



Assured Wetland Delineation Report

Voit Farm Property

Town of Blooming Grove & City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin

August 3, 2022

Project Number: 20220700

Voit Farm Property

Town of Blooming Grove & City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin

August 3, 2022

Prepared for:

Ms. Jillian B. Hayes

Starkweather, LLC

1010 E. Washington Ave., Suite 101

Madison, WI 53707

Prepared by:

Heartland Ecological Group, Inc.

506 Springdale Street

Mount Horeb, WI 53572

608-490-2450

www.heartlandecological.com



Keith Phelps, Environmental Technician



Jeff Kraemer, Principal

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	4
2.0	Methods.....	5
2.1	Wetlands	5
3.0	Results and Discussion	7
3.1	Desktop Review	7
	Table 1. Summary of NRCS Mapped Soils within the Study Area	8
3.2	Field Review	10
	Table 2. Summary of Wetlands Identified within the Study Area	10
3.3	Other Considerations	13
4.0	Conclusion	14
5.0	References	15

Appendix A | Figures

Appendix B | APT Analysis

Appendix C | Wetland Determination Data Sheets

Appendix D | Site Photographs

Appendix E | Delineator Qualifications

Appendix F | Off-Site Analysis



1.0 Introduction

Heartland Ecological Group, Inc. ("Heartland") completed an assured wetland determination and delineation on the Voit Farm Property on May 12, 2022 at the request of Starkweather, LLC. Fieldwork was completed by Jeff Kraemer, an assured delineator qualified via the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' (WDNR's) Wetland Delineation Assurance Program (Appendix E, Qualifications). The 65.31-acre site (the "Study Area") is southwest of the intersection of US Highway (US) 51 and State Highway (STH) 30, in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 5 and northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 4, T7N, R10E, Town of Blooming Grove and City of Madison, Dane County, WI (Figure 1, Appendix A). The purpose of the wetland delineation was to determine the location and extent of wetlands within the Study Area.

Five (5) wetland areas totaling approximately 4.28 acres were delineated and mapped within the Study Area (Figure 6, Appendix A). Two (2) waterway tributaries to Starkweather Creek were also identified and mapped within the Study Area, and Starkweather Creek was present along the western and northern boundaries. A historically excavated quarry pond was also observed in the north-central portion of the Study Area, and its Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) was delineated. Wetlands, waterways, and water bodies discussed in this report may be subject to federal regulation under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), state regulation under the jurisdiction of the WDNR, and local zoning authorities. Heartland recommends this report be submitted to local authorities, the WDNR, and USACE for final jurisdictional review and concurrence.



2.0 Methods

2.1 Wetlands

Wetlands were determined and delineated using the criteria and methods described in the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual, T.R. Y-87-1 ("1987 Corps Manual") and the applicable *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual*. In addition, the *Guidance for Submittal of Delineation Reports to the St. Paul District USACE and the WDNR* (WDNR, 2015) was followed in completing the wetland delineation and report.

Determinations and delineations utilized available resources including the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) *WI 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic) Map* (Figure 2, Appendix A), the Natural Resource Conservation Service's (NRCS) Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO), U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) *Web Soil Survey* (Figure 3, Appendix A), the WDNR's *Wetland Indicator* GIS data layer (Figure 4, Appendix A), the WDNR's *Wisconsin Wetland Inventory* GIS data layer (Figure 5, Appendix A), and aerial imagery available through the USDA Farm Service Agency's (FSA) National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP). The USGS *National Hydrography Dataset* is included on Figures 2 and 5, Appendix A.

Wetland determinations were completed on-site at sample points, often along transects, using the three (3) criteria (vegetation, soil, and hydrology) approach per the 1987 Corps Manual and the Regional Supplement. Procedures in these sources were followed to demonstrate that, under normal circumstances, wetlands were present or not present based on a predominance of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology.

Atypical conditions were encountered within the Study Area due to the presence of agricultural fields including row-cropping and hay fields in areas with soils that may be hydric based on the *Web Soil Survey* and the WDNR *Surface Water Data Viewer's* wetland indicator data layer. Therefore, procedures for managed plant communities in the *Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* section described in Chapter 5 of the Regional Supplement were used. NAIP imagery were reviewed for evidence of crop stress, saturation, or inundation signatures. Sample point placements for the wetland delineation were partially determined based on such signatures.



