	15. I. S.	City of Madison	w	City of Madison Madison, WI 53703 ww.cityofmadison.com			
ANTED MARCH		Master					
File Number: 16433							
File ID:	16433	File Type: Resolution	Status:	Items Referred			
Version:	1	Reference:	Controlling Body:	PLAN COMMISSION			
Lead Referral:	BOARD OF ESTIMATES		File Created Date :	10/27/2009			
File Name:	9281 TID #23 Amer	idment Resolution	Final Action:				
Title:	<ul> <li>Approving a Project Plan Amendment for Tax Incremental District (TIF) #23 (Capitol Square Revitalization) City of Madison and authorizing the use of the "Half-Mile Rule" as provided for in State of Wisconsin TIF Law.</li> </ul>						
Notes:							
			CC Agenda Date:	11/03/2009			
Sponsors:	Michael E. Verveer		Enactment Date:				

Attachments: 9281 TID #23 - AMENDED Project Plan.pdf ,9281 TID #23 AMENDMENT - JRB Org Mtg Memo.pdf	Enactment Number:
Author: Joe Gromacki, TIF Coordinator	Hearing Date:
Entered by: afreedman@cityofmadison.com	Published Date:

## **Approval History**

Version	Date	Approver	Action
1	10/27/2009	Daniel Bohrod	Delegate
1	10/28/2009	Dean Brasser	Approve

# History of Legislative File

Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
2	Community and 10/28/2009 Economic Development Unit		Referred for Introduction				
	Action Text: Notes:	This Resolution was Ref Board of Estimates, Plan					
1		NCIL 11/03/2009	Referred	BOARD OF ESTIMATES			
	Action Text: Notes:	This Resolution was Re	ferred to the BOARD OF	ESTIMATES			

1	BOARD OF EST	IMATES	11/04/2009	Refer	PLAN	11/16/2009	
	Action Text: Notes:						
1	PLAN COMMISS	SION	11/16/2009	Return to Lead with the Recommendation for Approval	BOARD OF ESTIMATES	Pass	
	Action Text: Notes:	Approval to the BOARD OF ESTIMATES. The motion passed by voice vote/other.					
1	TIF REVIEW BC	ARD	11/30/2009				
Action Text: Gromacki reviewed the project plan amend amendment included the use of the so calle excess increments in 2010 that the City wa Square. Gromacki said that, when the City was lower than what actually occurred. The actually \$64 million in new value. This value Worzala and Price noted that they were bot with the City attorney's office as to whether million of additional Capitol Costs were not 2012. Gromacki noted that based on existi rule was being used. Gromacki said that T extension of the district would be difficult gi elimination of blighting influences that had o other surrounding districts. Worzala asked \$5.7 million of excess increment, could the resolution. Gromacki said that this could be Amendment. Mathiak asked if nothing was this if the Project Plan Amendment did not asked if the Council resolution had to mirro \$5.7 million of funds had to be approved by			e use of the so called "1/2 10 that the City was propo- that, when the City first cr ually occurred. The origin w value. This value was that they were both mem- ffice as to whether or not tol Costs were not include hat based on existing data omacki said that TID #23 would be difficult given the filuences that had occurrents. Worzala asked, if the crement, could the JRB in id that this could be include sked if nothing was done, mendment did not occur, to bution had to mirror the JF to be approved by the JF	mile rule". He noted that TID #23 would be sing to spend on improvements to the eated TID #23, the estimated amount of al plan anticipated \$45 million in new v also created faster than originally antic obers of Downtown Rotary. Staff was a this was a conflict of interest. Price as ed in the Plan Amendment, would the D a, this was accurate. Mathiak asked with had an excess amount of increment ar e changes that had taken place over time d as a result of the investments from T City was going to expend funds from the clude this requirement in the final appro- led in the final resolution approving the would the District close in 2010. Grom the City would most likely close in 2010.	Id have Capitol of new value value was ipated. asked to check ked if the \$5.7 District close in hy the ½ mile nd that an he and the TD #23 and he outstanding oval e Project Plan hacki said that D. Worzala diture of the		
	Notes:						

1 BOARD OF ESTIMATES 11/30/2009

### Text of Legislative File 16433

### **Fiscal Note**

This TIF Plan amendment would authorize \$9,883,000 of additional TIF capital expenditures and related financing costs not anticipated in the original TID #23 plan adopted in 1994. This increase adjusts plan expenditures to actual costs incurred through 2009 and provides authorization for additional TIF financed infrastructure improvements in future years. Specific amounts are detailed in the plan amendment document.

#### Title

Approving a Project Plan Amendment for Tax Incremental District (TIF) #23 (Capitol Square Revitalization) City of Madison and authorizing the use of the "Half-Mile Rule" as provided for in State of Wisconsin TIF Law.

#### Body

WHEREAS Chapter 105 of the Laws of 1975 of the State of Wisconsin created the Tax Increment Law, Section 66.1105, Wisconsin Statutes; and

WHEREAS said Law sets forth certain steps which must be followed to amend a Tax Incremental Finance District and approve a Project Plan; and

WHEREAS Tax Incremental District #23 is described below; and

WHEREAS a Notice of Public Hearing by the Plan Commission to afford interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the amendment of the Project Plan for Tax Incremental District #23 was published in the Wisconsin State Journal on October 30 and November 6, 2009 as required by said Law; and

WHEREAS prior to publication of the Notice of Public Hearing a copy of the Notice was sent by first-class mail to each of the chief executive officers or administrators of all local governmental entities having the power to levy taxes on property within Tax Incremental District #23; and

WHEREAS the Plan Commission of the City of Madison held a public hearing on November 16, 2009, at which interested parties were afforded an opportunity to express their views on the amended Project Plan for Tax Incremental District #23; and

