Updated June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2017 Updated January 4<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Thoreau Elementary - Wingra School

School

Study Date:

November 10, 2016 AM December 1, 2016 PM

April 5, 2017 AM April 19, 2017 PM

## SCHOOL CROSSING ANALYSIS City of Madison Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Division

Crossing Location Glenway + Monroe								
Elementary School Children Crossing Glenway, N Leg	POII	NTS						
1) Number of elementary students crossing <u>number points</u> <u>number points</u>	a.m. 1	p.m.						
1 - 5 1 25 - 29 6 a.m. peak hour (7:30 to 8:30) 3 6 - 9 2 30 - 34 10								
10 - 14 3 35 - 39 15								
20 - 24 5 50 - 74 30								
2) Gap Availability 75 – 99 35	8	8						
% safe % safe  crossing distance = 46 feet <u>qap time</u> points qap time points 80 + 0 45 - 49 20								
70 - 79 4 40 - 44 24 minimum safe crossing time = 16 seconds 60 - 69 8 30 - 39 28								
55 - 59								
<pre>% safe crossing time = 61 % a.m.</pre>								
3) Motor Vehicle Speed <u>mph</u> <u>points</u> <u>mph</u> <u>points</u>	0	0						
<pre>&lt; = 20</pre>								
26 - 30 2 46 + 15								
<u>17</u> <b>mph p.m.</b> 31 - 35 4								
4) Sight Distance : design stopping distance 85th %ile speed feet								
available sight distance: feet bound								
feet bound 31 - 35 mph 250								
36 - 40 mph 305 41 - 45 mph 360								
46 + mph 425 ratio: available sight distance / design stopping distance								
<u>ratio</u> <u>points</u> feet bound 2.1 + 0								
1.5 - 2.0 1 feet bound 1.0 - 1.5 5								
< 1.0 15	0	0						
a) Number of reported crashes at study location involving <u>crashes</u> <u>points</u> elementary school children going to or coming from school. 0 0								
1 8 <u> </u>								
	1	2						
<ul> <li>b) Reported crashed not involving children going to or coming from school, but of types and/or at times that could conflict with school crossing at this location.</li> </ul>								
<u>points</u> 1 reported crashes. Type: <u>Sideswipe</u> AM 0 - 5								
1 reported crashes. Type: Left Turn PM 0 - 5								
1 reported crashes. Type: Sideswipe PM 0 - 5								
6) Other Factors points	_	_						
For each approach in excess of four.  0 to +5 +5	5	5						
For complex signal or crossing design. +5 to +10 For simple signal or crossing design5 to -10								
Safer crossing one block out of the way.  Large percentage of grades K and 1 students (over 40%).  -10  0 to +5								
An intersection of two arterial streets where total weekday traffic approach volume exceeds 25,000 vehicles. +4								
Children crossing multiple crosswalks at an intersection. 0 to +10								
Stopped buses and/or other obstructions.  Volume of turning traffic not reflected in gap availability.  0 to +5								
Observations of the percent and types of trucks during the times when students are using the crossing								
TOTAL HAZARD RATING								

## **Interpretation of Hazard Rating**

Using the hazard rating as a guide, the following measures are appropriate:

- 1. **Mark as a school crossing** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 20 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to mark such a crossing with appropriate warning signs and special crosswalk markings.
- 2. **Install flashing beacons** if any one of the following conditions is met:
- a. The 85th percentile speed is in excess of 40 mph measured at existing school crossing signs which have been in place at least 30 days.
- b. The street crossed is a U.S. or State Trunk Highway on which a significant percentage of "foreign" drivers can be expected.
  - c. The ratio of sight distance to safe stopping distance is less than 1.5.
- d. The hazard rating is greater than 30 at an unguarded location where at least 25 elementary students cross and the available safe crossing gaps are less than 50 percent.
- 3. **Recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard** when the hazard rating is greater than 40 points at a crossing used by at least 25 elementary school students during the peak crossing hour.

If the <u>school has only grades K through 2</u>, recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard in the hazard rating is <u>greater than 30 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 15 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

4. **Recommend the discontinuance of adult school crossing guard protection** at a crossing where the hazard rating <u>falls below 30 points</u> or if the number of elementary school students crossing during the peak hour in <u>less than 15</u>.

At the intersection of two arterial streets where the total weekday entering traffic volume exceed 25,000 vehicles, the total number of students crossing at the intersection will be used to compare to the minimum of 15 students required to retain an adult school crossing guard.

## **Remarks/Recommendations**

- Signalized intersection.
- Safe gaps above 61% during peak hours.
- Safe gaps calculated during Monroe St Green Time/Glenway St Walk phase.
- 6 out of 21 possible elementary school students who live in the area served by this crossing walked and used the crossing guard. 29%

Crossing Guard monthly counts:

THOREAU/WINGRA								
MONROE / GLENWAY								
DATE	AM			PM				
	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH		
11/10/16	5	0	0	10	3	0		
12/13/16	3	0	0	3	0	0		
01/26/17	2	1	0	3	2	0		
02/16/17	3	2	2	6	4	0		
03/28/17	3	1	1	10	5	0		
04/26/17	6	2	0	7	8	0		
09/26/17	10				5			

Recommend discontinuance of Adult School Crossing Guard since this location does not meet the criteria for minimum number of elementary students and overall hazard rating.

by Gretchen M. Avilés Piñeiro Date May 11th, 2017