In actively farmed areas within the Study Area where hydric soils may be present, methods described in Chapter 5 (Difficult Wetland Situations) of the Regional Supplement were followed. Available aerial imagery was analyzed using procedures described in the *Guidance for Offsite Hydrology/Wetland Determinations* (USACE and Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources, July 2016 – “July 2016 Guidance”). An off-site aerial imagery analysis (Off-Site Analysis) was completed to document the presence or absence of wetland signatures and assist in the wetland determination. A wetland signature is evidence, recorded by aerial imagery, of ponding, flooding, or impacts of saturation for sufficient duration to meet wetland hydrology and possibly wetland vegetation criteria. Wetland signatures often vary based on the type and seasonal date of the aerial imagery. For example, there are seven (7) standardized signature types in actively farmed settings described in the July 2016 Guidance. To assist in interpretations of wetland signatures, a WETS analysis was used to compare antecedent precipitation in the three (3) months leading up to each aerial image to the long-term (30-year) precipitation averages and standard deviation to determine if antecedent precipitation conditions for each image was normal, wet, or dry. Areas within agricultural fields are typically determined to be wetland if hydric soils and wetland hydrology indicators are present and aerial images taken in the five (5) (or more) most recent normal antecedent precipitation images show at least one (1) of the wetland signatures per the July 2016 Guidance. Although the off-site analysis concentrates on imagery taken under normal antecedent precipitation conditions, the images determined to be taken under wet and dry antecedent precipitation conditions were also analyzed and considered. Determinations and delineation of wetlands in agricultural areas are typically based on an outline of the largest wetland signature on an image taken under “normal” antecedent conditions, and based on the consistency of the signatures (USDA, NRCS 1998).

Recent weather conditions influence the visibility or presence of certain wetland hydrology indicators. An assessment of recent precipitation patterns helps to determine if climatic/hydrologic conditions were typical when the field investigation was completed. Therefore, a review of antecedent precipitation in the 90 days leading up to the field investigation was completed. Using an Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) analysis developed by the USACE (Deters & Gutenson 2021), the amount of precipitation over these 90 days was compared to averages and standard deviation thresholds observed over the past 30 years to generally represent if conditions encountered during the investigation were



normal, wet, or dry. Recent precipitation events in the weeks prior to the investigation were also considered while interpreting wetland hydrology indicators. Additionally, the Palmer Drought Severity Index was checked for long-term drought or moist conditions (NOAA, 2018).

The uppermost wetland boundary and sample points were identified and marked with wetland flagging and located with a Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver capable of sub-meter accuracy. In some cases, wetland flagging was not utilized to mark the boundary and the location was only recorded with a GNSS receiver, particularly in active agricultural areas. The GNSS data was then used to map the wetlands using ESRI ArcGIS Pro™ 2.9.3 software.

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Desktop Review

Climatic Conditions

According to the APT analysis using the previous 90 days of precipitation data, conditions encountered at the time of the fieldwork were expected to be normal for the time of year (Appendix B). The Palmer Drought Severity Index was checked as part of the APT analysis, and the long-term conditions at the time of the fieldwork were in the moderate drought range.

General Topography and Land Use

The topography within the Study Area was rolling, with various hills, depressions, and slopes due to historic filling, quarrying, and concrete disposal activities within the Study Area boundaries. A topographic high of approximately 885 feet above mean sea level (msl) occurs near the northeastern side, and a topographic low of approximately 846 feet above msl occurs in the north-central portions along the quarry pond (Figures 2 and 6, Appendix A). Land uses within the Study Area are primarily abandoned quarrying and concrete operations with various old fields, unmanaged vegetative areas, and access roads. A large actively farmed agriculture field is present in the southeastern portions of the Study Area. The surrounding areas are primarily devoted to warehouse and commercial space, residential properties, and park greenspace with a wetland complex to the northeast of the



Study Area. General drainage is to the south and west toward Starkweather Creek, which comprised the western and northern boundaries of the Study Area.

