Study Date:

November 29, 2016 AM November 16, 2016 PM

21

**TOTAL HAZARD RATING** 

April 25, 2017 AM April 20, 2017 PM

## SCHOOL CROSSING ANALYSIS City of Madison Department of Transportation Traffic Engineering Division

School Hawthorne Elementary Fair Oaks + Lexington Crossing Location **POINTS** Elementary School Children Crossing Fair Oaks, N Leg a.m. p.m. 1) Number of elementary students crossing points <u>number</u> points <u>number</u> 3 1 - 5 1 25 - 29 6 a.m. peak hour (7:00 to 8:00) \_\_\_\_17 6 - 9 2 30 - 34 10 10 - 14 3 35 - 39 15 p.m. peak hour (2:20 to 3:20) \_\_\_\_\_13 15 - 19 4 40 - 49 20 50 - 74 20 - 24 30 School Schedule 7:45am-2:37pm 75 - 9935 2) Gap Availability 16 8 % safe % safe crossing distance =  $21 \mid 24$  feet gap time points gap time points 45 - 49 20 **80** + 0 70 - 79 4 40 - 44 24 minimum safe crossing time =  $7 \mid 8$  seconds 60 - 69 30 - 39 8 28 55 - 59 12 20 - 29 32 50 - 54 16 0 - 20 36 % safe crossing time = 51 % a.m. Busiest half from ped <u>69</u> % p.m. refuge island AM | PM 3) Motor Vehicle Speed points mph points <u>mph</u> 7 36 - 40 < = 20 0 7 41 - 45 85th percentile speed = \_\_\_\_40 \_\_\_ mph a.m. 21 - 25 11 1 26 - 30 2 46 + 15 40 mph p.m. 31 - 35 4 4) Sight Distance design stopping distance  $\cap$  $\cap$ 85th %ile speed available sight distance: \_\_\_\_\_ feet \_\_\_\_ bound < = 25 mph 155 26 - 30 mph 200 \_\_ feet \_\_\_\_ bound 31 - 35 mph 250 36 - 40 mph 305 41 - 45 mph 360 46 + mph 425 ratio: available sight distance / design stopping distance <u>ratio</u> points \_\_\_\_ feet \_\_\_\_ bound 2.1 + 0 1.5 - 2.0 1.0 - 1.5 \_\_ feet \_\_\_\_\_ bound 5 < 1.0 15 5) Safety History - Previous Five Years 0 0 a) Number of reported crashes at study location involving crashes points elementary school children going to or coming from school. 0 1 8 \_\_\_ reported crashes each add'l 20 0 b) Reported crashed not involving children going to or coming from school, but 0 of types and/or at times that could conflict with school crossing at this location. points \_ reported crashes. Type: Rear End 0 - 5 \_ reported crashes. Type: \_\_ 0 - 5 \_ reported crashes. Type: \_\_ 0 - 56) Other Factors points Foreign traffic route. 0 to +5 3 3 For each approach in excess of four. +5 For complex signal or crossing design. +5 to +10 For simple signal or crossing design. -5 to -10 Safer crossing one block out of the way. -10 Large percentage of grades K and 1 students (over 40%). 0 to +5 An intersection of two arterial streets where total weekday traffic approach volume exceeds 25,000 vehicles. 0 to +10 Children crossing multiple crosswalks at an intersection. Stopped buses and/or other obstructions. 0 to +5 Volume of turning traffic not reflected in gap availability. 0 to +5 Observations of the percent and types of trucks during the times when students are using the crossing

## **Interpretation of Hazard Rating**

Using the hazard rating as a guide, the following measures are appropriate:

- 1. **Mark as a school crossing** when the hazard rating is <u>greater than 20 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 25 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour. The Traffic Engineer is authorized to mark such a crossing with appropriate warning signs and special crosswalk markings.
- 2. **Install flashing beacons** if any one of the following conditions is met:
- a. The 85th percentile speed is in excess of 40 mph measured at existing school crossing signs which have been in place at least 30 days.
- b. The street crossed is a U.S. or State Trunk Highway on which a significant percentage of "foreign" drivers can be expected.
  - c. The ratio of sight distance to safe stopping distance is less than 1.5.
- d. The hazard rating is greater than 30 at an unguarded location where at least 25 elementary students cross and the available safe crossing gaps are less than 50 percent.
- 3. **Recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard** when the hazard rating is greater than 40 points at a crossing used by at least 25 elementary school students during the peak crossing hour.

If the <u>school has only grades K through 2</u>, recommend the assignment of an adult school crossing guard in the hazard rating is <u>greater than 30 points</u> at a crossing used by <u>at least 15 elementary school students</u> during the peak crossing hour.

4. **Recommend the discontinuance of adult school crossing guard protection** at a crossing where the hazard rating <u>falls below 30 points</u> or if the number of elementary school students crossing during the peak hour in <u>less than 15</u>.

At the intersection of two arterial streets where the total weekday entering traffic volume exceed 25,000 vehicles, the total number of students crossing at the intersection will be used to compare to the minimum of 15 students required to retain an adult school crossing guard.

## **Remarks/Recommendations**

- Safe gaps calculated for busiest half of road from pedestrian refuge island.
- 17 out of 19 possible elementary school students who live in the area served by this crossing walked and used the crossing guard. 90%

Location meets criteria to maintain Adult School Crossing Guard assignment. Number of elementary school students crossing during peak hour is more than 15 and hazard rating is above 30 points.

by Gretchen M. Avilés Piñeiro Date May 11th, 2017