Madison Water Utility -Update on Water Rate Case October 24, 2017 Christy DeMaster & Erik Granum, Trilogy Consulting, LLC



Rate Case Process

- Rate Application
 - Information is used to determine Revenue Requirements – how much can the Utility collect for various costs?
- Cost of Service Study
- Rate Design

Cash Flow Analysis

- Long-term look at cash flow:
 - 5 years of historical data 2013-2017
 - 25 years of forecasts 2018-2043
 - Incorporated the Utility's CIP and estimated ongoing capital investment needs beyond the CIP
 - Evaluated alternative financing plans
- Objectives:
 - Evaluate recent trends in Utility financial status
 - Evaluate the 2018 rate increase and capital financing plan
 - Forecast future cash flow needs
 - Forecast future rate increases

Financial Indicators

- Cash flow positive or negative?
- Reserve levels does the Utility have required debt reserves, operating reserves to cover fluctuations in revenues or expenses, and capital reserves to cover unexpected repairs or replacements?
- Return on Net Investment Rate Base what rate of return is the Utility projected to generate?
- Debt coverage is the Utility generating sufficient cash to cover O&M expenses, taxes, debt service, and an additional 25% coverage for revenue debt?
- Debt to equity ratio what is the Utility's outstanding debt as a percentage of utility assets?

Recent Trends in Financial Status

- Cash flow has been decreasing; expected to be substantially negative in 2017 and 2018 (even with a rate increase)
- Reserve levels have been decreasing
- Return on net investment rate base has fluctuated between 1.26% and 5.77% in recent years
- Debt coverage has been less than 125% at times
- Debt as a percentage of utility plant has decreased but is still high at almost 88%

Water Sales



O&M Expenses



Capital Investment per Year



Utility Plant in Service



PILOT Payments



Outstanding Debt, Beginning of Year



Annual Debt Service



Actual and Recommended Reserves



Debt and Equity as a Percentage of Utility Plant in Service



2018 Forecast

- 2018 Cash flow needs:
 - O&M and Taxes:
 - Debt service:
 - Capital improvements:
 - Less Borrowing:
 - Net:

\$24,130,000 \$17,800,000 \$23,000,000

-\$18,000,000

\$46,930,000

Revenue Requirements - Public

Service Commission Rules

- Costs that can be recovered through rates:
 - Operation & Maintenance Expenses
 - Taxes and PILOT
 - Depreciation Expense
 - Return on Net Investment Rate Base
 - NIRB = Utility-financed asset book value, less accumulated depreciation
 - The current benchmark rate of return is 5.00%
- Based on a single 'Test Year'
- Estimated at \$41,541,000 total for the 2018 Test Year
 - \$24,130,000 for O&M and taxes
 - \$17,411,000 for depreciation and return on investment

Key Issues and Recommendations

- The Utility has been financing most of its substantial capital improvement program with debt
- Increasing debt service payments require higher user charge rates:
 - Higher revenues required to meet debt coverage
 - Higher minimum reserve levels are required
 - Interest payments consume more utility revenues
- The Utility has limited cash reserves to use for financing improvements
- With Standard PSC revenue requirements the Utility would have to continue to borrow for its entire CIP

Key Issues and Recommendations

- The PSC recently allowed the Marshfield Water Utility to use accelerated depreciation on mains as an additional revenue source to cash finance main replacements
 - Up to the cost of replacing 1% of water mains per year
 - Must be used to cash finance main replacements
- Recommend that Madison Water Utility request a similar allowance
 - Start at \$2.0 million per year
 - Gradually increase to \$10.0 million per year
 - Rate increases of 25.5% in 2018, 18% in 2020, 13.5% in 2022 would allow the Utility to increase cash financing and keep annual rate increases <10%
 - Rate increases may change due to other factors such as increased expenses or decreased sales

Forecast Cash Flows and Reserves: 2018-2023

