#### AGENDA # 2

# City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PRESENTED: April 27, 2011

TITLE: 232 East Olin Avenue – Outdoor **REFERRED:** 

Volleyball Court in UDD No. 7. 14<sup>th</sup> Ald. **REREFERRED:** 

Dist. (21876)

REPORTED BACK:

AUTHOR: William A. Fruhling, Acting Secretary ADOPTED: POF:

DATED: April 27, 2011 **ID NUMBER:** 

Members present were: Marsha Rummel, Mark Smith, Dawn O'Kroley, Todd Barnett, Richard Slayton, John Harrington, R. Richard Wagner, Melissa Huggins.

#### **SUMMARY:**

At its meeting of April 27, 2011, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of an outdoor volleyball court located at 232 East Olin Avenue. Appearing on behalf of the project was Ron Lamberty, representing The Coliseum Bar. Lamberty presented an overview of temporary volleyball courts which would use a "sandbox" to contain the sand instead of curbing. The court would be dismantled during each September and returned to parking. Lamberty stated they will be using a permeable landscape fabric to contain sediment from the sand. The lighting is new and would be up year-round, but may not be used during the winter unless necessary for the parking. Fruhling suggested he meet with Fred Rehbein of Building Inspection to ensure the lighting levels meet City requirements. The Commission discussed parking lot circulation, with Lamberty noting that the Fire Department has approved these plans.

# **ACTION**:

On a motion by Slayton, seconded by Barnett, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**, with the condition that the applicant modify the lighting if necessary to meet City code, and encouraged the planting of a canopy tree within or near the parking lot.

The motion was passed on a vote of (7-0).

After the Commission acts on an application, individual Commissioners rate the overall design on a scale of 1 to 10, including any changes required by the Commission. The ratings are for information only. They are not used to decide whether the project should be approved. The scale is 1 = complete failure; 2 = critically bad; 3 = very poor; 4 = poor; 5 = fair; 6 = good; 7 = very good; 8 = excellent; 9 = superior; and 10 = outstanding. The overall ratings for this project are 4, 5 and 6.

## URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION PROJECT RATING FOR: 232 East Olin Avenue

	Site Plan	Architecture	Landscape Plan	Site Amenities, Lighting, Etc.	Signs	Circulation (Pedestrian, Vehicular)	Urban Context	Overall Rating
	3	6	5	5	-	2	6	4
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Så	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5
Member Ratings								
mber								
Me								

## General Comments:

- Parking count? Pedestrian and site circulation are a concern.
- Repurpose the parking lot!
- Vehicle-pedestrian conflict is a real concern, i.e. not good.