DRAFT: TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM INTERMODAL TRANSIT CENTER SITE EVALUATION



SOUTH CAPITOL TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD)

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

August 2013

Prepared for:

CITY OF MADISON, WI

Prepared by:



DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Executive Summary

This technical memorandum presents the findings of an intermodal transit center site evaluation in Madison, Wisconsin. This evaluation is part of the South Capitol Transit-Oriented Development (SCTOD) District Planning Study. Three candidate intermodal transit center locations were identified by the City. The benefits of each location are assessed based on the methodology and screening criteria developed in collaboration with the South Capitol Planning Committee and City Staff. The preferred intermodal transit center location should be one that improves the connectivity with other major metropolitan areas, facilitates intermodal connections for a variety of intra- and inter-city transportation modes, and is located so as to provide easy access to the major destinations. Based on the results of this site evaluation Kimley-Horn recommends that the City of Madison consider the site at West Washington Avenue and South Bedford Street as the preferred location and authorize the development of the conceptual site plan required in the SCTOD.

Alternatives Evaluated

Three potential intermodal transit center (ITC) locations evaluated are shown in Figure 1 and enumerated below:

- 1. West Washington Avenue and South Bedford Street includes property owned by the University of Wisconsin, a manufacturing facility, a U-Haul facility, and a gas station with convenience store.
- 2. Brayton Lot is located on East Washington Avenue, north of East Main Street between South Butler Street and South Hancock Street and is owned by the City of Madison and used as a surface parking lot.
- 3. East Wilson Street and South Pinckney Street, currently the State's Department of Administration Building.



DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Methodology

Preliminary site requirements were established to provide a basis to evaluate the adequacy of the alternative sites. These requirements are:

- Building space requirements must include waiting, restrooms, dining, ticketing, and luggage handling areas
- Site requirements must accommodate six saw tooth-style bus bays to support up to 40 daily buses across six bus companies while allowing for additional development on and near the site in order to support the desired goals of the South Capitol Transit Oriented Development program.
- Interface requirements must provide effective transitions from one mode to another and have adequate pickup and drop-off areas, including covered areas to protect passengers and luggage from inclement weather.
- Secondary access requirements must support pedestrian and bicycle access, including the potential for bicycle storage or parking

City staff and the South Capitol District Planning Committee worked with the consultant team to develop site selection criteria. Key site selection criteria include the general location of the site, the ease of accessibility, size and configuration of the site relative to the goals of the South Capitol District, relative site development costs, context and urban design considerations, and potential impact to the economic development of the surrounding neighborhoods. The site selection criteria and relevant evaluation factors are further defined in **Table 1**.

The consultant team developed a methodology to evaluate the performance of each potential ITC location with respect to the identified site selection criteria and evaluation factors. The ability of each ITC location to satisfy the evaluation factors was assigned a ranking of good, fair, or poor based on a performance metric specific to each evaluation factor. The overall ability of each ITC location to satisfy the site selection criteria is based on the ranking of the related evaluation factors. A list of the site selection criteria, related evaluation factors, and performance metrics is provided in **Table 2**.

SOUTH CAPITOL TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD) DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 1: Site Selection Criteria			
Site Selection Criteria	Evaluation Factors		
Location of site	 Potential for the site to be used by intercity bus operators Location of the site on or near established Metro bus routes to meet the needs of low income and transit dependent populations Potential for the site to support and enhance future BRT routes Proximity to existing railroad corridor Proximity to existing bike paths Proximity to users at the University Proximity to Downtown core and convention sites 		
Accessibility	 Accessibility to regional roadway system Accessibility for pedestrians, bicycles, buses, autos, taxis, Impact of the future site operations on current circulation for buses, autos, pedestrians, and bicycles Impact of special events on site accessibility 		
Size and configuration of site elements relative to the established program goals	 Terminal building Bus operations Parking(short-term/drop-off/taxi) Bike facilities Additional amenities Potential to grow 		
Context, urban design considerations	 Compatibility with adjacent land uses Potential for the intermodal center to add to the urban design of the neighborhood Potential for site design or operations to adversely impact neighborhoods Ability of the site to incorporate other complementary uses Other potential development sites nearby Public space Visibility 		
Cost (ease of land acquisition)	 Potential for development partnership Timing Willing Seller 		



