URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPLICATION



City of Madison Planning Division 126 S. Hamilton St. P.O. Box 2985 Madison, WI 53701-2985 (608) 266-4635



Complete all sections of this application, including the desired meeting date and the action requested.

If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate formats or other accommodations to access these forms, please call the phone number above immediately.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:									
Paid	Receipt #								
Date received									
Received by									
Aldermanic District									
Zoning District									
Urban Design District									
Submittal reviewed by									

1. Pr	oject Information								
Ac	ldress:								
Tit	le:								
		that	apply) and Requested Da						
	OC meeting date requested		apply) and Requested Da	le					
	New development		Alteration to an existing o	r previ	ously-approved development				
	Informational		Initial approval	•	Final approval				
3. Pr	oject Type								
	Project in an Urban Desig	n Dis	trict	Sig	nage				
	Project in the Downtown				Comprehensive Design Review (CDR)				
	, , ,		xed-Use Center District (MXC) yment Center District (SEC),		Signage Variance (i.e. modification of signage height, area, and setback)				
_	Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC)				ner				
	Planned Development (Pl	D)			Please specify				
	☐ General Developmen								
	☐ Specific Implementa								
	Planned Multi-Use Site or								
4. Ap	pplicant, Agent, and Prop	erty	Owner Information						
•	•				any				
				_ City/State/Zip					
Te	lephone			Email					
Pr	oject contact person								
Stı	Street address				tate/Zip				
Te	lephone			Email					
Pr	operty owner (if not appli	cant							
Stı	reet address			City/S	tate/Zip				
Te	lephone			Email					

5. R	Required Submittal Materials		
	Application Form)	÷ i i ni i .
	Letter of Intent		Each submittal must include fourteen (14) 11" x
	 If the project is within an Urban Design District, a sudevelopment proposal addresses the district criteria 		17" collated paper copies. Landscape and Lighting
	 For signage applications, a summary of how the pro tent with the applicable CDR or Signage Variance re 	posed signage is consisview criteria is required.	plans (if required) must be full-sized. Please refrain
	Development plans (Refer to checklist provided below	for plan details)	from using plastic covers or
	Filing fee)	spiral binding.
	Electronic Submittal*		
be	oth the paper copies and electronic copies <u>must</u> be submit e scheduled for a UDC meeting. Late materials will not be ac ppearance.	ted prior to the application de cepted. A completed application	adline before an application will on form is required for each UDC
C	or projects also requiring Plan Commission approval, applica ommission consideration prior to obtaining any formal act egible when reduced.		
pi no	Electronic copies of all items submitted in hard copy are reompiled on a CD or flash drive, or submitted via email to ud roject address, project name, and applicant name. Electroni ot allowed. Applicants who are unable to provide the mater 66-4635 for assistance.	capplications@cityofmadison.cic submittals via file hosting ser	com. The email must include the vices (such as Dropbox.com) are
6. A	applicant Declarations		
1.	Prior to submitting this application, the applicant is r Commission staff. This application was discussed wi May 30, 2018		sed project with Urban Design on
2.	The applicant attests that all required materials are in- information is not provided by the application deadline, the agenda for consideration.	cluded in this submittal and under application will not be placed	nderstands that if any required on an Urban Design Commission
Α	pplicant name James McFadden	Relationship to property A	rchitect
A	uthorized signature of Property Owner	74	Date May 30, 2018
7. A	pplication Filing Fees		
Co	ees are required to be paid with the first application for either the combined application process involving the Urban Desormon Council consideration. Make checks payable to City han \$1,000.	sign Commission in conjunctio	n with Plan Commission and/or
PI	lease consult the schedule below for the appropriate fee fo	r your request:	
	Urban Design Districts: \$350 (per §35.24(6) MGO).	A filing fee is not required f	or the following project
	Minor Alteration in the Downtown Core District (DC) or Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX): \$150 (per §33.24(6)(b) MGO)		ombined application process
	Comprehensive Design Review: \$500 (per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(a) MGO)	Mixed-Use District (UMX	n Core District (DC), Urban (), or Mixed-Use Center District
	Minor Alteration to a Comprehensive Sign Plan: \$100 (per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(c) MGO)	(MXC) Project in the Suburbar District (SEC), Campus	Employment Center Institutional District (CI), or
		Employment Campus E	
	Commission, including, but not limited to: appeals from the decisions of the Zoning Administrator, requests for signage variances (i.e. modifications of		(PD): General Development cific Implementation Plan (SIP)
	signage height, area, and setback), and additional sign code approvals: \$300 (per §31.041(3)(d)(2) MGO)	 Planned Multi-Use Site Complex 	or Residential Building

URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPROVAL PROCESS



Introduction

The City of Madison's Urban Design Commission (UDC) has been created to:

- Encourage and promote high quality in the design of new buildings, developments, remodeling, and additions so as to maintain and improve the established standards of property values within the City.
- Foster civic pride in the beauty and nobler assets of the City, and in all other ways possible assure a functionally efficient and visually attractive City in the future.

