February 2014 BUS SIZE STUDY FOR METRO TRANSIT

Bus Size Study

- Recommendation in 2008 Metro Transit Long Range Ad Hoc Plan
- Project partners:
 - MPO
 - Steering committee
 - Nelson\Nygaard consultant team
 - Metro Transit (support)
- Goal:
 - To Investigate the use of larger and smaller buses to diversify Metro's fleet

Metro Transit's Fleet





- About 210 buses in the fleet
- Standard 40-foot transit coaches with 35-38 seats (about 10% are hybrid-electric)
- Used for fixed-route service (Routes 1-84 and Supplemental Schoolday Service)
- 17 paratransit vans for Metro+Plus demand-response service

Small and Large Buses





- 60-foot articulated buses
- 50-55 seats
- S doors
- Higher fuel use and operating cost
- Reduces overcrowding, eliminates the need for some extra service
- Solution 30-foot small heavy duty bus
- 25-30 seats
- 1 or 2 doors
- Lower fuel use, similar operating cost
- Improves the image of empty buses

Other vehicles include light- and medium-duty small buses, doubledecker buses, and over-the-road coaches.



Analysis

Screen-line loading analysis

- Supplemental School day Service not included
- All vehicles must be usable during peak periods

Bus size criteria

- During peak periods, no more than 20% of trips should overload a standard bus, no more than 10% of trips should overload a small bus
- Staff review and interline analysis
- Annualized cost and fuel use model
- Facilities review
 - Bus storage and maintenance facility on Ingersoll Street
 - Bus stops and transfer points

Load Observation Locations







Conclusions

- 38-48 buses in the fleet could be converted to large buses
 - Most are peak-only, creating inefficiency
 - Routes 2 and 80 are the highest priority, with 11 buses in service at a time
 - Converting Routes 2 and 80 to large vehicles could increase fuel use by 37,600 gallons of fuel annually (3.0% of system total) and increase capital and operating costs by \$650,000 annually
- 5-6 buses in the fleet could be converted to small buses
 - With some significant service restructuring, 10-12 buses could be converted to small buses
 - Converting 10 standard buses to small buses could save 3,700 gallons of fuel annually (0.3% of system total) with marginal effects to operating costs
- A minimum of about 10 vehicles of any new type are desired for logistical reasons

Large Bus Routes

Route	Number of Vehicles Required		
2	4		
15	5		
28/56/57*	8		
37/38*	8		
44/48-main*	1.5		
71/74-main*	3		
72*	3		
80/84*	7		
Total	39.5 (48 with spares)		
Peak only			

Small Bus Routes

Route	Number of Vehicles Required			
Small Bus Candidate Routes				
13	2			
17	1			
34	0.5 – shared with Route 39			
39	0.5 – shared with Route 34			
52	1			
Small bus fleet	5 (6 with spares)			
Potential Small Bus Routes Requiring Additional Data or Restructure				
31	1			
44/48-loop	1			
73	2.5			
74-loop	1			
Maximum conceivable small bus fleet	10-11 (13 with spares)			



Cost and Fuel Use Model

Scenario	Description	Total Annual Cost	Annual Fuel Use
1. Existing System	Metro's existing system modeled	\$47,438,000	1,236,780 gal
	with the cost model.		
2. 10 Small Buses	10 standard buses are replaced	+ \$36,041	- 3,730 gal
	with small buses. Some long		
	routes may need to be		
	restructured.		
3.13 Large Buses	13 standard buses are replaced	+ \$648,013	+ 37,646
	with large buses for use on Routes		
	2 (4 buses) and 80 (7 buses) with 2		
	spares.		
4. 10 Small and 13	This scenario combines Scenarios 2	+ \$684,053	+ 33,916
Large	and 3.		
5. 40 Large Buses	40 large buses are replaced with	+ \$1,801,873	+ 90,711
	standard buses for use on Routes		
	2, 15, 28/56/57, 37/38, 44/48, 71,		
	72, 80, and 84.		
6. 40 Large Buses	Scenario 5 with some trips	+ \$909,807	+ 70,066
with Service	removed on Routes 10, 15, and 38		
Change	while maintaining peak capacity in		
	those corridors.		

Conclusions

- The bus storage and maintenance facility cannot accommodate any new vehicles
 - Internal circulation is acceptable
- Modifications will likely be needed at transfer points and bus stops
- Development of a Bus Rapid Transit System would reduce the need for large buses in local service







Q1 Do you use Madison Metro bus route(s)



Answered: 310 Skipped: 3

Q2: Which routes do you ride?

Wide cross-section of routes reported

Q3 For what types of trips do you take the bus?

Answered: 305 Skipped: 8



Q4 Do you experience or have you noticed bus overcrowding?

Answered: 301 Skipped: 12



Q5 Are you concerned about bus overcrowding?

Answered: 301 Skipped: 12 Extremely concerned Very concerned Moderately concerned Slightly concerned Not at all concerned 0% 20% 40% 80% 100% 60%

Q6 Are you in favor of using larger buses to reduce overcrowding?



Q7 Do you experience or have you noticed places where buses have only few passengers?



Q8 Are you concerned about buses with many empty seats?

Answered: 296 Skipped: 17



Q9 Are you in favor of Metro using smaller buses on some routes?



Videos

- <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature</u> =player_detailpage&v=ELmiHd5yZhw#t =122
- <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g6Kn</u>
 <u>LJDzEfw&feature=player_detailpage#t=</u>
 25

Mike Cechvala Madison Area Transportation Planning Board – an MPO 608-266-4518 mcechvala@cityofmadison.com

QUESTIONS