City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION		PRESENTED: September 17, 2014	
TITLE:	633 North Henry Street – Minor Alteration to an Approved PD(SIP) – Proposed Exterior Lighting Plan. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ald. Dist. (34510)	REFERRED:	
		REREFERRED:	
		<b>REPORTED BACK:</b>	
AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary		ADOPTED:	POF:
DATED: September 17, 2014		ID NUMBER:	

Members present were: Richard Wagner, Chair; Cliff Goodhart, Dawn O'Kroley, Richard Slayton, John Harrington and Melissa Huggins.

## **<u>SUMMARY</u>**:

At its meeting of September 17, 2014, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of first floor lighting located at 633 North Henry Street. Appearing on behalf of the project were Randy Bruce, representing Jeff and Chris Houden; and Jason Batton. Registered and speaking in opposition were Franny Ingebritson and Fred Mohs. Registered in opposition but not wishing to speak was Tim Morgan. This minor alteration asks for some cornice exterior lighting of the building on the two public streets: Henry Street and Iota Court, as well as lighting for street level at the main entrance. The boxes will be as inconspicuous as possible. They feel the lighting adds a level of safety of the neighborhood as well as the architectural value of the property.

Fred Mohs spoke in opposition. This neighborhood is in a National Historic District with some properties being locally historic. There was some success with this development in that it does appear similar to the historic buildings that were demolished. What is the point of this lighting? This building already looks like a beacon when the lights are on. It's so obvious that this is a huge building in the middle of mostly 3-story fraternity and sorority houses. A lot of things change but Langdon Street is pretty much the same, with many of the City's plan carrying forth that intention. This building does not need anything to call more attention to it. It's plenty big and plenty bright.

Jason Batton spoke in favor of the lighting. He feels lighting for a City-approved project is appropriate.

Franny Ingebritson spoke in opposition. If you haven't taken the time to walk around, please do so because it was advertised to look as four separate buildings and it kind of does from Langdon Street, but for the people who live around it, it is one giant building and at night, it is so well-lit that she doesn't want to see the precedent of new apartment buildings being lit from the top. It's a residential area.

The Chair noted that the Planning Division staff report recommends not approving this lighting plan. The report is very consistent with what was thought when the minor alteration was submitted. They tried to encourage the applicant to do pedestrian-scale lighting at entranceways, etc.

Comments and questions from the Commission were as follows:

- I've been over there a number of times. It seems to me that some of this lighting has already been installed.
  - The lower level lighting has.
- So what's in question?
  - These Hinckley fixtures aren't approved yet.
- But they're installed?
  - Yes. I think even in the staff report, staff said they don't have a problem with these fixtures.
  - And only the Hinckleys are on the building? I thought I saw some other modern fixtures.
    - No, I don't think so. We had some sconces in the court you may be thinking of. Downlighting.

## ACTION:

On a motion by Huggins, seconded by Goodhart, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (5-0). The motion for approval of the minor alteration provided for first floor downlighting only, with the upper level lighting to be placed on file per the recommendations contained in Tim Parks' Planning Division staff report.