SOUTH CAPITOL TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD) DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 2: Evaluation Factors and Performance Metrics				
Site Selection Criteria	Evaluation Factors	Performance Metric		
	Potential for the site to be used by intercity bus operators	TBD		
Location of site	Location of the site on or near established Metro bus routes	# of routes within 1/4 mile radius		
Location of Site	Potential for the site to support and enhance future BRT routes	adjacent to or in proximity of proposed BRT routes		
	Proximity to users at the University, in the Downtown core, and at the Convention Center	# of Madison points of interest within 1/4 mile		
	Accessibility to arterial/regional roadway system	proximity to arterials		
Accessibility	Accessibility for buses, autos, taxis, pedestrians, and bicycles	entrance connections to multimodal facilities		
Accessibility	Impact of the future site operations on current circulation for buses, autos, pedestrians, and bicycles	removal of existing facilities, impact on traffic		
	Building	space for 3,000 SF building		
Cize and configuration of cite	Bus Operations	space for 6 sawtooth bus bays		
Size and configuration of site elements relative to the	Parking	space for drop-off parking		
established program goals	Bike Facilities	space for bike racks/lockers		
program gome	Additional Amenities	space for alternate land uses		
	Potential to grow	space to expand within outlined parcel(s)		
	Compatibility with adjacent land uses	neighborhood		
Context, urban design	Potential for the intermodal center to add to the urban design of the neighborhood	improved urban design		
considerations	Potential for design or operations to adversely impact neighborhood	traffic or scale		
	Visibility	wayfinding and presence in community		
	Potential for partnership	Current owners of other developers		
Cost of development	Ease of land acquisition	in public ownership, willing seller, or potential for partnership		

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Site Evaluation

The evaluation of the site selection criteria is presented below and includes:

- Location of the site
- Accessibility
- Size and configuration
- Context and urban design considerations
- Cost of development
- Potential for economic development

Location of the Site

The primary consideration is that the preferred ITC location should have the potential to be used by intercity bus operators. The facility is meant to be intermodal, but initially the primary user is envisioned to be inter-city buses that now primarily park haphazardly on the streets throughout the campus and at other pick-up and drop off locations in Madison. Other modes including Metro bus and potentially BRT and rail may use the site, either at the time of its opening or in the future, but if the inter-city bus operators choose not to use it or if there are not motivators for them to use it, then it will not meet its primary needs. This evaluation criterion then is of most importance.

Secondarily, the site should be located such that it will be fully functional with established Metro bus routes, should support and enhance any planned BRT routes, and should be accessible to users traveling to or from the University, the Downtown Core, and the Convention Center. The sites were evaluated based on the number of riders on the buses that pass by the site on a given week. Ridership during the University of Wisconsin class session was also considered. In addition, the proximity of the potential BRT to the nearest potential ITC location was categorized as adjacent (most favorable), within ¼ mile, or beyond ¼ mile (least favorable). The local transit access is summarized in Tables 3.

Table 3: Proximity to Metro Bus Routes						
	Intern	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations				
Weekly Metro bus riders	1 West Washington & 2 Brayton Lot 3 East Wilson & South Pinckney					
Trips when UW not in session	529	1,049	1,166			
Additional UW trips	<u>111</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>15</u>			
Total when UW in session	640 1,089 1,181					
Potential BRT	1/4 mile	adjacent	1/4 mile			

Locations 2 and 3 have the greatest number of Metro bus riders passing by the site, but all three sites have good local transit access. Location 2 is on the proposed BRT line while Locations 1 and 3 are within a quarter mile of the potential BRT.

Similarly, the proximity of the potential ITC locations to various destinations in Madison, including the University of Wisconsin, the Downtown Core, and the Convention Center sites (Monona Terrace, and the Alliant Energy Center) was categorized as adjacent (most favorable), within 1/4 mile, beyond 1/4 mile, or beyond 2 miles (least favorable) and is summarized in Table 4.