Soil Mapping

Soils mapped by the NRCS Soil Survey within the Study Area and their hydric status are summarized in Table 1. Wetlands identified during the field investigation are located primarily within areas mapped as hydric or partially hydric soils including wetland indicator soils (Figures 3 and 4, Appendix A).

Table 1. Summary of NRCS Mapped Soils within the Study Area

Soil symbol: Soil Unit Name	Soil Unit Component	Soil Unit Component Percentage	Landform	Hydric status
Ad: Adrian muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Adrian-Muck	75-90	Depressions	Yes
	Houghton-Muck	10-20	Depressions	Yes
	Kingsville	3-10	Depressions	Yes
Co: Colwood silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Colwood	80-90	Lakebeds (relict)	Yes
	Pella	5-10	Drainageways	Yes
	Palms	5-10	Depressions	Yes
DsC2: Dresden silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Dresden-Eroded	85-95	Plains	No
	Casco-Eroded	3-8	Moraines	No
	Kegonsa	2-7	Plains	No
GP: Gravel pit	Pits-Gravel	99	—	Unranked
	Aquents	1	Depressions	Yes
GsC2: Grays silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Grays	100	Lake plains	No
Ho: Houghton muck	Houghton	100	Depressions on stream terraces	Yes
KeB: Kegonsa silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Kegonsa	100	Outwash plains	No
W: Water	Water greater than 40 acres	100	—	Unranked



Wetland Mapping

The Wisconsin Wetlands Inventory (WWI) mapping (Figure 5, Appendix A) depicts three (3) wetland areas within the Study Area. One (1) emergent marsh/wet meadow wetland (E1K) is depicted in the northwestern portion of the Study Area, while the other two (2) emergent marsh/wet meadow wetlands (E1K) are depicted in the northeast and central-east portions of the Study Area. The emergent/wet meadow wetland in the central-east portion of the Study Area appears to be isolated in the map extent, while the other two (2) WWI wetlands were contiguous to Starkweather Creek.

Waterway Mapping

The National Hydrography Dataset 24k (NHD) mapping (Figure 5, Appendix A) depicts two (2) waterbodies and one (1) waterway, Starkweather Creek, within the Study Area. Starkweather Creek is mapped along the western Study Area boundary whereas the two (2) water bodies are present in the central and central-east portions of the Study Area.

Off-Site Analysis

A formal Off-Site Analysis was completed in the agricultural field within the southeast portion of the Study Area. This agricultural field was noted to have an absence of mapped hydric or potentially hydric soils and a depression in the northeast portion of the agricultural field was the focus of the off-site aerial imagery analysis (OSA) (Appendix F). From the aerial imagery, the primary wetland hydrology indicator of "Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery" (B7) was not noted in the depression. In that same location, the secondary wetland hydrology indicator of "Stunted or Stressed Plants" (D1) was also not observed consistently.

A total of 21 aerial images were selected and reviewed based on availability and quality of the imagery. Of these images, eight (8) were taken under normal antecedent precipitation conditions. Marginal signatures were noted in one (1) area within the Study Area within landscape positions described by the NRCS to support hydric soil components and were the focus of the OSA. The seven (7) described wetland signatures per the July 2016 Guidance were inconsistently noted (2 of 8 years) in the depression assessed on imagery taken under normal antecedent precipitation conditions. In imagery taken under wet antecedent precipitation conditions, such wetland signatures were noted in four (1) of the nine (9)



Starkweather, LLC.
 Voit Farm Property
 Project #: 20220700
 August 3, 2022

images. In imagery taken under dry antecedent precipitation conditions, there were wetland signatures noted in one (0) of the four (4) images.

Based on the off-site analysis, no (0) areas within the agricultural field were likely to be wetland prior to the fieldwork.

3.2 Field Review

Five (5) wetlands were identified and delineated within the Study Area. Wetland determination data sheets (Appendix C) were completed at 29 sample points that were representative of the wetland and upland conditions near the boundary and where potential wetlands may be present based on the desktop review and field reconnaissance. Appendix D provides photographs, typically at the sample point locations of the wetlands and adjacent uplands. The wetland boundary and sample point locations are shown on Figure 6 (Appendix A) and the wetlands are summarized in Table 2 and detailed in the following sections.