Types of Approvals

There are three types of requests considered by the UDC:

- <u>Informational Presentation</u>. Applicants may, at their discretion, request to make an Informational Presentation to the UDC prior to seeking any approvals to obtain early feedback and direction before undertaking detailed design. Applicants should provide details on the context of the site, design concept, site and building plans, and other relevant information to help the UDC understand the proposal and provide feedback. (Does not apply to CDR's or Signage Variance requests)
- <u>Initial Approval</u>. Applicants may, at their discretion, request initial approval of a proposal by presenting preliminary design information. As part of their review, the Commission will provide feedback on the design information what should be addressed at Final Approval stage.
- <u>Final Approval</u>. Applicants may request Final Approval of a proposal by presenting all final project details. Recommendations or concerns expressed by the UDC in the initial approval must be addressed at this time.

Presentations to the Commission

Primarily, the UDC is interested in the appearance and design quality of projects. Emphasis should be given to the site plan, landscape plan, lighting plan, building elevations, exterior building materials, color scheme, and graphics.

When presenting projects to the UDC, applicants must fill out a registration slip provided in the meeting room and present it to the Secretary. Presentations should generally be limited to 5 minutes or as extended by motion by consent of the Commission. The Commission will withhold questions until the end of the presentation.

Applicants are encouraged to consider the use of various graphic presentation material including a locator map, photographs, renderings/model, scale drawings of the proposal in context with adjacent buildings/uses/signs, etc., as may be deemed appropriate to describe the project and its surroundings. Graphics should be mounted on rigid boards so that they may be easily displayed. Applicants/presenters are responsible for all presentation materials, AV equipment and easels.

URBAN DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PLANS CHECKLIST



The items listed below are minimal application requirements for the type of approval indicated. Please note that the UDC and/or staff may require additional information in order to have a complete understanding of the project.

1. Infor	mat	tional Presentation							
[Locator Map			Require	eme	ents for All Plan Sheets		
[Letter of Intent (If the project is within	1		1. Ti	tle l	block		
		an Urban Design District, a summary of <a 40'="" =="" href="https://how.ncbi.nlm.ncbi.n</td><td rowspan=2></td><td></td><td>2. Sl</td><td>neet</td><td>number</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>the district criteria is required)</td><td>Providing additional</td><td>3. N</td><td>orth</td><td>n arrow</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>Contextual site information, including</td><td></td><td>information beyond these</td><td></td><td></td><td>, both written and graphic</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>photographs and layout of adjacent</td><td></td><td>minimums may generate a greater level of feedback</td><td colspan=3>5. Date</td></tr><tr><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>buildings/structures Site Plan</td><td></td><td>from the Commission.</td><td colspan=4>Fully dimensioned plans,
scaled at 1" larger<="" li="" or="">							
		Two-dimensional (2D) images of					must be legible, including		
-	_	proposed buildings or structures.			the full- plans (i)		d landscape and lighting uired)		
2. Initial	Δn	nroval			, ()		,		
		Locator Map				`			
		Letter of Intent (If the project is within a U	rba	an Design District, a summary	of	1			
		how the development proposal addresses							
[Contextual site information, including pho buildings/structures	tog	raphs and layout of adjacent			Providing additional		
[☐ Site Plan showing location of existing and proposed buildings, walks, drives, bike minimu						information beyond these minimums may generate		
г	lanes, bike parking, and existing trees over 18" diameter ☐ Landscape Plan and Plant List (must be legible) ☐ a greater level from the Con								
		, , , ,							
		material callouts)		· · ·					
[PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable)			,	J			
3. Final	App	proval							
All the	e re	quirements of the Initial Approval (see abo	ve)), <u>plus</u> :					
[Grading Plan							
[Proposed Signage (if applicable)							
[Lighting Plan, including fixture cut sheets a	and	photometrics plan (must be	legible)				
[Utility/HVAC equipment location and scree	eni	ng details (with a rooftop plar	n if roof-	mo	unted)		
[PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable)							
[Samples of the exterior building materials	(pr	resented at the UDC meeting)					
4. Comp	reh	ensive Design Review (CDR) and Variance	ce I	Requests (<u>Signage applicati</u>	ons onl	<u>y</u>)			
[Locator Map							
[Letter of Intent (a summary of \underline{how} the prois required)	opc	osed signage is consistent with	n the CD	R o	r Signage Variance criteria		
[Contextual site information, including pho project site	tog	graphs of existing signage botl	n on site	and	d within proximity to the		
[Site Plan showing the location of existing sidewalks, driveways, and right-of-ways	ign	nage and proposed signage, di	imensio	ned	signage setbacks,		
[Proposed signage graphics (fully dimensio	nec	d, scaled drawings, including r	material	s an	d colors, and night view)		
[Perspective renderings (emphasis on pede	str	ian/automobile scale viewshe	eds)				
[Graphic of the proposed signage as it relates to what the Ch. 31, MGO would permit							

Letter of Intent

From: McFadden & Company

380 West Washington Avenue Madison, Wisconsin 53703

(608) 251-1350 james@mcfadden.com

To: City of Madison Urban Design Commission

126 North Hamilton

Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Date: May 24, 2018

Project: 121 North Butler

Address: 119-125 North Butler and 120 & 124 North Hancock

This is a reprise of a now lapsed PUD-SIP originally approved in 2008 immediately prior to the onset of the recession and a subsequent proposal considered in 2017 As before, proposed is a new four story apartment building facing Butler constructed over parking entered via the existing drive located between 120 and 124 North Hancock. This submission differs in that the building is reduced in size with it's depth conforming to the standards for the adjacent non-through lot properties.

