## SCHOOL CROSSING ANALYSIS City of Madison Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Division

April 19, 2017 AM April 26, 2017 PM

School Emerson Elementary						
Crossing Location Commercial + North					POI	NTS
Elementary School Children Crossing Commercial, W Le	eg				a.m.	p.m.
1) Number of elementary students crossing	<u>number</u> 1 - 5	points 1	<u>number</u> 25 - 29	points 6	2	1
a.m. peak hour (7:00 to 8:00) <u>6</u>	6 - 9	2	30 - 34	10		
p.m. peak hour (2:30 to 3:30) <u>5</u>	10 - 14 15 - 19	3 4	35 - 39 40 - 49	15 20		
School Schedule 7:45am-2:37pm	20 - 24	5	50 - 74 75 – 99	30 35		
2) Gap Availability					0	0
crossing distance = <u>18</u> feet	% safe gap time	points	% safe gap time	points		
	80 + 70 - 79	0 4	45 - 49 40 - 44	20 24		
minimum safe crossing time = <u>6</u> seconds	60 - 69	8	30 - 39	28		
	55 - 59 50 - 54	12 16	20 - 29 0 - 20	32 36		
% safe crossing time = <u>85</u> % a.m.						
Busiest half from <u>85</u> % <b>p.m.</b>						
3) Motor Vehicle Speed	<u>mph</u>	<u>points</u>	<u>mph</u>	<u>points</u>	1	1
85th percentile speed = $23$ mph a.m.	< = 20 21 - 25	0 1	36 - 40 41 - 45	7 11		
	26 - 30	2	46 +	15		
speed @ crosswalk 0mph23 mph p.m.	31 - 35	4				
4) Sight Distance			n stopping		0	0
available sight distance: feet bou	und		<u>%ile_speed</u> = 25 mph	<u>feet</u> 155		
			6 - 30 mph	200		
feet bo	bund		1 - 35 mph 6 - 40 mph	250 305		
			1 - 45 mph 6 + mph	360 425		
ratio: available sight distance / design stopping distanc	e		от прп			
feet	bound		<u>ratio</u> 2.1 +	<u>points</u> 0		
feet	bound		1.5 - 2.0 1.0 - 1.5	1 5		
	bound		< 1.0	15		
5) Safety History - Previous Five Years					0	0
<ul> <li>a) Number of reported crashes at study location involvin elementary school children going to or coming from s</li> </ul>			<u>crashes</u> 0	<u>points</u> 0		
elementary school children going to or coming nom s	501001.		1	8		
reported crashes	S		each add'l	20		
<ul> <li>b) Reported crashed not involving children going to or of of types and/or at times that could conflict with school</li> </ul>					6	0
	-			<u>points</u>		
<u>1</u> reported crashes. Type: <u>Left Turn Ped</u>			AM	0 - 5		
<u>1</u> reported crashes. Type: <u>Angle</u>				0 - 5		
reported crashes. Type:				0 - 5		
6) Other Factors				<u>points</u> 0 to +5	4	4
Foreign traffic route. For each approach in excess of four.				+5 +5	1	1
For complex signal or crossing design. For simple signal or crossing design.				-5 to +10 -5 to  -10		
Safer crossing one block out of the way.				-10		
Large percentage of grades K and 1 students (over 40%). An intersection of two arterial streets where total weekday				0 to +5		
traffic approach volume exceeds 25,000 vehicles.				+4		
Children crossing multiple crosswalks at an intersection. Stopped buses and/or other obstructions.				0 to +10 0 to +5		
Volume of turning traffic not reflected in gap availability.				0 to +5		
Observations of the percent and types of trucks during the tir	nes when stu				10	3
		Т	OTAL HAZAI	RD RATING	10	J

## **Interpretation of Hazard Rating**

Using the hazard rating as a guide, the following measures are appropriate:

1. **Mark as a school crossing** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 20 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least</u> <u>25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to mark such a crossing with appropriate warning signs and special crosswalk markings.

2. Install flashing beacons if any one of the following conditions is met:

a. The 85th percentile speed is in excess of 40 mph measured at existing school crossing signs which have been in place at least 30 days.

b. The street crossed is a U.S. or State Trunk Highway on which a significant percentage of "foreign " drivers can be expected.

c. The ratio of sight distance to safe stopping distance is less than 1.5.

d. The hazard rating is greater than 30 at an unguarded location where at least 25 elementary students cross and the available safe crossing gaps are less than 50 percent.

3. **Recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 40</u> <u>points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

If the <u>school has only grades K through 2</u>, recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard in the hazard rating is <u>greater than 30 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 15 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

4. **Recommend the discontinuance of adult school crossing guard protection** at a crossing where the hazard rating <u>falls below 30 points</u> or if the number of elementary school students crossing during the peak hour in <u>less than 15</u>.

At the intersection of two arterial streets where the total weekday entering traffic volume exceed 25,000 vehicles, the total number of students crossing at the intersection will be used to compare to the minimum of 15 students required to retain an adult school crossing guard.

## **Remarks/Recommendations**

- All way stop with ped refuge islands on Commercial Ave.
- 85% safe gaps during peak hours.
- Safe gaps calculated for busiest half of road from island.
- 6 out of 59 possible elementary school students who live in the area served by this crossing walked and used the crossing guard. 10.2%

EMERSON										
NORTH / COMMERCIAL										
DATE	AM			РМ						
	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH				
11/10/16	0	5	3	6	5	0				
12/13/16	1	3	0	8	5	0				
01/26/17	2	4	0	6	6	0				
02/16/17	3	3	1	8	8	1				
03/28/17	6	4	0	5	6	0				
04/26/17	10	6	0	6	7	0				
09/26/17	17			13						

Crossing Guard monthly counts:

Recommend discontinuance of Adult School Crossing Guard since this location does not meet the criteria for minimum number of elementary students and overall hazard rating.

by Gretchen M. Avilés Piñeiro Date May 11th, 2017