Table 2. Summary of Wetlands Identified within the Study Area

Wetland ID	Wetland Description	*Surface Water Connections	*NR151 Protective Area	Acreage (on-site)
W-1	Wet Meadow/ Emergent Marsh	Contiguous to Starkweather Creek	Less susceptible, 10-30 feet	3.56
W-2	Wet Meadow/ Excavated Ditchway	Contiguous to Starkweather Creek	Less susceptible, 10-30 feet	0.18
W-3	Wet Meadow	Contiguous to Quarry Pond/Starkweather Creek	Less susceptible, 10-30 feet	0.13
W-4	Wet Meadow	Contiguous to Starkweather Creek	Less susceptible, 10-30 feet	0.05
W-5	Wet Meadow/ Shrub-carr	Contiguous to Starkweather Creek	Less susceptible, 10-30 feet	0.36
<i>*Classification based on Heartland's professional opinion. Jurisdictional authority of wetland and waterway protective areas under NR 151 lies with the WDNR. Local zoning authorities may have additional restrictions. USACE has authority for determining federal jurisdiction of wetlands and waterways.</i>				4.28



Wetland 1, 3, and 4 (W-1, W-3, W-4)

Wetlands 1, 3, and 4 (W-1, W-3, W-4) are wet meadows located in the western and northern (W-1), central (W-3), and eastern (W-4) portions of the Study Area.

Dominant vegetation observed in W-1, W-3, and W-4 included hybrid cattail (*Typha x glauca*, OBL), wooly sedge (*Carex pellita*, OBL), duckweed (*Lemna minor*, OBL), common reed (*Phragmites australis*, FACW) and reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*, FACW). Therefore, the wetland vegetation parameter was met in these wetlands.

The Black Histic (A3), Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11), Thick Dark Surface (A12), Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1), Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2), Depleted Matrix (F3), Redox Dark Surface (F6) and Redox Depressions (F8) hydric soil indicators were noted in W-1. The F6 hydric soil indicator was noted in W-3 while the A11 and F1 hydric soil indicators were noted in W-4. These hydric soil indicators are consistent with the mapped Colwood silt loam and Adrian Muck soil types. Thus, the hydric soil parameter was met in these wetlands.

The primary wetland hydrology indicators of Surface Water (A1), High Water Table (A2), and Saturation (A3) were noted within W-1, while secondary indicators included Drainage Patterns (B2), Geomorphic Position (D2) and a positive FAC-Neutral Test (D5). In W-3 and W-4, the primary hydrology indicators of A3 were noted while secondary indicators included D2 and D5. Therefore the wetland hydrology parameter was satisfied for all wetlands.

Wetland W-1 is contiguous with Starkweather Creek, which comprises the Study Area's western boundary. W-1 was also contiguous to two (2) unnamed waterways present in excavated ditches, themselves contiguous to Starkweather Creek. W-2 was contiguous with WW-1, an unnamed waterway present in the central-west portion of the Study Area. Lastly, W-3 was contiguous to a quarry pond while W-4 extended offsite to the east and likely is also connected to Starkweather Creek. The boundary of these wetlands generally followed moderately-defined topographic breaks.

Wetland 2 (W-2)

Wetland W-2 is a wet meadow positioned in an excavated ditch that leads to Starkweather Creek. This ditch was lined with concrete blocks in its eastern portions.



Dominant vegetation observed in W-2 included reed canary grass- thus the wetland vegetation parameter was met.

The A11, F2, and F6 hydric soil indicators were noted in W-2 and are consistent with the Colwood silt loam soil type.

The primary wetland hydrology indicators of A2 and A3 were noted in W-2, while secondary indicators included D2 and D5. Therefore, the wetland hydrology parameter was met.

The boundaries of W-2 correlated with a well-defined topographic break due to its position in an excavated ditchway.

Wetland 5 (W-5)

Wetland W-5 is a wet meadow positioned in a depression with fill present. W-5 was connected to W-1 on its western side via a culvert which ran beneath an access road.

