Racial Equity and Social Justice Initiative RESJ Tool: Comprehensive Version



INSTRUCTIONS

Use this tool as early as possible in the development of City policies, plans, programs and budgets.

For issues on a short timeline or with a narrow impact, you may use the RESJ Tool – Fast Track Version.

This analysis should be completed by people with different racial and socioeconomic perspectives. When possible, involve those directly impacted by the issue. Include and document multiple voices in this process.

The order of guestions may be re-arranged to suit your situation.

Mission of the Racial Equity and Social Justice (RESJ) Initiative: To establish racial equity and social justice as core principles in all decisions, policies and functions of the City of Madison.

Equity is just and fair inclusion into a society in which all, including all racial and ethnic groups, can participate, prosper, and reach their full potential. Equity gives all people a just and fair shot in life despite historic patterns of racial and economic exclusion (<u>www.policylink.org</u>).

The persistence of deep racial and social inequities and divisions across society is evidence of bias at the individual, institutional and structural levels. These types of bias often work to the benefit of White people and to the detriment of people of color, usually unintentionally or inadvertently.

Purpose of this Tool: To facilitate conscious consideration of equity and examine how communities of color and low-income populations will be affected by a proposed action/decision of the City.

The "What, Who, Why, and How" questions of this tool are designed to lead to strategies to prevent or mitigate adverse impacts and unintended consequences on marginalized populations.

BEGIN ANALYSIS

| Title of policy, plan or proposal: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Main contact name(s) and contact information for this analysis: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Names and affiliations of others participating in the analysis: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1. a. | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| b. | What factors (including existing policies and structures) associated with this issue might be affecting communities of color and/or low-income populations differently? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| C. | What do available data tell you about this issue? (See page 5 for guidance on data resources.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| d. | What data are unavailable or missing? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| e. | Which focus area(s) will the policy, plan or proposal primarily impact? Please add any comments regarding the specific impacts on each area: | | | | |
| | ☐ Community/Civic Engagement ☐ Food Access & Affordability ☐ Criminal Justice ☐ Government Practices | | | | |
| | □ Early Childhood □ Health □ Economic Development □ Housing □ Education □ Planning & Development | | | | |
| | ☐ Employment ☐ Service Equity ☐ Environment ☐ Transportation | | | | |
| | Other (please describe) | | | | |
| | Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. a. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Who would be burdened? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Are there potential disproportionate impacts on communities of color or low-income communities? | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| b. | Have stakeholders from different racial/ethnic and socioeconomic groups—especially those most affected—been informed, involved and represented in the development of this proposal or plan? Who is missing and how can they be engaged? (See page 6 for guidance on community engagement.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| c. | . What input have you received from those who would be impacted and how did you gather this information? Specify sources of comments and other input. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. a. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| b. | What are potential unintended consequences? What benefits or burdens may result? (Specifically consider social, economic, health and environmental impacts.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| C. | What identified community needs are being met or ignored in this issue or decision? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. a. | WHERE Are there impacts on geographic areas? (Select all that apply.) | | | | |
| | □ All Madison neighborhoods □ Park Edge/Park Ridge □ Allied Drive □ Southside □ Balsam/Russet □ East Madison (general) □ Darbowood/Northport Corridor □ North Madison (general) □ Darbo/Worthington □ West Madison (general) □ Hammersley/Theresa □ Downtown/Campus □ Leopold/Arbor Hills □ Dane County (outside Madison) □ Owl Creek □ Outside Dane County | | | | |

5. HOW: RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION a. Describe recommended strategies to address adverse impacts, prevent unintended negative consequences and advance racial equity (program, policy, partnership and/or budget/fiscal strategies): b. Is the proposal or plan: Realistic? Adequately funded? Adequately resourced with personnel? Adequately resourced with mechanisms (policy, systems) to ensure successful implementation and enforcement? Adequately resourced with provisions to ensure ongoing data collection, public reporting, stakeholder participation and public accountability? If you answered "no" to any of the above, what resources or actions are needed? c. Who is accountable for this decision? d. How will impacts be documented and evaluated? What are the success indicators and progress benchmarks? How will those impacted by this issue be informed of progress and impacts over time?