SOUTH CAPITOL TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TO DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 4: Proximity to Destinations in Madison						
Intermodal Transit Center Potential Location			ocations			
Destinations	1 West Washington & 2 Brayton Lot 3 East Wilson & South Pinckney					
University of Wisconsin	Adjacent	1/4 mile - 2 miles	1/4 mile - 2 miles			
Downtown Core	1/4 mile - 2 miles	< ¼ mile	< ¼ mile			
Convention Center Sites						
Monona Terrace	1/4 mile - 2 miles	1/4 mile - 2 miles	< ¼ mile			
Alliant Energy Center	>2 miles	>2 miles	>2 miles			

Location 1 is adjacent to the University of Wisconsin making it attractive for the student population as well as residents and visitors attending special events at the Kohl Center. Locations 2 and 3 are proximate to the Downtown Core and Location 3 is also adjacent to Monona Terrace Convention Center.

A summary of the evaluation criteria related to location of the site is shown in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Location of the Site				
	Intermodal Transit Center Potenti			ial Locations
Criteria	Performance Metric	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney
Potential for the site to be used by intercity bus operators	Conversations with operators	•	0	0
Location of the site on or near established Metro bus routes	# of routes within 1/4 mile radius	•	•	•
Potential for the site to support and enhance future BRT routes	adjacent to or in proximity of proposed BRT routes	•	•	•
Proximity of users at the University, in the Downtown core and at the Convention center	# of Madison points of interest within 1/4 mile	•	•	•
	Scores	2	1	1

^{• =} Good (1), \bullet = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Accessibility

The preferred ITC location should have high accessibility to the regional roadway system, should be accessible to pedestrians, bicyclists, taxis, automobiles and should accommodate future site operations and circulation requirements. The proximity of the potential ITC locations and the bike routes and regional roadways was categorized as adjacent (most favorable) or within ¼ mile (least favorable), and is summarized in **Tables 6** and **7**. The accessibility for other modes is summarized in **Table 7**.

Table 6: Proximity to Regional Roadways				
	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations			
Type of Roadway	1 West Washington & 2 Brayton Lot 3 East Wilson & South Pinckney			
Principal Arterial	1/4 mile	Adjacent	1/4 mile	
Minor Arterial	Adjacent	1/4 mile	1/4 mile	

	Table 7: Accessibility for Other Modes				
	Inter	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations			
Secondary Use	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney		
Pedestrian	Sidewalks along North Bedford, West Mifflin, and West Washington Streets	Sidewalks along perimeter; crosswalks at every corner	Sidewalks along crosswalk at corner of East Wilson and South Pinckney		
Bicylists	On-street bike route on North Bedford; 500 ft from SW Commuter Path	No facilities along access points	No facilities along access points		
Taxi	Parking lanes along W Washington Avenue, North Bedford Street and south side of West Mifflin St	Parking Lanes around perimeter of site	Parking lane on both sides of East Wilson No Parking on South Pinckney		
Automobile	Entrances on West Washington, West Mifflin, and North Bedford	Current entrances on South Butler Street and East Main Street	Automobile access on Wilson Boulevard but will interfere with on-street bus bays		

Location 1 is adjacent to West Washington Avenue, a minor arterial, and is less than ¼ mile to Route 151. Location 2 is adjacent to East Washington Avenue, and therefore provides direct access from a major arterial.

Location 1 provides the best accessibility to bicycle facilities with on-street bike routes along N Bedford Street, adjacent to the site. It is also proximate to the Southwest Commuter Path.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Location 3 is adjacent to Wilson Street which currently is a one-way street. There is no direct access to an arterial roadway. The existing rail line also creates a barrier for access to John Nolen Drive (Route 151). It will require onstreet bus bays, eliminating existing parking facilities. Buses, taxis, and drop-off queuing along Wilson Street may cause congestion and conflicts between all modes of transportation. Additionally, because Pinckney Street serves as an egress for the Monona Terrace Convention Center, queuing from buses/taxis/drop-off and pick-up vehicles may interfere with exiting vehicles during special events. These constraints would remain under the proposed two-way Wilson Street scenario, which would add additional turning movements in a constrained area.