Address:

119, 123 & 125 North Butler and 120 & 124 North Hancock

Background:

A development based on a similar concept with a four-story apartment building facing Butler Street over underground parking accessed from Hancock but larger in scale 68' vs. 60' in width and 102' vs. 88' in depth was approved (PUD-SIP) in 2008. Construction was unfortunately held up by the recession and approval expired.

Context:

The one hundred block of Butler Street slopes down approximately forty feet between Mifflin and Johnson Streets. The block face to the east is fairly intact with predominately wood framed buildings of varying size and quality dating back the early years of the past century. The Capital North Parking Ramp dominates the block face to the west. Its entry located directly across the street from the proposed development.

There have been three sizable recent developments on the first block of North Butler at Butler Plaza (7 stories/66 units) & the Arcadia (4 stories/26 units) and



the Oaecca (6 stories/38 units). The four-story McBride Point with 72 units is across Johnson Street to the north.

There is a current imbalance in the market with a healthy supply of larger units either in older buildings such as found in the immediate neighborhood or in amenity packed newer developments. There is a marked lack of simple smaller apartments affordable to those with a limited housing budget who want a place of there own downtown.

The site is zoned DR1. The new building's 60' width, 15' front yard setback and 4-story height fill the allowed zoning envelope. All other requirements are comfortably met. This is a conditional use as it has more than eight or more units and it comes to the UDC due to the multiple buildings involved

The City adopted its Downtown Plan in 2012 that included Recommendation 104 "Allow relatively higher *density development that conforms to the Maximum Building Heights Map along North Hamilton, Butler and Gorham Streets".

The 90 foot wide 20,625 SF site itself slopes nine feet down from the Southeast corner towards Hancock Street. There are two functionally obsolete and architecturally anomalous structures at located at 123 & 125 North Butler and two intact vintage buildings on North Hancock. There are three garages and 7,200 SF of surface parking on the block's interior. 57% of the site is currently devoted to parking and there is only 1,516 SF of useable open space.

Existing Conditions:

Originally there were five residential structures on this sloping half-acre site three facing Butler Street and the downtown to the West and two fronting Hancock Street to the East. The structure previously located at 119 N Butler has been renovated and relocated to 520 East Johnson Street as part of the 2008 GDP-SIP.

The two buildings on Hancock were built circa 1900 and have retained their original traditional wood framed architectural character. These will be retained.

The two remaining buildings on North Butler are a different matter. 123 North is an anomaly constructed of masonry forty years after it neighbors. 125 North Butler has been extensively expanded and remodeled over the years. These two buildings will be demolished.

Proposed Improvements:

The 120 and 124 North Hancock buildings will be retained and the remainder of the site including the two structures on Butler cleared.

Proposed is a new four-story 60 foot wide 88 foot deep building with 37 rental apartments (13 Studios, 8 Ones, 9 Twos, 1 Three). It will be set back 15 feet from Butler Street in conformance with the Zoning Ordinance and to fit in with the existing pattern set by the neighboring buildings which range from 9 to 20 feet to the north (16'-3" Average) and from 8 to 15 feet to the south (12'-10" average to the south).

The unit mix will be skewed to the extent allowed by the Zoning Ordinance's diversity requirement towards smaller units. The apartments will have nine-foot ceilings and generous daylight but are purposefully simple and compact to allow affordability.

Working with the site's sloping topography a 14 stall underground parking garage will be built into the hill so that it will be invisible from Butler Street. Access will be via a reconstructed drive entering from Hancock Street between the 120 and 124 buildings. There will be 11 stalls of surface parking and two-vehicle stand-alone garage for a snowplow and other maintenance equipment.

In total there will be parking for 25 cars and 37 bicycles (27 enclose & 10 surface). A path will be constructed along the north side of the building to allow residents to walk or bike to and from Butler and the rear yard where there will be two picnic areas furnished with tables and barbeque.

The building itself is simple in shape in the American four square style and will be faced with fiber cement siding, a band of smooth flat panels at the bottom, lap siding in the middle and second band of flat panels at the top. There will be a pair of triple tiered balconies supported with a colonnade of 16" square columns facing Butler Street. The fourth story will be set back six feet both to reduce the apparent scale of the building and to provide space for two outdoor decks for the fourth-floor three-bedroom apartment. The windows will be single or paired set of three by six single hungs. The roof will be left flat to allow the installation of solar panels in the future. There will be no HVAC vents to the exterior from the units.

