## SCHOOL CROSSING ANALYSIS City of Madison Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Division

Sc	hool Lapham Elementary						
Crossing Location Ingersoll & Dayton						POINTS	
Ele	mentary School Children Crossing Ingersoll, S Leg					a.m.	n m
1)	Number of elementary students crossing	number	points	number	points	10	<b>p.m.</b> 15
	a.m. peak hour (7:00 to 8:00) <u>32</u>	1 - 5 6 - 9	1 2	25 - 29 30 - 34	6 10		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 - 14	3	35 - 39	15		
	p.m. peak hour (2:30 to 3:30) <u>36</u>	15 - 19 20 - 24	4 5	40 - 49 50 - 74	20 30		
So	chool Schedule 7:45am-2:42pm	20 - 24	5	50 - 74 75 - 99	30 35		
2)	Gap Availability	0/		0/		28	28
	crossing distance = <u>34</u> feet	% safe gap time	points	% safe gap time	points		
	<b>.</b>	80 +	0	45 - 49	20		
	minimum safe crossing time = <u>12</u> seconds	70 - 79 60 - 69	4 8	40 - 44 30 - 39	24 28		
	<u> </u>	55 - 59	12	20 - 29	32		
	% safe crossing time = <u>33</u> % a.m.	50 - 54	16	0 - 20	36		
	<u>32</u> % p.m.						
3)	Motor Vehicle Speed	<u>mph</u>	<u>points</u>	<u>mph</u>	points	2	2
		< = 20	0	36 - 40	7		
	85th percentile speed = $30$ mph a.m.	21 - 25 26 - 30	1 2	41 - 45 46 +	11 15		
	<u> </u>	20 - 30 31 - 35	4	40 +	15		
4)	Sight Distance			gn stopping %ile speed	distance feet	0	0
	available sight distance: feet bo	und	•	< = 25 mph	155		
	feet b	ound		6 - 30 mph 1 - 35 mph	200 250		
		ound		6 - 40 mph	305		
				1 - 45 mph 6 + mph	360 425		
	ratio: available sight distance / design stopping distan	се	-	от прп	423		
	feet	bound		<u>ratio</u> 2.1 +	<u>points</u> 0		
		bound		1.5 - 2.0	1		
	feet	bound		1.0 - 1.5 < 1.0	5 15		
5)	Safety History - Previous Five Years			< 1.0	15	0	0
	a) Number of reported crashes at study location involving <u>crashes</u> <u>points</u> elementary school children going to or coming from school. 0 0						
	1						
		:5		each add i	20		
	b) Reported crashed not involving children going to or coming from school, but of types and/or at times that could conflict with school crossing at this location.					0	0
	points						
	reported crashes. Type: 0 - 5						
	reported crashes. Type:				0 - 5		
	reported crashes. Type:				0 - 5		
					00		
6)	Other Factors Foreign traffic route.				<u>points</u> 0 to +5		
	For each approach in excess of four.				+5		
	For complex signal or crossing design. For simple signal or crossing design.				⊦5 to +10 -5 to  -10		
	Safer crossing one block out of the way.				-5 10 -10 -10		
	Large percentage of grades K and 1 students (over 40%).				0 to +5		
	An intersection of two arterial streets where total weekday traffic approach volume exceeds 25,000 vehicles.				+4		
	Children crossing multiple crosswalks at an intersection.				0 to +10	1 1	1
	Stopped buses and/or other obstructions. Volume of turning traffic not reflected in gap availability.				0 to +5 0 to +5	I	
	Observations of the percent and types of trucks during the ti	mes when stud	dents are us	ing the crossin			
				TOTAL HAZA	RD RATING	42	46

## **Interpretation of Hazard Rating**

Using the hazard rating as a guide, the following measures are appropriate:

1. **Mark as a school crossing** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 20 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least</u> <u>25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to mark such a crossing with appropriate warning signs and special crosswalk markings.

2. Install flashing beacons if any one of the following conditions is met:

a. The 85th percentile speed is in excess of 40 mph measured at existing school crossing signs which have been in place at least 30 days.

b. The street crossed is a U.S. or State Trunk Highway on which a significant percentage of "foreign " drivers can be expected.

c. The ratio of sight distance to safe stopping distance is less than 1.5.

d. The hazard rating is greater than 30 at an unguarded location where at least 25 elementary students cross and the available safe crossing gaps are less than 50 percent.

3. **Recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 40</u> <u>points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

If the <u>school has only grades K through 2</u>, recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard in the hazard rating is <u>greater than 30 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 15 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

4. **Recommend the discontinuance of adult school crossing guard protection** at a crossing where the hazard rating <u>falls below 30 points</u> or if the number of elementary school students crossing during the peak hour in <u>less than 15</u>.

At the intersection of two arterial streets where the total weekday entering traffic volume exceed 25,000 vehicles, the total number of students crossing at the intersection will be used to compare to the minimum of 15 students required to retain an adult school crossing guard.

## **Remarks/Recommendations**

- All students crossed with an adult.
- Bikes, scooters, rollerblades and unicycles used by students.
- From 7:15 to 7:20am, Badger Bus stopped at SW corner of intersection.
- At 7:44am, EBLT vehicle crashed with NBLT bike.
- Stop controlled for Dayton St.

Recommend the assignment of Adult School Crossing Guard. This location meets the criteria for minimum number of elementary students and overall hazard rating.

by Gretchen M. Avilés Piñeiro Date April 27th, 2017