## **AGENDA #5**

## City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: LANDMARKS COMMISSION PRESENTED: 28 August 2017

TITLE: Landmarks Commission Review of REFERRED:

Historic Preservation Plan REREFERRED:

**REPORTED BACK:** 

AUTHOR: Amy Scanlon, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: 14 September 2017 ID NUMBER: 47837

Members present were: Stuart Levitan, Chair; Anna V. Andrzejewski, Vice Chair, David WJ McLean, Richard Arnesen, Lon Hill, and Katie Kaliszewski. Excused was Marsha A. Rummel.

## **SUMMARY:**

David Mollenhoff, registering to neither support nor oppose and wishing to speak.

Mollenhoff introduced himself as representing the Alliance for Historic Preservation. Mollenhoff expressed the group's willingness and desire to participate and assist with the process during this new phase. Levitan welcomed the group's involvement and commended their contributions to phase one.

Levitan asked if the group had had a chance to review the public engagement strategy. Though Mollenhoff has, the Alliance has not, so he declined to comment formally.

Fruhling gave a summary of Legacy's presentation and the subsequent discussion at the previous Landmarks Commission meeting, and noted that Legacy would be going through a similar exercise with the Ad Hoc Landmarks Ordinance Review Committee on September 14<sup>th</sup>.

With regard to the public engagement strategy, Fruhling noted that Legacy was unable to make many changes to their initial plan between meeting with staff and the Commission on August 14<sup>th</sup>. Fruhling commented that staff feels the strategy needs additional work, and is far from a final product – especially concerning specific strategies for engaging the underrepresented communities this endeavor intends to represent. Staff will continue working with the consultant for a more inclusive, involved plan and will bring that back to the Commission for approval at a later date.

Fruhling asked for further thoughts regarding the discussion with the consultant at the previous meeting.

Levitan stated that the public engagement strategy needs more detail to become deeper and richer, and the Commission agreed. Andrzejewski suggested that the strategy be viewed as more of a pyramid than as a linear, step-by-step process.

## ACTION:

No action was taken on this item.