The existing drive between them will be widened in part to eighteen feet 18' wide to allow passing and an active sensor/signaling system will be installed in the drive to alert vehicles exiting the site to yield to those entering.

There will be new garage, parking for eleven cars & eight bikes and 4,060 SF of useable open space furnished with seating and barbeque equipment.

The two functionally obsolete and architecturally anomalous structures at 123 & 125 North Butler will be demolished and replaced with a new architecturally compatible wood framed building with 37 rental apartments.

Construction Schedule:

Start: Fall 2018 Completion: Spring 2019

Owner:

Cliff Fisher

107 North Hancock

Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Architect:

James McFadden

McFadden & Company

228 State Street

Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Landscape Architect:

Paul Skidmore

Skidmore Property Services, LLC

13 Red Maple Trail

Madison, Wisconsin 53717

Current Zoning:

DR1

Site Area:

20,625 SF or 0.47 Acres

Building Footprints:

Existing: 7,534 SF

Proposed: 7,568 SF (2,236 SF Existing, 520 SF Garage & 5,280 SF New)

Lot Coverage:

Permitted 75% Maximum

Proposed 37%

Surface Parking & Walks Area:

Existing: 9,313 SF Proposed: 5,585 SF

Useable Open Space:

Existing: 1,516 SF Proposed: 4,060 SF

Floor Area:

Total Existing: 13,534 SF Retained: 4,671

New: 5,280 SF Footprint x 4 = $\frac{21,200}{35,791}$ SF

Unit Mix:

Existing: 4 Threes

Proposed: 13 Studios, 8 Ones, 9 Twos, 1 Three

Total: 31 New and 4 Existing

Residential Point Value:

Required 1.25 Proposed 1.25

Usable Open Space:

(13) Efficiencies @ 40 = 520 SF (8) Ones @ 40 = 320 (9) Twos @ 80 = 720 (5) Threes @ 120 = 600

Total Open Space Required (DR1) 2,160 SF

Total Open Space Provided 4,060 SF – OK

Trash and Maintenance:

An enclosed trash space is provided immediately adjacent to the lower level parking. There is stand-alone two-vehicle garage for a snowplow and maintenance equipment

Parking:

Existing Vehicle:

Surface: 12 Enclosed: 9 Total: 21

Proposed Vehicle:

Surface: 11
Garage 2
Enclosed: 14
Total: 27

No residential parking permits will be issued for 121 North Butler; the applicant shall inform all tenants of this in their apartment leases. The lease will also contain language that drivers exiting the site will yield to vehicles entering from North Hancock.

Proposed Bike Storage:


Surface: 10 Garage: <u>37</u> Total: 47







Looking East



Conteext 121 North Butler May 14, 2018

28.077 DOWNTOWN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS.

(1) Statement of Purpose.

These districts are intended to recognize historic Downtown neighborhoods comprised of predominantly residential uses with some non-residential uses. The districts are also intended to:

- (a) Facilitate the preservation, development or redevelopment goals of the comprehensive plan and of adopted neighborhood, corridor or special area plans.
- (b) Promote the preservation and conservation of historic buildings and districts while allowing selective infill and redevelopment based on the recommendations of adopted City plans.
- (c) Ensure that new buildings and additions to existing buildings are designed with sensitivity to their context in terms of scale and rhythm, building placement, facade width, height and proportions, garage and driveway placement, landscaping and similar design features.

28.078 DOWNTOWN RESIDENTIAL 1 DISTRICT.

(1) <u>Permitted and Conditional Uses.</u>

See Table 28E-2 for a complete list of allowed uses within the downtown and urban districts.

(2) <u>Dimensional Standards</u>.

Standards represent minimums unless otherwise noted. Dimensions are in feet unless otherwise noted

Downtown Residential 1 District									
Lot area (sq. ft.) 3,000									
Lot width	1, 2, and 3-unit dwellings: 30								
	>3-unit dwellings, and non-residential and mixed-use buildings:								
	40								
Front yard setback	15								
•	See (a) below								
Side yard setback	5								
	Lot width <40: 10% lot width								
Rear yard setback	20% of lot depth, but at least 30								
	See (b) below								
Maximum lot coverage	75%								
Maximum height	See Downtown Height Map								
Stepback	See Downtown Stepback Map								
Usable open space	40 sq. ft. per bedroom								
	See (c) below								

- (a) Front yard setbacks may be designated on the zoning map as a specific location (build to line), a minimum, or a range.
- (b) Underground parking may extend into the rear yard setback if located completely below grade.
- (c) Usable open space may take the form of at-grade open space, porches, balconies, roof decks, green roofs or other above-ground amenities.

(3) Residential Point System.

To ensure a variety of housing types in the downtown area, the following point values are established:

Type of Dwelling Unit	Point Value
Studio/efficiency unit	0.75
One-bedroom unit	1
Two-bedroom unit	2
Three or more bedroom unit	3

In any development site except for the Residential - Group Living category (see Table 28E-2) the average point value for all dwelling units must be at least 1.25.