Dominant vegetation observed in W-5 included common reed, sandbar willow (*Salix interior*, FACW), peach-leaved willow (*Salix amygdaloides*, FACW)- thus the wetland vegetation parameter was met.

Depleted Matrix (F3) hydric soil indicator was noted in W-5 which is consistent with hydric inclusions of the Colwood silt loam soil type.

The primary wetland hydrology indicators of A2 and A3 were noted in W-5, while secondary indicators included D2 and D5. Therefore, the wetland hydrology parameter was met.

The boundaries of W-5 correlated with a well-defined topographic break.

Waterways and Waterbodies

Starkweather Creek was observed along the western and northern portion of the Study Area. One (1) unnamed waterway's centerline, WW-1, was mapped in the central portion of the Study Area and one (1) waterway's centerline, WW-2, was mapped in the northern portion of the Study Area. Lastly, the approximate OHWM of a quarry pond was recorded in the field and mapped. All discussed waterways and waterbodies are depicted on Figure 6, Appendix A.



3.3 Other Considerations

This report is limited to the identification and delineation of wetlands within the Study Area. Other regulated environmental resources that result in land use restrictions may be present within the Study Area that were not evaluated by Heartland (e.g. navigable waterways, floodplains, cultural resources, and threatened or endangered species).

Wisconsin Act 183 provides exemptions to permitting requirements for certain nonfederal wetlands. Nonfederal wetlands are wetlands that are not subject to federal jurisdiction. Exemptions apply to projects in urban areas with wetland impacts up to 1-acre per parcel. An urban area is defined as an incorporated area; an area within ½ mile of an incorporated area; or an area served by a sewerage system. Exemptions for nonfederal wetlands also apply to projects in rural areas with wetland impacts up to three (3) acres per parcel. Exemptions in rural areas only apply to structures with an agricultural purpose such as buildings, roads, and driveways. The determination of federal and nonfederal wetlands MUST be made by the USACE through an Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD). This report may be submitted to the USACE to assist with their determination.

Wis. Adm. Code NR 151 ("NR 151") requires that a "protective area" (buffer) be determined from the Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHWM) of lakes, streams and rivers, or at the delineated boundary of wetlands. Per NR 151.12, the protective area width for "less susceptible" wetlands is determined by using 10% of the average wetland width, no less than 10 feet or more than 30 feet. "Moderately susceptible" wetlands, lakes, and perennial and intermittent streams identified on recent mapping require a protective area width of 50 feet; while "highly susceptible wetlands" are associated with outstanding or exceptional resource waters in areas of special natural resource interest and require protective area width of 75 feet. Table 2 above lists the potential wetland buffers per NR 151 for each wetland identified based on Heartland's professional opinion. Please note that jurisdictional authority on wetland and waterway protective areas under NR 151 lies with the WDNR. Local zoning authorities and regional planning organizations may have additional land use restrictions within or adjacent to wetlands.



4.0 Conclusion

Heartland completed an assured wetland determination and delineation within the Voit Farm Property on May 12, 2022 at the request of Starkweather, LLC. Fieldwork was completed by Jeff Kraemer, an assured delineator qualified via the WDNR Wetland Delineation Assurance Program (Appendix E). The Study Area lies in the northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 5 and northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 4, T7N, R10E, Town of Blooming Grove and City of Madison, Dane County, WI (Figure 1, Appendix A).

Five (5) wetland areas were delineated and mapped within the 65.31-acre Study Area (Figure 6, Appendix A). The wetlands, which may be classified as wet meadow, shrub carr, and emergent marsh, total approximately 4.28 acres within the Study Area. Two (2) unnamed tributaries to Starkweather Creek and an excavated quarry pond were also observed within the Study Area.

Wetlands, waterways, and water bodies discussed in this report may be subject to federal regulation under the jurisdiction of the USACE, state regulation under the jurisdiction of the WDNR, and the local zoning authority. Heartland recommends this report be submitted to the USACE and WDNR for final jurisdictional review and concurrence. Review by local authorities may be necessary for determination of any applicable zoning and setback restrictions.