A summary of the evaluation criteria related to site accessibility is shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Site Accessibility				
		Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations		
Site Selection Criteria	Performance Metric	West Washington & North Bedford	Brayton Lot	East Wilson & South Pinckney
Accessibility to regional roadways	proximity to arterials	•	•	0
Site accessibility for buses	Access from adjacent streets	•	•	0
Site accessibility for pedestrians, bicycles, taxis and autos	entrance connections to multimodal facilities	•	•	•
Impact of the future site operations on current circulation for buses, autos, pedestrians and bicycles	removal of existing facilities, impact on traffic	•	•	0
	Scores	3	3	-3

• = Good (1), • = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

Size and Configuration

The preferred ITC location should optimize space to deliver efficient operations between the terminal buildings, bus facilities, parking areas, and bike facilities, and while also supporting additional amenities with the potential for future growth. A summary of the size and configuration characteristics of the potential sites is provided in **Table 9**.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 9: Size and Configuration				
	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations			
Criteria	1 West Washington & 2 Brayton Lot North Bedford 2 Brayton Lot		3 East Wilson & South Pinckney	
Size of Current Lot	Includes several parcels	~ 87,450 SF	~ 21,250 SF footprint	
Terminal Building	Could reuse an existing building, adequate room for new structure	Adequate room for building	Would utilize existing floors of the building	
Bus Operations	Adequate space for six off- street bus bays on one or more of the parcels	Adequate space for six off-street bus bays	On-street bus bays, utilize 12' parking lanes	
Drop-Off Parking	Adequate space for off-street drop-off and pick-up parking	Adequate space for off-street drop- off and pick-up parking	On-street queuing along Wilson will be difficult with bus bays	
Taxi Stands	Adequate space for off-street taxi queuing	Adequate space for off-street taxi queuing	On-street queuing along Wilson will be difficult with bus bays staged on-street	

As Figure 2 shows, the West Washington and Bedford site is made up of several publicly and privately held parcels. Generally, the parcels can be defined as follows:

- A. The corner parcel is the U-Haul parcel and is the site of their truck and trailer rental facility. It is privately owned and considered a financially successful business.
- B. The parcel at the corner of Bedford and Mifflin is privately owned container manufacturing facility. It is the subject of redevelopment plans that could include an intermodal facility.
- C. The parcel behind the school board building and east of the Kohl Center is publicly owned, one portion by the University of Wisconsin and the other by the school board. The University is considering redevelopment of their portion for additional art related buildings and structured parking.
- D. The remaining parcel on West Washington is adjacent to the railroad and includes a gas station, convenience store and historic railroad station building.



Figure 2: West Washington Parcels

A summary of the evaluation criteria related to size and configuration of the potential sites is provided in **Table 9**.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 10: Size and Configuration					
	Performance	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations			
Criteria	Metric	West Washington & North Bedford	Brayton Lot	East Wilson & South Pinckney	
Building	space for 3,000 SF building	•	•	•	
Bus operations	space for 6 sawtooth bus bays	•	•	•	
Parking	space for drop-off parking	•	•	0	
Bike facilities	space for bike racks/lockers	•	•	•	
Additional amenities	space for alternate land uses	•	•	•	
Potential to grow	space to expand within outlined parcel(s)	•	•	0	
	Scores:	6	5	0	

• = Good (1), • = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

If the city is able to acquire both parcels for Location 1, there is adequate space for the station, bus bays, drop-off parking, taxi queuing, and bicycle facilities.

As the size and configuration of the ITC site is considered on the Washington and Bedford site the evaluation is being done without specifically identifying which parcels will be impacted.

Location 2 has a large parcel with space for all secondary amenities. Location 3 limits automobile access and may create congestion with on-street queuing and bicycle facilities would have to be retrofitted into the existing building to prevent interference with existing pedestrian walkways.

Context and Urban Design Considerations

This evaluation criterion evaluates how the proposed ITC locations relate to the surrounding neighborhood. The specific criteria relate to compatibility with adjacent land uses and the potential for the intermodal center to add to the urban design of the neighborhood or to adversely impact it. Also considered is the site's visibility as a recognizable attraction in the community for wayfinding but also for promoting intermodal travel.