(4) Building Standards.

The following standards are applicable to new buildings and additions, within any ten- (10) year period, exceeding fifty percent (50%) of existing building's floor area.

- (a) <u>Maximum Building Width</u>. The maximum width of any building fronting the primary abutting street shall not exceed sixty (60) feet.
- Through-lot Development. Development of through lots shall be designed with buildings oriented to each street and with a minimum distance of sixty (60) feet between rear facades of above-ground building elements. Underground parking may extend into this shared rear yard area if located completely below grade.

Zoning & Downtown Plan Context

James Madison Park Recommendations

Objective 4.11: The James Madison Park neighborhood should accommodate a mix of dwelling units, some of which are suitable for families with children. The renovation of existing houses coupled with selective redevelopment that generally reflects the scale and rhythm of the existing structures should help reinvigorate the area, provide a variety of housing options (including workforce housing), and strengthen linkages to the adjacent Tenney-Lapham neighborhood.

Recommendation 101: Promote the construction and rehabilitation of family-supportive housing and consider adopting an ordinance with standards for such development.

Recommendation 102: Require that new development provide ample on-site open space and play areas for use by young children, and do not waive usable open space requirements in the James Madison Park District.

Recommendation 103: Encourage family-supportive workforce housing design in new multi-family developments, including more modern, larger units (2-3 bedrooms) and true usable on-site open space.

Recommendation 104: Allow

relatively higher-density development that conforms to the Maximum Building Heights Map along North Hamilton, Butler and Gorham Streets.

Recommendation 105: Allow infill and redevelopment along Hancock, Franklin and Blair Streets generally compatible in scale and design with the predominantly "house like" neighborhood character.

Recommendation 106: Consider establishing a Neighborhood Conservation District as identified in the Downtown Historic Preservation Plan.

James Madison Park

The James Madison Park neighborhood is characterized by fairly intact blocks of two- to three-story houses. Many of these houses have been long time rental properties and include larger units that would accommodate families with children.

The renovation of existing structures, coupled with selective redevelopment that reflects the scale and rhythm of the existing structures, will help ensure the future vibrancy of the area, provide a variety of housing options, and strengthen linkages to the adjacent Tenney-Lapham and Mansion Hill neighborhoods. During the planning process, many participants expressed a desire to create Downtown neighborhoods that were inviting to families with children. With its proximity to Lapham Elementary School, presence of houses that could accommodate such families,

and a large park (James Madison Park), this neighborhood provides the best opportunity to foster this type of environment. The Downtown Historic Preservation Plan (1998) recommends that a neighborhood conservation area be created, "wherein the existing residential character of the core of the neighborhood would be preserved and encroachment by incompatible uses will be prevented." A neighborhood conservation district is a tool provided in the Zoning Ordinance to help ensure that important, unique, and consistent development patterns and design features (such as setbacks, roof forms, or the presence of large front porches) within the neighborhood are conserved. The first step in implementing this recommendation would be a study to articulate the specific characteristics of the neighborhood to be preserved. The Fourth Lake Ridge National Register Historic District runs along portions of East Gorham Street.













Scenes from James Madison Park


DOWNTOWN ____

| 56

Market Context

The Macro View on Micro Units

The Urban Land Institute Multifamily Housing Councils were awarded a ULI Foundation research grant in fall 2013 to evaluate from multiple perspectives the market performance and market acceptance of micro and small units.





common perception exists that unit sizes in new apartments have been shrinking as developers seek higher density and higher revenue per square foot to offset rising land value and construction costs and to hold monthly rent at an affordable level relative to income. The ultimate incarnation of this trend has been the introduction—or the reintroduction—of very small units, often referred to as micro units. These very small (by traditional standards) apartments, leasing at approximately 20 percent to 30 percent lower monthly rent than conventional units, yet at very high value ratios (rent per square foot), have been offered or are being considered in urban and urbanizing locales, particularly high-density, expensive metropolitan markets such as Boston, New York, San Francisco, Seattle, and Washington, D.C. This research report explores this renewed trend in the United States and seeks to answer the following key questions:

- What exactly is a micro unit?
- How have smaller and micro-unit rental apartments performed in the marketplace compared with larger, more conventional apartments?
- Does the higher per square foot rent justify the higher construction cost?
- What are some of the examples across the country where micro units have been successfully developed and operated?
- What are the critical success factors and lessons learned from developers, owners, operators, and design professionals that have experience with this new breed of micro-unit community?
- What has been the experience of residents who have actually lived in one of these tiny apart-

ments, what do they like and dislike, and what motivated them to consider a micro unit in the first place?

- What would motivate potential renters of conventional apartments to live in a smaller unit?
- Based on a compilation of all of the above, what is the likely future for micro units; is this a passing fad or a growing trend?