Heartland recommends that all applicable regulatory agency reviews and permits are obtained prior to beginning work within the Study Area or within or adjacent to wetlands or waterways. Heartland can assist with evaluating the need for additional environmental reviews, surveys, or regulatory agency coordination in consideration of the proposed activity and land use as requested but is outside of the scope of the wetland delineation.

Experienced and qualified professionals completed the wetland determination and delineation using standard practices and professional judgment. Wetland boundaries may be affected by conditions present within the Study Area at the time of the fieldwork. All final decisions on wetlands and their boundaries are made by the USACE, the WDNR, and/or sometimes a local unit of government. Wetland determination and boundary reviews by regulatory agencies may result in modifications to the findings presented to the Client. These modifications may result from varying conditions between the time the wetland delineation was completed and the time of the review. Factors that may influence the



findings may include but not limited to precipitation patterns, drainage modifications, changes or modification to vegetation, and the time of year.

5.0 References

Deters, J. & Gutenson, J. (2021). Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) [Electronic Source: python source code]. See: [GitHub - jDeters-USACE/Antecedent-Precipitation-Tool](https://github.com/jDeters-USACE/Antecedent-Precipitation-Tool):

Eggers, S. D., & D. M. Reed. (2014). *Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin* (V. 3.1). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Branch, St. Paul, MN District. See: <http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/>.

Environmental Laboratory (1987). *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, Tech. Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Lichvar, R.W., D. L. Banks, W. N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. (2016). *The National Wetland Plant List*: 2016 wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2016-30: 1-17. Published 28 April 2016. ISSN 2153 733X. See: <http://www.phytoneuron.net/>.

Midwestern Regional Climate Center. (2014). *cli-MATE* [climate data access tool]. See: <http://mrcc.isws.illinois.edu/CLIMATE/>.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). (2015) Regional Climate Centers Applied Climate Information System. *WETS table*. See: <http://agacis.rcc-acis.org>.

NOAA National Center for Environmental Information. (2018) *Historic Palmer Drought Indices*. See: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/drought/historical-palmers/psi/201512-201601>.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2018). *Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database*. See: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/> or <http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov/>.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA. (2018). *Web Soil Survey*. See: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). (March 2015). "Guidance for Submittal of Delineation Reports to the St. Paul



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

District Army Corps of Engineers and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources". See:
<http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/documents/FinalWisconsinDelineationGuidance.pdf>.

USACE. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0)*. (2011). ed. J.S. Wakely, R.W. Lichvar, C.V. Nobel, and J. F. Berkowitz. ERDC/EL TR-12-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

USACE St. Paul District & Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources. (July 2016). *Guidance for Offsite Hydrology/Wetland Determinations*. See:
<http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Delineation/>.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Farm Service Agency (FSA). (1990, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, 2020) [Dane County, Wisconsin aerial photographs]. National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP). Salt Lake City, UT: Aerial Photography Field Office.

USDA, Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). (2010). *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States*, Version 8.2. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and C.V. Noble (eds.). USDA, NRCS in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

United States Department of the Interior (USDI), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). *Wisconsin 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic) Maps*. 1:24,000. Reston, VA.

USDI, USGS. National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) 24K scale data. See:
<https://nhd.usgs.gov/>.

Wetland Training Institute, Inc (WTI). (2010). *Pocket guide to hydric soil field indicators*. (Robert J. Pierce, Ed.). (7th ed.). Glenwood, NM: Wetland Training Institute, Inc.

Willmott, C.J. and K. Matsuura. (2016). *Web-Based Water-Budget Interactive Modeling Program (WebWIMP)*. University of Delaware Department of Geography. Newark, DE. See: climate.geog.udel.edu/~wimp/.

WDNR, Surface Water Data Viewer Interactive Web-mapping Tool. (2018). See:
<https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swdv/>.



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

WDNR, Division of Water. (2010). [24k hydrography geospatial data layer]. See:
ftp://dnrftp01.wi.gov/geodata/hydro_24k/.



WDNR, WiDNR Open Data. (2019). [Wisconsin Wetland Inventory Geodatabase]. See:
<https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=16119ac2100c4286ab8219bf03377ebf>.

Woodward, D.E. ed. (1997). *Hydrology Tools for Wetland Determination*, WETS Analysis, Chapter 19. Engineering Field Handbook. USDA, NRCS, Fort Worth, TX.



