What Municipalities need to know about AB385/SB301

Mark Clear, City of Madison Common Council [district19@cityofmadison.com] Nick Zavos, office of Madison Mayor Paul Soglin [nzavos@cityofmadison.com]

If your municipality collects a room tax (TOT), this pending legislation could have significant effects on your community. The bill is being promoted by the lodging industry as a means of providing transparency and ending abuse by certain communities. However, the bill goes far beyond those stated goals; *it makes fundamental policy changes regarding how the tax should be spent and who should control it.* The lodging industry views room tax as "their" money, and they want to control how it is spent. (Of course, room tax is paid by visitors; hotels are merely the collection agent.)

Bill highlights

- Community must turn over 70% of collections to a commission of hoteliers who would decide how the funds are spent. (Municipality can retain 30%.)
- Grandfather clause is eliminated for pre-1994 communities
- Hotel may retain 3% for processing fees
- Detailed reporting requirement, and a means to challenge municipal compliance

The Problems

Proponents claim the "intent" of the room tax is marketing. This is inaccurate. Room tax use across Wisconsin is as unique as our communities. It supports tourism promotion, convention centers, and the associated municipal services that allow tourism to thrive.

Tourism is not driven by marketing alone; there must be a civic investment in making the community an attractive place to visit. It makes to fund those things with some balance of room tax and property tax that varies from community to community. Otherwise communities may be less able or interested in making those investments.

Pre-1994 communities will face a gap in their budgets. With the current levy limits, many communities will not be able to replace the lost funds. For those that have levy capacity, this bill represents a tax increase - taxes paid by out-of-town visitors will now be replaced with local property taxes.

The entity that will gain control over the room tax will have no direct accountability to the public.

Reporting and mediation alone would provide transparency accountability. Instead, the bill imposes a one-size-fits-all regime that narrows the permitted uses of the room tax. The effect of those changes will be devastating in some communities, and in others it cannot be predicted.

Retailers and others that pay sales tax may seek a similar 3% holdback

<u>Sponsors</u>

Representatives:

Gary Bies: 1st District (Door County) (Kewaunee County) (Sturgeon Bay) R

Joan Ballweg 41st District (Adams / Green Lake Counties) R

Edward Brooks (R) 50th District (Juneau Co.)

Fred Clark (D) 81st District (Sauk / Columbia Co.)

Eric Genrich (D) 90th District (Green Bay)

Joel Kleefisch (R) 38th District (Jefferson Co.) Lake Mills

Jeffrey Murau 36th District (Marinette Co./Menominee Co./ Oconto CO.)

John Murtha (R) 29th district (St. Croix Co.)

Alvin Ott 3rd District (R) Part of Appleton – near Outagamie Co. Brown Co. and Calumet Co.

Kevin Petersen (R) 40th district (Waupaca Co) – Waupaca

Rob Swearingen (R) 34th District (Oneida, Florence, Forrest Co.) – Eagle River, Rhinelander,

Minocqua

Jeremy Thiesfeldt (R) 52nd District Fond du lac

Senators:

Luther Olsen (R) 14th District – Marquette and Green Lake Co.

Robert Cowles (R) 2nd District - Near Green Bay - Outagamie Co. Shawano Co.

Richard Gudex (R) 18th District – Winnebago Co. (Oshkosh / Fond Du Lac)

Dave Hansen (D) 30th District - Green Bay - Ocanto - Marinette

Sheila Harsdorf (R) 10th District – Polk Co. / Burnett Co.

Frank Lasee (R) 1st District - Door County

John Lehman (D) 21st District - Racine Co. - Racine

Thomas Tiffany (R) – 12 District – Florence / Forrest Co./ Oneida and Vilas Co. (Eagle River, Minocqua, Rhinelander)