Proposed conditions to be placed on the creation of the County's Homeless Day Shelter:

(Drafted by Atty. Bill White at the request of 6-7 un-named businesses that felt the shelter would adversely impact them)

1. Create a comprehensive plan for the type and general location of both the day and nighttime homeless facilities.

2. Prohibit recreation activities on site - only job placement and counseling services to be provided.

3. Full-time security services to be provided, preferably with an off-duty county sheriff's deputy with authority to make arrests for violation of the CUP (Conditional Use Permit) conditions and for violations of law. The security should begin an hour before the shelter opens and last until an hour after it closes

4. Transportation to be provided from the Day Shelter to the Night Shelter to keep folks from wandering through the neighborhoods.

5. Prevent inter-mixing with the Salvation Army customers across the street on East Washington Ave.

6. Provide security cameras on site.

7. Prohibit loitering on and off site and enforce the prohibition.

8. Provide one centralized contact for reporting CUP violations that is received by Catholic Charities, Dane County and the City of Madison Zoning office.

9. Conduct community meetings on the operation of the shelter on at least a quarterly basis.

10. Provide the main entrance from the rear of the building with the East Washington Ave. entrance only as emergency egress.

11. Provide the CUP to be two years in length and reviewed every two years to ensure compliance with the CUP and to add conditions if necessary.

12. Prohibit consistent offenders from being provided services by the Day

Comment [BS1]: I see this as a bit redundant since that is what I have witnessed the very astute staff involved currently doing.

Comment [BS2]: This one is vague ... and seems contradictory to what I have understood to be reasonable passive opportunities for people to read etc. This could be improved with a request as to specificity 'job placement and counseling' seems very limiting to me.

Comment [BS3]: This one seems like an over reach. I expect that trained staff can handle these matters to the point of having extra sense of when to call for law enforcement.

When we start with creating a 'lock down' culture we can only anticipate further marginalizing of people who actually need help.

Comment [BS4]: I like this one. I see it as both humane to the homeless people and comforting to the neighborhood.

Comment [BS5]: I have been present in meetings where this matter was very much top of mind ... especially in terms of the 'danger' of people crossing East Washingt

Comment [BS6]: I see this as common sense ... much as we have cameras on the street. I don't like it as freedom-loving American AND I see the necessity of it in terms of the necessity of safety and security.

Comment [BS7]: This is much to general What is 'loitering' and what would be 'loitering' on site that competent staff wouldn't be handling?

Comment [BS8]: I see this is highly questionable ... are we suggesting the lay people will have the knowledge, wisdom, discretion to report 'conditional Use permit' violations.?

Comment [BS9]: I see this as vital. The reality is that few, if any, people will attend these session unless there is an 'even' that prompts it. I still think it's a necessary part of the community experience.

Comment [BS10]: I support this 'orientation' of ingress/egress of the building.

Comment [BS11]: This seems 'redundant' ... While I have not read (and do not intend to read) CUP stipulations I fully expect that the very nature of such a 'permit' include regula review.

Shelter.

13. Prohibit use of alcohol or drugs on site or off premises.

14. Additional costs for the operation of the Day Shelter should be included in the County and City operating budget.

Please give me your feedback. Bill White

Comment [BS12]: This only makes good sense if there is a back-up to handling consistent offenders ... which I think is already in place via law enforcement. To otherwise deny 'offenders' rather than counseling them is to counter the very purpose of the facility.

Comment [BS13]: OF COURSE!

Comment [BS14]: I don't quite know what this means What are these 'additional' costs?