A summary of the evaluation criteria related to context and urban design is found in **Table 11**.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 11: Context and Urban Design Considerations				
	Intermodal	Transit Center Potential	Locations	
Criteria	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney	
Compatibility with adjacent land uses	•	•	•	
Potential for the intermodal center to add to the urban design of the neighborhood	•	•	•	
Potential for adverse neighborhood impacts	•	•	0	
Visibility	•	•	•	
Scores	2	3	1	

• = Good (1), • = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

Cost of Development

It is assumed in this evaluation that the costs of actually constructing the sites are comparable. The West Washington Avenue and Brayton Lot sites are generally ready for construction of a facility. The East Wilson Street site would require significant remodeling of the Department of Administration building rendering the magnitude of construction costs comparable to the other two sites. The other elements of cost are related to the potential to partner with property owners or others to minimize the city's investment and the ease of acquiring the property rights to construct the facility.

Table 12: Cost of Development					
	Intermoda	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations			
Criteria	1 West Washington & 2 Brayton Lot 3 East Wilson & South Pinckney				
Potential for partnership	University and private property owner have expressed interest in developing their parcels	Owned by Madison	Government building, easier to coordinate than privately owned property		
Ease of acquisition	Potentially willing sellers on some of the parcels	Owned by Madison	Potentially willing to convert lower levels to transit center facilities		

The evaluation of relative development costs is found in **Table 13**.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 13: Cost of Development						
Criteria	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations					
	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney			
Potential for partnership	•	•	•			
Ease of acquisition	•	•	•			
Scores	1	1	0			

^{• =} Good (1), \bullet = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

Potential for Economic Development

Creation of an intermodal transit center, especially if it is accompanied by other multi-use development has the potential to be an incentive to economic development. Ranking was accomplished considering the size and configuration of the site and the development potential of the surrounding parcels.

The summary of the evaluation criteria related to potential for economic development is shown in Table 14.

Table 14: Potential for economic development						
	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations					
Criteria	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney			
Economic development opportunities	•	•	0			
Scores	1	0	-1			

^{• =} Good (1), \bullet = FAIR (0), \circ = POOR (-1)

Evaluation Summary

A summary of the results of the evaluation of site selection criteria is provided in **Table 15**. The evaluation summary qualitatively ranks each site as good, fair, or poor with respect to the evaluation factors and relevant performance metrics. A numerical score of 1, 0, or -1 is assigned to these rankings. At the end of the table a site selection score is tallied representing the ability of each individual site to meet the site selection criteria.

DISTRICT PLANNING STUDY

Table 15: Total Summary						
	Intermodal Transit Center Potential Locations					
Site Selection Criteria	1 West Washington & North Bedford	2 Brayton Lot	3 East Wilson & South Pinckney			
Location of the Site	2	1	1			
Accessibility	3	3	-3			
Size and configuration	6	5	0			
Context and urban design considerations	2	3	1			
Cost of ease and acquisition	1	1	0			
Potential for Economic Development	1	0	-1			
Total Scores	15	13	-2			

Conclusion

The potential intermodal transit center locations were evaluated against a number of criteria including connectivity with other major metropolitan areas, facilitates intermodal connections for a variety of intra- and inter-city transportation modes, and is located so as to provide easy access to the major destinations. The results of this site evaluation as summarized in **Table 15**.

The West Washington Avenue site and the Brayton Lot are very comparable sites. They scored relatively evenly across each of the criteria. However, the primary consideration is that the preferred ITC location should have the potential to be used by intercity bus operators. The facility is meant to be intermodal, but the primary user is envisioned to be inter-city buses. If the inter-city bus operators choose not to use it then it will not meet its primary needs. The West Washington Avenue site has a greater potential for the operators to use it because of its proximity to the University of Wisconsin campus.

Therefore, Kimley-Horn recommends that the city consider the site at West Washington Avenue and South Bedford Street as the preferred location and authorize the development of the conceptual site plan required in the SCTOD.