To answer these and other questions, the research team for this report analyzed hard data to understand the performance of smaller and micro units in the marketplace. The team also conducted consumer research with residents of micro units to understand their experience and satisfaction levels compared with occupants of conventional units. Finally, the team compiled case studies of micro-unit rental apartment communities and conducted a series of interviews with industry experts to identify best practices and lessons learned. The ultimate objective of this research is to gather and share innovative ideas that can contribute to the successful development of micro-unit communities in the future.

Some of the key findings, which the report provides in greater detail, follow:

- Although *micro unit* has no standard definition, a working definition is a small studio apartment, typically less than 350 square feet, with a fully functioning and accessibility compliant kitchen and bathroom. Under this definition, a 160-square-foot single-room-occupancy (SRO) unit that relies upon communal kitchen or bathroom facilities does not qualify as a true micro unit.
- Smaller and micro units outperform conventional units in the marketplace—they achieve higher occupancy rates and garner significant rental-rate premiums (rent per square foot) compared with conventional units. However, the stock of very small units is still quite limited, and it is difficult to know whether the performance of these smaller units is driven by their relative scarcity or whether significant pent-up demand for micro units actually exists.
- Both the consumer research and the case studies indicate that a segment of renters is indeed interested in the micro-unit concept; nearly a quarter of renters in conventional apartments indicate they would be interested or very interested in renting a micro unit. Depending upon one's perspective,

- either this speaks to a potentially huge untapped market, or it remains a niche market.
- The appeal of micro units is largely about economics, but place and privacy are all part of the equation. Most respondents interested in micro units are willing to consider them in exchange for a lower monthly rent (approximately 20 percent to 30 percent below that of a conventionally sized unit), a highly desirable (typically authentic, urban/urbanizing, walkable, trendy) location, and the ability to live alone.
- The target market profile for micro units is predominantly young professional singles, typically under 30 years of age, with most under 27 years of age, trending slightly more male than female. Secondary segments include some couples and roommates, some older move-down singles, and pied-à-terre users.
- Developing and operating a rental apartment community with micro units are more expensive, but the premium rent per square foot achieved more than makes up for the added cost.
- Developers and design professionals have come up with a number of creative solutions that ensure micro units are compliant with Fair Housing Amendment Act and accessibility requirements, livable, and actually feel larger than they really are. Such items include flexible furniture systems, high ceilings (more than nine feet), oversized windows, built-in storage, gadget walls, and movable kitchen islands.
- Rental apartment communities with micro units also emphasize what is outside the confines of the unit itself. Developers tend to offer an extensive array of amenities, intimate gathering spaces, and services to residents that enable them to experience community outside their micro unit.
- A definite shift has taken place toward a greater mix of smaller studio and one-bedroom apartments, and micro units are a growing trend across the country with a number of communities under construction and many more in the planning stages. However, to hedge their bets, some savvy developers are building in the flexibility to convert side-by-side micro units back into conventional one- and two-bedroom units, just in case the concept is a flash in the pan.






00 block of North Butler looking Southwest



00 block of North Butler looking Southeast



00 block of North Butler looking Northeast



100 Block of North Butler looking Northeast



100 Block of North Butler looking Southeast



100 Block of North Butler looking Southwest



100 block of North Butler looking Southwest



300 block of North Butler/Hamilton looking Northeast



300 Block of North Butler looking Southwest






View West between 120 & 124 North Hancock



View from the North East (Rear) of 119, 123 & 125 North Butler



View from the South West of 119, 123 & 125 North Butler




View from the South East (Rear) of 119, 123 & 125 North Butler 119, 123 & 125 North Butler Existing Exterior Conditions May 5, 2007





View from North West



View from North East



View from South West



View from South East 123 North Butler Existing Exterior Conditions May 5, 2007



View from North West




View from North East



View from South West




View from South East



View from South West




View from South East 125 North Butler Existing Exterior Conditions May 5, 2007




DESCRIPTION:


The Southeast 1/2 of Lot 6, the Northwest 1/2 of Lot 6, the Southeast 1/2 of Lot 14, the Northwest 32 feet of Lot 13, and the Southeast 25 1/4 feet of the Northwest 57 1/4 of Lot 13, all in Block 111 of the Original Plat of the City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin.




20,625 SF Site Area Coverage Buildings 7,318 SF 10,230 SF 17,548 SF Paved Total Useable Open Space 1,510 SF

Existing Site Plan @ 1" 20" 119-121 North Butler September 20, 2016







Project Data:
Footprint
5,280 SF
Parking
14 Enclosed
13 Surface
Bike Storage
38 Enclosed
10 Surface

