

VARIANCE FEES MGO \$50.00 COMM \$490.00 Priority – Double above

PETITION FOR VARIANCE APPLICATION

City of Madison Building Inspection Division

126 S. Hamilton St. Madison, WI 53703 (608) 266-4568

Amount	Paid			20	
		7	٠		į.

Name of Owner	Project Description	Agent, architect, or engineering firm
Ering Graham Jonaitis	BASEMENT REMOD	
Company (if applies)	Wol Faren	No. & Street
No. & Street 604 Regers 5t.	Tenant name (if any)	City, State, Zip Code
City, State, Zip Code Madison, W1 53 70 3	Building Address (204 ROGER ST	Phone
Phone (608) 287-2188	:	Name of Contact Person
e-mail emjoraitis@gmail.com		e-mail
The rule being petitioned reads nepconforming conditions for visiting conditions.	s as follows: (Cite the specific rule num pur project.) STAV2 / TEADILOOM RECOM RER 7' EVISTIA	ber and language. Also, indicate the 15 64 FXBTW 6 15 73" C 15 B1"
>/		
2. The rule being petitioned cannot take the second takes		
health, safety, and welfare as a	addressed by the rule: SMUKE DETELTORS	s a means of providing an equivalent degree of
CONTRACTING CO	own for Low	CEILING IN STAIR
Note: Please attach any pictures, plan	ns, or required position statements.	
BY A REVIEW FEE AND AN Note: Petitioner must be the owner.	NY REQUIRED POSITION STA	ntractors, attorneys, etc. may not sign the
Erin Jonaitis Print name of owner	, being duly sworn, I stat	e as petitioner that I have read the foregoing
	and I have significant ownership right	
Signature of owner		Subscribed and sworn to before me this date:
EMM Just		12/28/26/7
Notary public		My commission expires:

NOTE: ONLY VARIANCES FOR COMMERCIAL CODES ARE REQUIRED TO BE NOTARIZED.



Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development

Building Inspection Division

Madison Municipal Building, Suite LL-100 215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard P.O. Box 2984 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2984 Phone: (608) 266-4551 Fax (608) 266-6377 www.cityofmadison.com

January 9, 2018

ERIN & GRAHAM JONAITIS 604 ROGERS ST MADISON WI 53703

RE:

604 Rogers St

Petition No. M-01-03-2018

Dear Mr & Mrs Jonaitis:

Your variance to Section 29.19 of the Madison General Ordinances has been reviewed.

SPS Section 321.04 (2)(d) – The headroom in the stairway must be a minimum of 76" measured vertically.

SPS Section 321.06 – All habitable rooms shall have a ceiling height of 7' 0". Habitable rooms that are less than 7' 0" must have 50% of the floor area at least 7' 0".

The variance requested is to allow the existing headroom of 6'1" to remain in the stairway and general headroom of 81" to remain in this existing structure.

Considered were your statements:

- 1. It is structurally infeasible to meet the current Code.
- 2. The low headroom area in the stairway will be a contrasting color.

The following comments were made in the petition analysis:

- 1. This is a non Fire Department issue.
- 2. The Building Inspection Division supports the variance.
- 3. In reviewing previous action of the Board of Building Code, Fire Code and Licensing Appeals, we find precedence has been set at least five times in the past: 1801 Rowley Avenue, 1802 Keyes Avenue, 535 Evergreen Avenue, 1901 Vilas Avenue, 2124 Chamberlain Avenue, and 1124 Spaight Street.

RECOMMENDATION: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

The conditions of approval are:

1. Provide hardwired interconnected smoke detectors between the basement and first floor. Wireless interconnection may be installed.

Prepared by:

Michael J. Van Erem

Code Enforcement Officer IV

Reviewed by:

Harry A. Sulzer, P.E.

Plan Review & Construction Supervisor

Department Action: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

This approval is granted with the understanding that all of the petitioner's statements and any conditions of approval cited above will be carried out.

Should the petitioner disagree with the department action or the Conditions of Approval, they must submit a request for a hearing within 30 days of the date of this letter. A request for hearing should be sent to the address shown on this letterhead, Attention: Harry A. Sulzer. The request for hearing should state the reasons for objecting to the department's decision, because a request for hearing may be denied if it does not present a significant question in fact, law or policy.



Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development

Building Inspection Division

126 S. Hamilton St. P.O. Box 2984 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2984 Phone: (608) 266-4551 Fax (608) 266-6377 www.cityofmadison.com

1/29/18

Erin and Graham Jonaitis 604 Rogers St Madison, WI 53703

Subject:

Variance procedure

Dear Erin and Graham Jonaitis:

Thank you for your inquiry about your variance. The process can be confusing.

The City of Madison adopted the Uniform Dwelling Code in 1980 retroactively to apply to all additions or alterations. That means you can either fix your code issues or apply for a variance where you show that you are providing something in addition to the code to make up for the deficiency. You therefore could alter the stairway or raise the basement ceiling to meet the code or provide something in addition to the code. In lieu of fixing the stairway headroom and basement ceiling you could have for example fire sprinkled the basement.

The cheapest alternative that has always been accepted has been hardwired interconnected smoke detectors. Hard wired and interconnected are far superior to the common battery products. In recent years wireless technology has become available so you don't have to physically interconnect them. The interconnection is wireless.

Therefore you need a hardwired detector in the basement for your new space and a hardwired detector on the first floor that is interconnected wirelessly.

If you want to go before the Building and argue for something different the next meeting is Wednesday February 21st. The group meet at 12:15 pm. You need to let me know by February 7th so we can put it on the agenda if that is what you choose to do.

There has never been such an appeal granted before so I would not be overly optimistic that this will be viable alternative. You will have to offer some additional means of safety to make up for the headroom issues that do not meet the code.

I hope this clears up the issue.

Harry A Sulzer P.E.

Sincerely

Plan Review and Inspection Supervisor

James Sjolander Building Inspector City of Madison
Department of Planning & Community & Economic Development
Building Inspection Division
ATTN: Harry A. Sulzer

January 21, 2018

Dear Mr. Sulzer:

We are writing to request a reconsideration of our recent petition for a variance (Petition No. M-01-03-2018). In your reply dated January 9, 2018, you state that your approval is conditional on the installation of hardwired interconnected smoke detectors. We were very surprised by this, because we were told twice verbally – once by Michael Van Erem in your office, and once by building inspector Jim Sjolander during the rough inspection – that battery-operated wireless interconnected smoke detectors would meet City requirements. This is consistent with City ordinances regarding smoke detectors as I understand them. From MGO Sec. 34.907(1)(b)1.b, FIRE ALARM AND DETECTION SYSTEMS¹, as regards buildings built before 2009 (emphasis mine):

(b) Required Installation

- 1. On August 15, 2009 all residential buildings, except owner-occupied single family homes, shall have smoke alarms in place which meet one of the following requirements:
 - a. A smoke alarm with two (2) independent power sources consisting of a primary source that uses commercial light and power and a secondary source that consists of a non-rechargeable or rechargeable battery.
 - b. A smoke alarm which is powered by a non-replaceable, non-removable battery that is capable of powering the smoke alarm for a minimum of ten years.
- 4. Owner-Occupied Single Family Homes. It shall be the responsibility of the owner of an owner-occupied single family home to install smoke alarms as required by sub. (2)(a) and sub. (2)(b) of this ordinance by August 15, 2010.

Because we live in an historic home with plaster walls, installing a hardwired smoke detector would be difficult and expensive. We propose to use a wireless interconnected smoke alarm system that complies with the bolded portion above (1.b.).

We respectfully request a response from your office at your earliest convenience, as Mr. Sjolander has asked us to install an interconnected smoke alarm system as soon as we begin to use the space. As far as we can tell, compliant products are not stocked at local stores and must be special ordered, and we want to be sure we order a product that will pass final inspection. We await your reply.

Sincerely,

Erin & Graham Jonaitis 604 Rogers Street RECEIVED

JAN 25 2018

CITY OF MADISON BUILD DO COMPANY OF

¹ Retrieved from https://www.cityofmadison.com/sites/default/files/city-of-madison/fire/documents/SmokeAlarmOrdFinal.pdf on January 15, 2018.