

## AGENDA # 5

City of Madison, Wisconsin

---

REPORT OF: LANDMARKS COMMISSION	<b>PRESENTED:</b> August 26, 2013
TITLE: Buildings Proposed for Demolition – 2013 (28640)	<b>REFERRED:</b>
	<b>REREFERRED:</b>
	<b>REPORTED BACK:</b>
AUTHOR: Amy Scanlon, Secretary	<b>ADOPTED:</b> <b>POF:</b>
DATED: August 26, 2013	<b>ID NUMBER:</b>

---

Members present were: Stu Levitan, Chair; Jason Fowler, Christina Slattery, Marsha Rummel, and Michael Rosenblum. Erica Fox Gehrig and David McLean excused.

### **SUMMARY:**

- 939 East Washington Avenue
- 925 East Washington Avenue
- 124 North Livingston Street
- 905 East Washington Avenue
- 910 East Main Street
- 924 East Main Street
- 922 East Main Street
- 945 East Washington Avenue
- 622 and 632 Howard Place

### **ACTION:**

A motion was made by Rummel, seconded by Rosenblum, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the numerous buildings on the 900 Block of East Washington Avenue (939 East Washington Avenue, 925 East Washington Avenue, 905 East Washington Avenue, 910 East Main Street, 924 East Main Street, 922 East Main Street, and 945 East Washington Avenue) have structural integrity, historic value for reuse, and usefulness and relevance as a commercial asset in the Capitol East District; and therefore, the Landmarks Commission opposes demolition until there is a comprehensive proposal for the future use of the site. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

A motion was made by Rosenblum, seconded by Slattery, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the building at 124 North Livingston Street has no known historic value. The motion passed by voice vote/other.

A motion was made by Rosenblum, seconded by Slattery, to recommend to the Plan Commission that the buildings at 622 and 632 Howard Place are both contributing structures in the Langdon Street National Register Historic Districts, that one was designed by a master architectural firm, that one is the last bungalow in the area, that this proposal goes against the recommendations for the area in the Downtown Plan, and that the buildings appear to be structurally sound; and therefore, the Landmarks Commission strongly opposes their demolition and the accelerated pace of redevelopment within the historic district. The motion passed by voice vote/other.