City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION **PRESENTED:** January 27, 2016

TITLE: 433-439 West Mifflin Street – PD, 4-Story **REFERRED:**

Multi-Family Building with 46 Affordable Units. 4th Ald. Dist. (41053) **REREFERRED:**

REPORTED BACK:

AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: January 27, 2016 **ID NUMBER:**

Members present were: Richard Wagner, Chair; Cliff Goodhart, Tom DeChant, Lois Braun-Oddo, Richard Slayton, Sheri Carter and John Harrington.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of January 27, 2016, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of a PD for a 4-story multi-family building with 46 affordable units located at 433-439 West Mifflin Street. Appearing on behalf of the project was Randy Bruce, representing Madison Development Corporation. Bruce discussed the Commission's previous review of the project and possible design solutions, noting the client's preference for less cast stone.

Comments and questions from the Commission were as follows:

- I like the simplified, and I think it's scaled for the bronze stone. I like Option 1 that gets rid of the rail, but if you liked Option 3, just bring that center part of the brick up just enough to center the building and get rid of the excess rail. I don't know what your client prefers.
 - o They prefer it open. Bringing the parapet up is a little bit restrictive.
- The lighter color in Option 1 that's not brick, I thought it was a light brick?
 - o That's a cast stone.
- If one of those units was flipped so that the door and the porch is on the left side on all of them. I was looking at the floor plan and I don't see why you couldn't do that, just to get that really nice series feel to it, especially in Option 3. It just seemed like it was a little cross-eyed there.
- I do like the variation in Option 2.
- The entrance to the common space, that's not the special point, but that's not the main entrance.

ACTION:

On a motion by Goodhart, seconded by Braun-Oddo, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (4-2) with Harrington and Slayton voting no. The motion provided for approval of Option 3 with the mirroring of Unit 3.