

From: [Scanlon, Amy](#)
To: [Scanlon, Amy](#)
Subject: FW: Gilman Documents
Date: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 12:18:46 PM

From: Stu Levitan
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 4:31 AM
To: John Martens
Cc: Scanlon, Amy
Subject: Re: Gilman Documents

John
Thanks
Stu

From: John Martens
To: Stu Levitan
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 12:49 AM
Subject: Gilman Documents

Stu,

I've been carefully studying the latest submissions for the Gilman Street project, and I think there are some serious flaws with the documentation. A quick summary here:

- The elevations for the fifth story imply that there are some setbacks on the fifth floor, but the plan for the fifth floor is exactly the same as the plan for the fourth floor. Are there setbacks or are there not?

- By my reckoning there is no question that the three towers are set on a pedestal that is as much as 5 feet above the existing grade, yet there are no depictions, only the vaguest hint, of that pedestal in the drawings. How can a commission reasonably evaluate the building(s) when a significant portion of it is not shown in the drawings?

- You have asked for how they calculated the alleged 206,000 ft.³ volume, yet they have skillfully avoided answering that. Do you want an answer or not? (I am certain the volume is in the neighborhood of 250,000 ft.³)

- The Landmarks Commission is supposed to be making a decision where size is an important consideration, yet there is not a single dimension shown in the new set of drawings.

I really think they need to submit a more complete package before a legally binding decision can be made. If you would like to give me a call at 221-2828, please do; otherwise you can always e-mail me.

Regards,

John

PS Please note my new email address and delete the [REDACTED] from

your address book if it is in there.

This email is free from viruses and malware because [avast! Antivirus](#) protection is active.

-
-