## PROPOSAL REVIEW CRITERIA: Individual Rating sheet for 2014 Gardens Proposals

Agency	Program/Project Title	Project #
--------	-----------------------	-----------

This sheet should be completed after reading a proposal. Enter the number of points for each question that you determine should be assigned. Please bring this completed form to the Conference Committee meeting where the agency will answer questions related to this proposal.

1. To what extent does the proposal meet the Objectives of the Community  Development and Community Resources Program Goals and Priorities for 2013-2014  2. To what extent is the proposed program design and work plan sufficiently detailed to demonstrate the ability to result in a positive impact on the need or problem identified?  3. To what extent does the proposal include objectives that are realistic and measurable and are likely to be achieved within the proposed timeline?  4. To what extent do the agency, staff and/or Board experience, qualifications, past performance and capacity indicate probable success of the proposal?  5. To what extent is the agency's proposed budget is clear and best of the proposed budget is clear and best of the proposed budget is clear and best or priorities  10 Meets objectives and priorities  10 High capacity indicate probable success of the proposal include of proposed budget is clear and priorities  11 Dooints  12 To what extent does the proposal include objectives, not realistic and measurable, unlikely to be achieved within timeline  11 Vague objectives, not realistic & measurable, measurable, were likely to be achieved within timeline  12 To points  13 To what extent do the agency, staff and or proposed budget is clear and priorities  14 To what extent do the agency, staff and Board qualifications.		10 points	
1   10   10   10   10   10   10   10		Meets objectives and	Points
3. To what extent does the proposal include objectives that are realistic and measurable and are likely to be achieved within the proposed timeline?  1 Vague objectives, not realistic & measurable, unlikely to be achieved within timeline  10 points  1 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  10 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  5 Clear objective, realistic & measurable, were likely to be achieved within timeline  10 points  1 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  5 points  5 Doints	Ineffective program design, unlikely to have	10 Highly effective program design, logical & efficient work plan, very likely to	Points
1 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  5 points  1 D  Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor quality services, strong administration, excellent track record, high staff and Board qualifications.  5 points  1 D  Low capacity to provide high quality services, strong administration, excellent track record, high staff and Board qualifications.  5 points	Vague objectives, not realistic or measurable, unlikely to be achieved	5 Clear objective, realistic & measurable, very likely to be	Points
5. To what extent is the agency's proposed 1 5	Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor	10 High capacity to provide high quality services, strong administration, excellent track record, high staff and Board	Points
dewerage additional resources, and demonstrate sound fiscal planning management?     unclear and/or incorrect, unrealistic, appropriate costs, leverages other funds to support additional resources.	Proposed budget is unclear and/or incorrect, unrealistic, does not leverage	5 Proposed budget is clear and accurate, reflects realistic & appropriate costs, leverages other funds to support	Points
emonstrate sound fiscal planning and		I Ineffective program design, unlikely to have a positive impact  1 Vague objectives, not realistic or measurable, unlikely to be achieved within timeline  1 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  1 Proposed budget is unclear and/or incorrect, unrealistic, does not leverage	Does not meet objectives or priorities  10 points  1 Ineffective program design, unlikely to have a positive impact  1 Vague objectives, not realistic or measurable, unlikely to be achieved within timeline  1 Low capacity to provide high quality service, poor track record, poor qualifications.  1 Proposed budget is unclear and/or incorrect, unrealistic, or provide correct, urrealistic, incorrect, urrealistic, appropriate costs, leverages other funds to support

6. To what extent does the agency's proposal demonstrate efforts and success at securing a diverse array of support, including volunteers, in-kind support and securing partnerships with agencies and community groups?	1 Very few partnerships, volunteer or in-kind support.	5 Strong partnerships effectively utilizes volunteers & in-kind support.	Points
7. To what extent does the applicant propose services that are accessible and appropriate to the needs of <u>low income individuals</u> , <u>culturally diverse</u> populations and/or populations with specific <u>language barriers</u> and/or <u>physical or mental disabilities?</u> 8. To what extent does the proposal	1 Little attention paid to culturally relevant programming, few low income individuals served, no specific plan for addressing accessibility or language barriers.	5 points  5 Program design in culturally responsive, addresses accessibility & language needs of a variety of individuals, serves a high number of low income individuals  5 points	Points
meet the technical and regulatory requirements and unit cost limits as applicable? To what extent is there clear and precise proposal information to determine eligibility?  Comments, thoughts, issues:	Program does not meet the technical or regulatory requirements, exceeds cost limits or information is unclear to determine compliance with regulations.	Program meets the technical or regulatory requirements, is within cost limits clear, precise information.	
55 Total Points Possible		Total Points Assigned to Proposal:	