## PREPARED FOR THE LANDMARKS COMMISSION



Project Name/Address: 121 Langdon St. (Suhr House)

**Application Type:** Demolition by Neglect

Legistar File ID # 53000

Prepared By: Heather Bailey, Preservation Planner, Planning Division

**Date Prepared:** April 30, 2019

# **Summary**

**Project Applicant/Contact:** Harold Langhammer; David Ferch, Ferch Architecture

Requested Action: The Landmarks Commission is considering whether demolition by neglect is

occurring on the landmark site.

# **Background Information**

**Parcel Location:** The subject site is a designated landmark (Suhr House) located in the Mansion Hill District. It was designated as a landmark in 1974 and placed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1982.

On September 17, 2018, the Landmarks Commission held a public hearing on a notice of demolition by neglect. At that meeting, the Commission referred that matter "to a future Landmarks Commission meeting no later than December 3 with the stipulation that the applicant work closely with the Preservation Planner and other City staff to itemize work which can be done with and without tax credits and provide a timeline for addressing the work orders in a timely manner."

On December 3, 2018, the Landmarks Commission approved a COA to complete the necessary work to stabilize and repair the building. The Landmarks Commission referred the Demolition by Neglect case to the April 22, 2019, meeting to have an update from the property owner regarding progress towards completing necessary work in order to meet the terms and deadline of the court-approved agreement to complete the items in the work order by August 15, 2019. The submissions for the April 22 meeting did not meet the requirements for completing the work, so the commission referred the project to the next available meeting. The Landmarks Commission has not made a final findings on the Demolition by Neglect case.

### **Relevant Ordinance Sections – Demolition by Neglect:**

#### 41.02 DEFINITIONS.

<u>Demolition by Neglect</u> means the process of allowing landmarks, landmark sites or improvements in historic districts to decay, deteriorate, become structurally defective, or otherwise fall into disrepair.

#### 41.14 MAINTENENCE OBLIGATION; ENFORCEMENT; PENALTIES

- (1) <u>Maintenance obligation</u>. Every owner of a landmark, improvement on a landmark site, or improvement in a historic district shall do all of the following:
  - (a) Protect the improvement against exterior decay and deterioration.
  - (b) Keep the improvement free from structural defects.
  - (c) Maintain interior portions of the improvement, the deterioration of which may cause the exterior portions of such improvement to fall into a state of disrepair.

#### (2) Enforcement.

- (a) The Building Inspector or designee is authorized to enforce the provisions of this chapter.
- (b) The Building Inspector may issue an official written notice to a property owner, requiring the property owner to correct a violation of sec. 41.14(1) above by a date specified in the notice.
- (c) The Building Inspector shall notify the Preservation Planner of all official compliance notices issued to owners of landmarks or improvements in historic districts. The Building Inspector shall further notify the Preservation Planner whenever a property owner fails to correct a violations by the compliance date specified in an official notice.
- (d) City agencies or commissions responsible for enforcing chapters 18, 27, 29, 30 and 31 of the Madison general ordinances, or, in the absence of such city agency or commission, the Building Inspector, may grant individual variances from those chapters to facilitate historic preservation and maintenance under this chapter, provided that such variance does not endanger public health or safety or vary any provisions of this chapter.
- **41.15 DEMOLITION BY NEGLECT.** The owner of a landmark, improvement on a landmark site, or improvement in a historic district, may not allow the landmark or improvement to undergo demolition by neglect.
  - (1) Notice of demolition by neglect. If the Building Inspector believes that a landmark or improvement is undergoing demolition by neglect, the Building Inspector shall give written notice of that belief to the owner of the landmark or improvement. The Building Inspector shall give a copy of the notice to the Preservation Planner and the Landmarks Commission.
  - Public Hearing. Upon receiving a notice under sec. 41.15(1), the Landmarks Commission shall issue a hearing notice under sec. 41.06 and hold a public hearing to determine whether the landmark or improvement is undergoing demolition by neglect. The Commission shall hold the public hearing within 90 days of receiving the notice under sec. 41.15(1).
  - (3) <u>Landmarks Commission Finding</u>. If, after a public hearing, the Landmarks Commission finds that a landmark or improvement is undergoing demolition by neglect, it shall report its finding to the Common Council, the Building Inspector and the Office of the City Attorney. A Landmarks Commission finding of demolition by neglect is prima facie evidence of demolition by neglect for purposes of any administrative or civil court action, and also constitutes a determination that a public nuisance exists under sec. 27.05(3) of the Madison general ordinances.
  - (4) Appeal of Landmarks Commission finding.
    - (a) An appeal from a Landmarks Commission finding under sec. 41.15(3) may be taken to the Common Council by the owner of the affected landmark or improvement, the Alder of the district in which the subject property is located, or by the owners of 20% of the number of parcels of property within 200 feet of the subject property, measured according to sec. 41.03(5).
    - (b) An appeal under par. (a) shall be filed with the City Clerk within 10 days after the Landmarks Commission makes its finding. The appeal shall include the name and address of each petitioner, and shall specify the grounds for appeal. The City Clerk shall forward the petition to the Common Council.
    - (c) The Common Council shall hold a public hearing regarding any appeal it receives under par. (b).
    - (d) Following a public hearing, the Common Council may, by favorable vote of two-thirds (2/3) of its members, reverse or modify the Landmarks Commission finding, with or without conditions, or may refer the matter back to the Commission with or without instructions, if it finds that the Commission's decision is contrary to applicable standards under this subchapter.