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix A | Figures



- Study Area (65.31 ac)
- Township
- Section



0 500 1,000 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC


Figure 1. Project Location

Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

OpenStreetMap
ESRI




- Study Area (65.31 ac)
- Township
- Section



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Figure 2. USGS
Topography
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

USGSTopo
USGS




-  Study Area (65.31 ac)
- NRCS Soil Survey Data**
-  Hydric (100%)
 -  Predominantly Hydric (85-99%)
 -  Partially Hydric (16-84%)
 -  Predominantly Non-Hydric (1-15%)
 -  Non-Hydric (0%)



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Figure 3. NRCS
Hydric Soils
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2020 NAIP
NRCS




-  Study Area (65.31 ac)
-  SWDV Wetland Indicators



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Figure 4. SWDV
Wetland Indicators
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2020 NAIP
WDNR




- Study Area (65.31 ac)
- WWI Wetland Polygons
- WWI Wetland Points
- NHD Waterway
- NHD Waterbody



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Figure 5. Wisconsin Wetland Inventory
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S4 & 5
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2020 NAIP
WDNR, USGS



- Study Area (65.31 ac)
- Dane Co 1' Contours
- Field Delineated Wetlands (4.28 ac)
- Quarry Pond Approx. OHWM (5.39 ac)
- Waterways
- Culverts
- Sample Points
 - Upland
 - Wetland



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Figure 6. Field Delineated Wetlands
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2020 NAIP
Dane Co, HEG




Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix B | APT Analysis

Antecedent Precipitation vs Normal Range based on NOAA's Daily Global Historical Climatology Network

Rainfall (Inches)



Coordinates	43.100661, -89.328045
Observation Date	2022-05-12
Elevation (ft)	858.03
Drought Index (PDSI)	Moderate drought
WebWIMP H ₂ O Balance	Dry Season

30 Days Ending	30 th %ile (in)	70 th %ile (in)	Observed (in)	Wetness Condition	Condition Value	Month Weight	Product
2022-05-12	3.152362	4.567717	2.582677	Dry	1	3	3
2022-04-12	1.910236	3.520866	4.492126	Wet	3	2	6
2022-03-13	1.341732	2.316142	1.791339	Normal	2	1	2
Result							Normal Conditions - 11



Figure and tables made by the
Antecedent Precipitation Tool
Version 1.0

Written by Jason Deters
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Weather Station Name	Coordinates	Elevation (ft)	Distance (mi)	Elevation Δ	Weighted Δ	Days Normal	Days Antecedent
MADISON DANE RGNL AP	43.1406, -89.3453	866.142	2.893	8.112	1.326	11353	90



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix C | Wetland Determination Data Sheets

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T. Blooming Grove & C. Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P1
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): swale Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Site consists of abandoned quarry and concrete mixing option. Significant piles of rock, soil, gravel and other debris throughout site. Gravel access roads present throughout site and Starkweather Creek along western edge of property. Several excavated ditches transition to Starkweather Creek with a large excavated quarry pond in the center of the site. Active ag. field also present in SE corner. Plot was in a wet meadow swale associated with concrete mixing discharge.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <u>X</u> _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>10</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>78</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>156</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>88</u></td> <td>(A) <u>166</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.89</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>	FACW species <u>78</u>	x 2 = <u>156</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>88</u>	(A) <u>166</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.89</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>10</u>	x 1 = <u>10</u>																			
FACW species <u>78</u>	x 2 = <u>156</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>88</u>	(A) <u>166</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.89</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Salix interior</i>	<u>3</u>	No	FACW																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<u>75</u>	Yes	FACW																	
2. <i>Typha X glauca</i>	<u>10</u>	No	OBL																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P1