Parking Level Plan @ 1/8"=1'-0" 121 North Butler May 12, 2018







Apartments

19 Studios


14 Ones

3 Twos

1 Three New

4 Threes Existing

41 Total




_			PLANT LIST		LANDSCAPE WORKSHEET		
KEY	KEY QUAN		COMMON NAME	ROOT	Zoning Classification: Landscape Points Required		
SHIL	<u>3</u> 3	2 1/2"	<u>Canopy Trees</u> Skyline Honey Locust	ВВ	Developed Area = Landscape Points: 5,345/300 x 5 =	5,348 SF 89 points	
	7		Low Ornamental Trees		Total Landscape Points Required	89 points	
CP TSC	3 4	2" 2"	Callery Pear Tina Sargent Crab	BB BB	Landscape Points Supplied	-	
RBC	25 2 12 11	5' 24" 24"	<u>Deciduous Shrubs</u> Autumn Brilliance Serviceberry Black Chokeberry White Snowberry	BB Pot Pot	Existing canopy trees - 0 @ 35 = Proposed canopy trees - 3 @ 35 = Existing evergreen trees - 0 @ 35 = Proposed evergreen trees - 0 @ 35 = Existing ornamental trees - 0 @ 15 =	0 points 105 points 0 points 0 points 0 points	
GVB		18"	Evergreen Shrubs Green Velvet Boxwoods	BB	Proposed ornamental trees – 7 @ 15 = Existing upright evergreen shrubs – 0 @ 10 = Proposed upright evergreen shrubs – 0 @ 10 = Existing deciduous shrubs – 0 @ 3 =	105 points 0 points 0 points 0 points	
bes	<u>49</u> 21	1 G	<u>Perennials</u> Black Eyed Susan	Con	Proposed deciduous shrubs -25 @ 3 =	75 points	
lbs sdd	8 9	1 G 1 G	Little Bluestem Stella de Oro Day Lily	Con Con	Existing evergreen shrubs -0 @ 4 = Proposed evergreen shrubs -33 @ 4 =	0 points 132 points	
yc	11	1 G	Yellow Coneflower	Con	Existing perennials & grasses 0 @ 2 = Proposed perennials & grasses 49 @ 2 =	0 points 98 points	
NOT	TES:				Total landscape points supplied =	515 points	
2) 3) 4)	premium bl Designated Planting bed Individual t bark mulch	uegrass m planting l ds to rece rees and s plant ring be respor	beds to be separated from lawn area by a ive shredded hardwood bark mulch spre shrub groupings in lawn areas to receive gs (4' diameter) spread to a depth of 3". nsible for landscape maintenance after co	5" black vinyl edge. ad to a depth of 3". shredded hardwood			

North Butler Street

McFadden & Company 380 West Washington Ave Madison, Wisconsin 53703 608.251.1350 mcfadden@mailbag.com

North Hancock Street



The CBR7 LED Commercial Bollard is an excellent choice for retrofit, as well as new construction

applications. It is designed with a standard selection

of distributions & color temperatures to meet most

commercial requirements. It is ideal for retail, parks, schools, office buildings and more general lighting

_ 7 1/8" _

applications.

Prepared By:

Features & Specifications

 Available in two optical distributions, symmetrical and asymmetrical. • Optically clear vandal-resistant extruded polycarbonate lens seals the

Optical System

PROJECT: Townhouse/JM051418 GROUP: Site and Garage AREA: Site GRID: Grade

Computed in accordance with IES recommendations


PREPARED BY: Dave - Visual Impact Lighting

GROUP	MIN	MAX	AVE	AVE/MIN	MAX/MIN	
(+)	0.00	9.58	0.87	N/A	N/A	

VALUES ARE FC, SCALE: 1 IN= 10.0FT, HORZ GRID (U), HORZ CALC, Z= 0.0

Luminaires Used

TVDE		DESCRIPTION
TYPE	QTY TEST#	DESCRIPTION
BL	6	LSI bollard, 42" bollard,
		(1) B <cbr7-led-10l-pc-lv-40>, LLF= 1.00;</cbr7-led-10l-pc-lv-40>
	_	
OA	2	Leotek Arietta, 15' SSS, Conc Pour
		(1) A <ar13-10m-mv-nw-3-db-700 from="" l12134101="">, LLF= 1.00;</ar13-10m-mv-nw-3-db-700>



X-AXIS

LEOTEK®

Luminaire Data

EPA 0.47 ft²

Ordering Information

Sample Catalog No. AR13 6M2 MV NW 5 BK 700 MSL3

Weight 15.4 lbs [7 kg]

ARIETA™13 Architectural LED Area Luminaire

00000

00000

00000

[363 mm]

Drive Current

AR13 M2 Series Specification Data Sheet

Project :

Date





The CBR7 LED Commercial Bollard is an excellent choice for retrofit, as well as new construction applications. It is designed with a standard selection of distributions & color temperatures to meet most commercial requirements. It is ideal for retail, parks, schools, office buildings and more general lighting applications.

Features & Specifications

Optical System

- Available in two optical distributions, symmetrical and asymmetrical.
- Optically clear vandal-resistant extruded polycarbonate lens seals the luminaire's optical chamber to IP65.
- Available in 5000K, 4000K, and 3,000K color temperatures per ANSI C78.377. Consult Factory for other color temperature requirements.
- Optional internal louvers available to conceal the light source.
- Minimum CRI of 70. Consult Factory for Higher CRI requirements.

