PURCHASING VIEW



CITY OF MADISON • FINANCE DEPARTMENT • PURCHASING SERVICES

Non-Competitive Selection Request

Date:	10/09/2018		
Requisition Number:		(8 characters)	
Requestor Name:	Amanda Lythjohan		
Requestor Phone Number:	267-2675		
Requestor Email:	alythjohan@cityofmadison.com		
Fund:	1400 CAPITAL PROJECT		
Agency:	17 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		
Major:	 53*** Supplies/Goods 541** Utilities 542** Building/Facility Maintenance/Repair 543** Software/Equipment Maintenance/Repair 544** Public Works Maintenance/Repair 545** Training/HR-Related Services 546** Consulting/Professional Services 548** Grants/Loans/Insurance/Other Services 		
Total Purchase Amount:	\$26,000.00		
Vendor Name:	Lifecycle Technolo	pgy Limited	
Product/Service Description:	On: Software for electronic document review		
	\$25,000 and UND This form will be s	ER ent to the Purchasing Supervisor for review.	
✓	provided by the Ci	n and draft a resolution using the sample resolutions ty Attorney to your Budget Analyst. Your resolution will he Finance Committee agenda without this form.	

1 of 3 10/10/2018, 2:50 PM

Check	the	box(es) for the exception criteria you feel are applicable:	
	1.	Public exigency (emergency) will not permit the delay incident to advertising or other competitive processes.	
V	2.	The services or goods required are available from only one person or firm (i.e., true sole source).	
	3.	The services are for professional services to be provided by attorneys.	
	4.	The services are to be rendered by a university, college, or other educational institution.	
	5.	No acceptable bids have been received after formal advertising.	
	6.	Service fees are established by law or professional code.	
	7.	A particular consultant has provided services to the City on a similar or continuing project in the recent past, and it would be economical to the City on the basis of time and money to retain the same consultant.	
	8.	Otherwise authorized by law, rule, resolution, or regulation. Explain:	
If procurement is being paid with Federal or State grant funds, the vendor was identified by name in the approved Grant Application. (OPTIONAL)			
WHY A COMPETITIVE SELECTION PROCESS CANNOT BE USED: Provide detailed explanation below. For a true sole source, provide all information to explain why this product or service can only be purchased from this vendor. For one-of-a-kind items not sold through distributors, explain the unique performance features of the product requested that are not available from any other product. For services detail the unique qualifications this vendor possesses, or other reason(s) that meet the criteria selected above. Identify specific, measurable factors and qualifications.			
The \$	26,00	00 license fee is an annual cost.	
Limite the fo	ed an Illowi	ght different agencies reviewed products products from Adobe, Avolve, and Lifecycle Technology d the DigEplan product from product from Lifecycle Limited was determined to be the best choice for ing reasons:	
 It is integrated with the City's permitting and licensing system It is a proven solution in use by more than 70 cities 			
 Requires no special licenses or software for submitters other than Adobe Pro Is compatible with the City's records system 			
•	Pro	vides for an audit trail of reviews, markups, and comments	
		Il provide the City with a site license affordable.	
The so	oftwa eerin orth.	are allows collaboration with vendors in the reviewing and editing process for building plans and g diagrams, replacing the current cumbersome and hard to track method involving emailing files back Agencies that will utilize this software are: Building Inspection, Assessors Office, Engineering, Fire nt, Traffic Engineering, Planning, and Zoning.	
COMMENTS REGARDING PURCHASES OVER \$25,000			
The City has not purchased anything from Lifecycle Technology Limited prior to this.			

2 of 3

Date: 10/10/2018

Submit

3 of 3