DATA RESOURCES FOR RACIAL EQUITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE IMPACT ANALYSIS

City of Madison

Neighborhood Indicators (UW Applied Population Lab and City of Madison):

http://madison.apl.wisc.edu

Open Data Portal (City of Madison):

https://data.cityofmadison.com

Madison Measures (City of Madison):

www.cityofmadison.com/finance/documents/madisonmeasures-2013.pdf

• Census reporter (US Census Bureau):

http://censusreporter.org/profiles/06000US5502548000-madison-city-dane-county-wi

Dane County

 Geography of Opportunity: A Fair Housing Equity Assessment for Wisconsin's Capital Region (Capital Area Regional Planning Commission):

www.capitalarearpc.org

Race to Equity report (Wisconsin Council on Children and Families):

http://racetoequity.net

Healthy Dane (Public Health Madison & Dane County and area healthcare organizations):

www.healthydane.org

Dane Demographics Brief (UW Applied Population Lab and UW-Extension):

www.apl.wisc.edu/publications/Dane_County_Demographics_Brief_2014.pdf

State of Wisconsin

Wisconsin Quickfacts (US Census):

http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/55000.html

Demographics Services Center (WI Dept of Administration):

www.doa.state.wi.us/section_detail.asp?linkcatid=11&linkid=64&locid=9

Applied Population Laboratory (UW-Madison):

www.apl.wisc.edu/data.php

Federal

American FactFinder (US Census):

http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml

• 2010 Census Gateway (US Census):

www.census.gov/2010census

CITY OF MADISON RACIAL EQUITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT CONTINUUM

Adapted from Community Engagement Guide: A tool to advance Equity & Social Justice in King County

The continuum provides details, characteristics and strategies for five levels of community engagement. The continuum shows a range of actions from county-led information sharing that tends to be shorter-term to longer-term community-led activities. The continuum can be used for both simple and complex efforts. As a project develops, the level of community engagement may need to change to meet changing needs and objectives.

The level of engagement will depend on various factors, including program goals, time constraints, level of program and community readiness, and capacity and resources. There is no one right level of engagement, but considering the range of engagement and its implications on your work is a key step in promoting community participation and building community trust. Regardless of the level of engagement, the role of both the City of Madison and community partners as part of the engagement process should always be clearly defined.

| Levels of Engagement | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| City Informs City of Madison initiates an effort, coordinates with departments and uses a variety of channels to inform community to take action | City Consults City of Madison gathers information from the community to inform city- led projects | City engages in dialogue City of Madison engages community members to shape city priorities and plans | City and community work together Community and City of Madison share in decision-making to co- create solutions together | Community directs action Community initiates and directs strategy and action with participation and technical assistance from the City of Madison | | |
| Characteristics of Engagement | | | | | | |
| Primarily one-way channel of communication One interaction Term-limited to event Addresses immediate need of City and community | Primarily one-way channel of communication One to multiple interactions Short to medium-term Shapes and informs city projects | Two-way channel of communication Multiple interactions Medium to long-term Advancement of solutions to complex problems | Two-way channel of communication Multiple interactions Medium to long-term Advancement of solutions to complex problems | Two-way channel of communication Multiple interactions Medium to long-term Advancement of solutions to complex problems | | |
| Strategies | | | | | | |
| Media releases, brochures, pamphlets, outreach to vulnerable populations, ethnic media contacts, translated information, staff outreach to residents, new and social media | Focus groups, interviews, community surveys | Forums, advisory boards, stakeholder involvement, coalitions, policy development and advocacy, including legislative briefings and testimony, workshops, community-wide events | Co-led community meetings, advisory boards, coalitions and partnerships, policy development and advocacy, including legislative briefings and testimony | Community-led planning efforts, community-hosted forums, collaborative partnerships, coalitions, policy development and advocacy, including legislative briefings and testimony | | |

| NOTES | | |
|-------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |