definitions (see attached for working list of terms to be defined)

- December date(s) TBD**
 - Final review of the proposed ordinance language

The LORC will provide descriptions of topics to be addressed on upcoming agendas to provide clarity to this work plan. Topics may require more discussion and may be continued at a future meeting. Please refer to agendas for the most current topic to be discussed.

“Parking Lot” Issues to be Addressed

The following is a running list of items that will require further discussion as the ordinance is developed and before it is finalized. The list is in no particular order of priority.

- 1) Whether accessory structures should be reviewed with same standards as primary structures
- 2) Period of significance (differential treatments and current periods)
- 3) How to treat alterations/additions not visible from the street
- 4) Potential revisions to other sections of Chapter 41
- 5) Commercial and Mixed Use Buildings
- 6) Code Required Work (Accessibility/Life Safety/Lead/Asbestos)
- 7) Signage
- 8) Measuring 200' (see proposal below for discussion)

41.03 GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

(5) Measuring 200 Feet Around Properties. Certain provisions of this chapter reference properties that are within two hundred (200) feet of a subject property. Under this chapter, measurements around properties shall be taken from the lot lines of the subject property two hundred (200) feet in all directions. In the case of landmark properties, measurements shall take into account all historic resources within the 200 foot measurement. In the case of historic districts, measurements shall take into account all historic resources within 200 feet that are contained within the district.

Definitions

The following is a running list of terms that may need to be defined upon completion of the draft ordinance. There are working definitions for many (including from the Madison Alliance for Historic Preservation (see memo submitted on 5/19/19, not all of which are listed below), the current Historic Preservation Ordinance, other City ordinances, and the National Park Service), but they are not provided in the list at this time.

Accessory Structures

Addition

Alteration (prefer working in ordinance language to existing definition)

Area of visual compatibility?

Character defining features

Compatible

Deck – uncovered over 50 sf

Directional expression

Entrances (primary and secondary)

Feature

Front façade/visible from the street – Russell Walk does not have front facing the street.

Guideline

Height to width ratio? (proportionality)

Historic district

Historic Resource

Maintenance

Massing

New Structure

Openings

Porch

Proportion

Repair

Requirement

Rhythm

Scale

Solid

Visible from the street

Visually compatible

Void

Volume