Electrical

- High-performance driver features over-voltage, under voltage, short-circuit and over temperature protection.
- 0-10 volt dimming (10% 100%) standard.
- Standard Universal Voltage (120-277 Vac) Input 50/60 Hz. Optional High Voltage 347 Vac Input available.
- L70 Calculated Life: > 147k Hours projected @ 25°C per IESNA TM-21-11.
- Total harmonic distortion: <20%
- Operating temperature: -40°C to +40°C (-40°F to +104°F)
- Power factor: >.90
- Input power stays constant over life.
- High-efficacy LEDs with integrated circuit board mount to the housing to maximize heat dissipation and promote long life.
- Driver components are fully encased in potting material for moisture resistance. Driver complies with FCC standards. Driver and key electronic components can easily be accessed.
- Electrical components are mounted on a removable power tray.
- Field replaceable surge protection device meets a minimum Category C Low operation (per ANSI/IEEE C62.41.2).
- Optional 120v-277v integral emergency battery pack is available to meet critical life safety lighting requirements. The 90-minute batteries provide constant power to the LED system, ensuring code compliance. A test switch/indicator button is installed on the housing for ease of maintenance.












Product Dimensions







Project Type


Catalog No.




ARIETA™13 Architectural LED Area Luminaire AR13 M2 Series Specification Data Sheet

Luminaire Data

Weight 15.4 lbs [7 kg] **EPA** 0.47 ft²





Ordering Information

Sample Catalog No. AR13 6M2 MV NW 5 BK 700 MSL3

Product	LED Code	V	/oltage	_	ninal Color nperature	Di	stribution		Finish ¹	Drive Current Code ²		Options
AR13	4M2 6M2 10M2 15M2 18M2 20M2	HV HV	120-277V 347-480V	ww NW CW	3000K 4000K 5000K	2 3 4 5	Type 2 Type 3 Type 4 Type 5	BK DB WH GY NA	Black Dark Bronze White Gray Natural Aluminum	350 530 700	FDC ⁴ FFA ⁵ PCR ⁶ PCR7 ⁶ PCR7-CR ⁷ MSL7 ⁸ MSL3 ⁸ PND1 ⁹ PND2 ⁹ PND3 ⁹ ORR ORL WL	House Side Shield (Factory Installed) Fixed Drive Current Full Field Adjustability NEMA Photocontrol Receptacle ANSI 7-wire Photocontrol Receptacle Control Ready 7-wire Photocontrol Receptacle Motion Sensor with L7 Lens Motion Sensor with L3 Lens Part-Night Dimming Part-Night Dimming Optics Rotated Right Optics Rotated Left Utility Wattage Label

Notes:

- $1\;\, \text{Black, Dark Bronze, White, Gray, or Natural Aluminum standard. Consult factory for other finishes.}$
- 2 Specified drive current code is the factory set maximum drive current. Field adjustable current selector enables standard dimming to lower wattage drive currents only. Consult factory if wattage limits require a special drive current.
- 3 Flush mounted shield factory installed, also available for field installion. House Side Shield cuts light off at 1/2 mounting height behind luminaire.
- 4 Non-field adjustable drive current. Specify 350mA, 530mA or 700mA setting.
- 5 The FFA option enables full field adjustability from the specified drive current code to all drive currents available. This option is not DLC qualified.
- 6 Field adjustable current selector included to enable standard dimming to lower wattage drive currents only. Field changeable connectors included to enable connection to PCR7 (wireless node dimming is disabled by default).
- 7 Control-ready wired at factory for wireless node dimming. Supplied at maximum drive current. If lower drive current is required, consult factory.
- 8 Motion Sensor available with MV. See L7 or L3 Lens coverage details on page 5. Consult factory for MS specified with ANSI 7-wire Photocontrol Receptacle. PCR option is required for On/Off control using light detection
- $\,9\,$ For PND profile options see page 6. Only available with MV (120-277V).
- 10 Specify Color (GY, DB, BK, WH, NA)
- 11 Specify MV (120-277V) or HV (347V or 480V)

© 2018 Leotek Electronics USA LLC.

AR13_M2 Series_Spec Sheet_031918. Specifications subject to change without notice.

Accessories* HSSAR13^{3,10} House Side Shield RPA^{10} Round Pole Adapter PTF1¹⁰ Square Pole Top Fitter Single Square Pole Top Fitter Twin at 180° PTF210 Square Pole Top Fitter Quad PTF410 **WM**¹⁰ Wall Mount BSK Bird Deterrent Spider Kit $LLPC^{11}$ Long-Life Twist Lock Photocontrol Twist Lock Shorting Cap Motion Sensor Configuration Tool FSIR100

*Accessories are ordered separately and not to be included in the catalog number

Lighting Fixtures 121 North Butler June 22, 2018











East

Building Elevations @ 1/12" = 1'-0" 121 North Butler June 23, 2018





West





Building Elevations @ 1/12" = 1'-0"
121 North Butler