Legistar File ID # 53000 121 Langdon St May 6, 2019 Page **3** of **4** 

- (5) Abatement by the City. If the Landmarks Commission finds under sec. 41.15(3) that a landmark or improvement is undergoing demolition by neglect, the Building Inspector may proceed under the non-summary abatement procedures set forth in sec. 27.05(3)(e) of the Madison general ordinances to repair the landmark or improvement to abate the nuisance. The cost of the required repairs shall be paid by the property owner, or shall be imposed as a special charge against the property and collected pursuant to the provisions of sec. 4.09(13) of the Madison general ordinances and Wis. Stat. § 66.0627.
- (6) Acquisition by City. If the Landmarks Commission finds under sec. 41.15(3) that a landmark or improvement is undergoing demolition by neglect, the Common Council may authorize the City to acquire the property under Wis. Stat. § 66.1111(2), if necessary through the initiation of condemnation proceedings under Wis. Stat. § 32.06.

## **Analysis and Conclusion**

At the December 3, 2018, meeting, the Landmarks Commission approved the COA with the following conditions: repair the front, side, and rear porches and stairways with the condition that all final details must be approved by staff; to tuckpoint damaged masonry, with the extents of the work and the mortar mix and mortar color to be approved by staff; and to replace the arched storm window on the lower level of the front façade, with specifications for the window to be approved by staff.

At the December 3 meeting, the commission asked to see a signed contract for the work to assess if the work could be completed by the schedule the applicant presented on December 3. The applicants submission from April 19, 2019, is what staff is reviewing for this Landmarks Commission meeting. In reviewing the construction documents, they do not address all of the work required for the property. The contractor scopes of work do not correlate to the construction documents and some of the items are not compliant with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards (see attached email).

A discussion of the pertinent code section follows:

- **41.15 DEMOLITION BY NEGLECT.** The owner of a landmark, improvement on a landmark site, or improvement in a historic district, may not allow the landmark or improvement to undergo demolition by neglect.
  - (1) <u>Notice of demolition by neglect</u>. The notice was issued on August 15, 2018, by Kyle Bunnow, City of Madison Housing Inspection Supervisor.
  - (2) <u>Public Hearing</u>. The Landmarks Commission received this notice at its August 27, 2018, meeting and held a public hearing on September 17, 2018.
  - (3) <u>Landmarks Commission Finding</u>. The Landmarks Commission referred the case to its December 3, 2018, meeting where it granted a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that would address the maintenance deficiencies of the property. The commission referred the Demolition by Neglect case to April 22, 2019, to check on the progress of the applicant in meeting the timeline the applicant submitted at the December 3, 2018, meeting. The commission has not made a finding in the case at this point.
  - (4) Appeal of Landmarks Commission finding. The process has not reached this stage.
  - (5) <u>Abatement by the City</u>. The process has not reached this stage.
  - (6) <u>Acquisition by City</u>. The process has not reached this stage.

Legistar File ID # 53000 121 Langdon St May 6, 2019 Page **4** of **4** 

# Recommendation

## **Demolition by Neglect**

Staff recommends that the Landmarks Commission refer the finding that demolition by neglect is occurring until the May 20, 2019, meeting. At that meeting the commission would review the construction documents, and signed contract for work to ensure that adequate progress is being made on making the required repairs by the court-stipulated deadline of August 15, 2019.