PURCHASING VIEW



CITY OF MADISON • FINANCE DEPARTMENT • PURCHASING SERVICES

Non-Competitive Selection Request

| Date: | 06/07/2018 | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Requisition Number: | | (8 characters) |
| Requestor Name: | Patricia Lauten, Deputy City Attorney | |
| Requestor Phone Number: | 266-4511 | |
| Requestor Email: | plauten@cityofmadison.com | |
| Fund: | 1420 TAX INCREMENT DISTRICTS | |
| Agency: | 11 ATTORNEY | |
| Major: | 53*** Supplies/Goods 541** Utilities 542** Building/Facility Maintenance/Repair 543** Software/Equipment Maintenance/Repair 544** Public Works Maintenance/Repair 545** Training/HR-Related Services 546** Consulting/Professional Services 548** Grants/Loans/Insurance/Other Services | |
| Total Purchase Amount: | \$50,000.00 | |
| Vendor Name: | Stroud, Willink & Howard | |
| Product/Service Description: | vice Description: Legal Services | |
| | \$25,000 and UND This form will be s | ER ent to the Purchasing Supervisor for review. |
| ✓ | provided by the Ci | n and draft a resolution using the sample resolutions ty Attorney to your Budget Analyst. Your resolution will he Finance Committee agenda without this form. |

1 of 2 6/8/2018, 9:28 AM

| Chec | k the | box(es) for the exception criteria you feel are applicable: | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | 1. | Public exigency (emergency) will not permit the delay incident to advertising or other competitive processes. | |
| | 2. | The services or goods required are available from only one person or firm (i.e., true sole source). | |
| V | 3. | The services are for professional services to be provided by attorneys. | |
| | 4. | The services are to be rendered by a university, college, or other educational institution. | |
| | 5. | No acceptable bids have been received after formal advertising. | |
| | 6. | Service fees are established by law or professional code. | |
| | 7. | A particular consultant has provided services to the City on a similar or continuing project in the recent past, and it would be economical to the City on the basis of time and money to retain the same consultant. | |
| | 8. | Otherwise authorized by law, rule, resolution, or regulation. Explain: | |
| WHY A COMPETITIVE SELECTION PROCESS CANNOT BE USED: Provide detailed explanation below. For a true sole source, provide all information to explain why this product or service can only be purchased from this vendor. For one-of-a-kind items not sold through distributors, explain the unique performance features of the product requested that are not available from any other product. For services, detail the unique qualifications this vendor possesses, or other reason(s) that meet the criteria selected above. Identify specific, measurable factors and qualifications. The Office of the City Attorney is requesting authorization to retain special outside counsel on a non-competitive bases to assist the Office of the City Attorney in representing the City's interests in Beitler Real Estate Services, LLC v. City of Madison (JDS/Block 88 Project). Construction litigation requires specialized knowledge and expertise. The City Attorney contacted two law firms to discuss representing the City. The City Attorney recommends that the City retain Stroud, Willink & Howard, LLC and Attorney H. Dale Peterson. | | | |
| COMMENTS REGARDING PURCHASES OVER \$25,000 The City has not previously contracted with this firm, competitively bid or otherwise. Date: 06/07/2018 | | | |
| | | Submit | |
| | | | |

2 of 2