WHEREAS the Plan Commission has determined that this District meets the basic requirements of City TIF Policy for tax incremental district proposals adopted by the Common Council on April 17, 2001 and amended on March 31, 2009 (insofar as they are applicable to the amendment of a project plan), conforms to the Comprehensive Plan for the City of Madison and is consistent with the review criteria adopted at the same time, specifically that the District supports economic development activities intended to stabilize and diversify the City's economic base; and

WHEREAS the proposed amendment to the Tax Incremental District #23 Project Plan will increase the amount of total TID expenditures in the project plan to provide for certain infrastructure projects to be completed while also addressing an increase in total project costs to date,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Project Plan for Tax Incremental District #23 (Capitol Square Revitalization), City of Madison, is hereby amended as of January 1, 2010, and that the boundaries for said District, which remain unchanged, are as below-described and as described in the amended Project Plan,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Common Council of the City of Madison has established that an extraterritorial expenditure as set forth in TIF Law ("Half Mile Rule") is necessary, appropriate and practicable.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that the attached amended Project Plan for Tax Incremental District #23 (Capitol Square Revitalization), City of Madison, is hereby adopted as the Project Plan for said District and such plan is feasible and in conformity with the Master Plan and the Economic Development Plan for the City of Madison and will add to the sound growth of the City.

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION Tax Incremental District No. 23 (Capitol Square Revitalization)

A parcel of land situated in the SW ¼ of Section 13 and the SE ¼ of Section 14, both in T7N, R9E, and comprised of portions of Blocks 83, 90, 100, 109 and 110 and all of Block 101, Original Plat, City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin, together with portions of adjacent public street rights-of-way; said parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly right-of-way line of West Mifflin Street which point is also the most Southerly corner of Lot 8, Block 83; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly

line of said Lot 8 and its northwesterly prolongation to a point on the southwesterly line of Lot 3. Block 83, which is 4 feet northwesterly of the most westerly corner of said Lot 8; thence northeasterly 4 feet distant northwesterly of and parallel to the northwesterly lines of Lot 8 and Lot 6, Block 83, to the point of intersection of said line with the southwesterly right-of-way line of Wisconsin Avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly right-of-way line of Wisconsin Avenue and its northwesterly prolongation to the point of intersection with the northwesterly right-of-way of West Dayton Street, which point is also the most easterly corner of Block 81; thence northeasterly along the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly right-of-way line of West Dayton Street and the southwesterly prolongation of the northwesterly right-of-way line of East Dayton Street and the northwesterly right-of-way line of said street to the point of intersection of said right-of-way line with the northwesterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Lot 1 and Lot 2, Block 90; thence southeasterly along said prolongation and said lines of Lot 1 and Lot 2, Block 90, to the most easterly corner of said Lot 2, which point is also the most westerly corner of Lot 5, Block 90; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly lines of Lots 5, 6, and 7, Block 90 and the northeasterly prolongation of said line to the point of intersection with the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Pinckney Street which point is on the southwesterly line of Lot 1, Block 99; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said Lot 1, which line is also the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Pinckney Street, to the most southerly corner of said Lot 1; thence northerly along the easterly line of Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 99, which line is also the westerly right-of-way line of North Hamilton Street, and continuing northerly on the northerly prolongation of the westerly right-of-way line of North Hamilton Street to the point of intersection of said prolongation with the northwesterly right-of-way line of East Dayton Street; thence easterly across North Hamilton Street on a line which intersects with the point of intersection of the easterly right-of-way line of North Hamilton Street and the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Webster Street; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Webster Street, which line is also the southeasterly line of Lot 13, Block 110, to the most southerly corner of said Lot 13; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly lines of Lot 13 and Lot 4, Block 110, and the northeasterly prolongation of said line to the point of intersection with the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Butler Street: thence southeasterly along the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Butler Street and its southeasterly prolongation to the point of intersection with the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Mifflin Street, which is also the most westerly corner of Block 112; thence southeasterly along the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Mifflin Street to the point of intersection with the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Webster Street, which point is also the most westerly corner of Block 109; thence southeasterly along the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Webster Street to the point of intersection with the southeasterly line of Lot 9, Block 109, which point is also the most southerly corner of said Lot 9; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Lot 9, Block 109, to the most easterly corner of said Lot 9; thence southeasterly on a line which is at right angle to the southeasterly line of said Lot 9 a distance of 6 feet; thence northeasterly on a line which is 6 feet distant southeasterly of and parallel to the southeasterly line of Lot 4, Block 109, and the northeasterly prolongation of said line to its point of intersection with the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Butler Street; thence southeasterly on the northeasterly right-of-way line of North Butler Street and the southeasterly prolongation of said line to its point of intersection with the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Washington Avenue which point is also the most westerly corner of Block 112; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Washington Avenue and its northeasterly and southwesterly prolongations to the point of intersection with the southwesterly right-of-way line of South Pinckney Street; thence northwesterly along the southwesterly right-of-way line of South Pinckney Street and North Pinckney Street to the point of intersection with the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Mifflin Street, which point is also the most northerly corner of the Capitol Square; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly right-of-way line of East Mifflin Street and West Mifflin

Street to a point on said right-of-way line which is the point of intersection of the southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of Lot 8, Block 83, with the southeasterly right-of-way line of West Mifflin Street; thence northwesterly along said southeasterly prolongation of the southwesterly line of said Lot 8 across West Mifflin Street to the Point of Beginning.

Note: All blocks identified in this description, whether within, adjacent to, or contiguous to the parcel described are blocks within the Original Plat of the City of Madison.

The attached project plan is on file in the City Clerks Office.