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P2
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 1-3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located in an upland old field that was roughly mowed. Gravel fill was present.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>3</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>3</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>33</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>66</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>38</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>152</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>23</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>115</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>97</u> (A)</td> <td><u>336</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.46</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>3</u>	x 1 = <u>3</u>	FACW species <u>33</u>	x 2 = <u>66</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>38</u>	x 4 = <u>152</u>	UPL species <u>23</u>	x 5 = <u>115</u>	Column Totals: <u>97</u> (A)	<u>336</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.46</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>3</u>	x 1 = <u>3</u>																			
FACW species <u>33</u>	x 2 = <u>66</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>38</u>	x 4 = <u>152</u>																			
UPL species <u>23</u>	x 5 = <u>115</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>97</u> (A)	<u>336</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.46</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Salix interior</i>	<u>3</u>	No	FACW																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<u>30</u>	Yes	FACW																	
2. <i>Poa pratensis</i>	<u>20</u>	Yes	FACU																	
3. <i>Bromus inermis</i>	<u>20</u>	Yes	UPL																	
4. <i>Solidago canadensis</i>	<u>12</u>	No	FACU																	
5. <i>Erigeron strigosus</i>	<u>3</u>	No	FACU																	
6. <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	<u>3</u>	No	FACU																	
7. <i>Scirpus atrovirens</i>	<u>3</u>	No	OBL																	
8. <i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	<u>3</u>	No	UPL																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 _____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 _____ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
 _____ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 _____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 _____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features					
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-13	10YR 4/4	65					Loamy/Clayey	SiL, contains 10% gravel, mixed fill
	10YR 5/3	20						
	10YR 6/4	10						
	10YR 3/2	5						
13-24	10YR 2/1	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	SiCL, prominent redox concentrations
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.							² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Indicators:			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :					
☐ Histosol (A1)			☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)				
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)			MLRA 149B)	☐ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)				
☐ Black Histic (A3)			☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	☐ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)				
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			☐ High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L)	☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)				
☐ Stratified Layers (A5)			☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)	☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)				
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)				
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)			☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)				
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	☐ Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)				
☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)				
☐ Sandy Redox (S5)			☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)				
☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)			☐ Marl (F10) (LRR K, L)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)				
☐ Dark Surface (S7)								
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____						Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ No __X__		
Remarks: Mixed soil profile with fill observed in 0-13 layer.								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P3
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was in an upland gravel fill in an old field.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P3

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>10</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>61</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>244</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>75</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>81</u> (A)</td> <td><u>329</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.06</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>61</u>	x 4 = <u>244</u>	UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>	Column Totals: <u>81</u> (A)	<u>329</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.06</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>61</u>	x 4 = <u>244</u>																			
UPL species <u>15</u>	x 5 = <u>75</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>81</u> (A)	<u>329</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.06</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Lotus corniculatus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Trifolium hybridum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
5. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
6. <u>Elymus repens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
8. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
9. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>81</u> =Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																				
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u> X </u>																
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)																				

SOIL

Sampling Point P3

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T. Blooming Grove & C. Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P4
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Lower bank of terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located along a lower bank stream terrace of Starkweather Creek and was 6 inches above the water. Steep embankment projecting from lower terrace to upland.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>3</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P4

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ =Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ =Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	95	Yes	FACW
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		95 =Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ =Total Cover		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	<u>0</u>	x 1 =	<u>0</u>
FACW species	<u>95</u>	x 2 =	<u>190</u>
FAC species	<u>0</u>	x 3 =	<u>0</u>
FACU species	<u>0</u>	x 4 =	<u>0</u>
UPL species	<u>0</u>	x 5 =	<u>0</u>
Column Totals:	<u>95</u> (A)		<u>190</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A =		<u>2.00</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P4

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P5
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): upland swale Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located in an upland subtle swale feature within upper terrace uplands consisting of fill material.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P5

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
			=Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>60</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>13</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>52</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>35</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>175</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)</td> <td><u>347</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.21</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>13</u>	x 4 = <u>52</u>	UPL species <u>35</u>	x 5 = <u>175</u>	Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)	<u>347</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.21</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>13</u>	x 4 = <u>52</u>																			
UPL species <u>35</u>	x 5 = <u>175</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)	<u>347</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.21</u>																				
			=Total Cover																	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
			=Total Cover																	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5. <u>Cirsium vulgare</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
6. <u>Glechoma hederacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
			<u>108</u> =Total Cover																	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
			=Total Cover																	

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P5

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P6
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace (filled) Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precipitation range. Plot was located in a filled terrace adjacent to an excavated ditch.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P6

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		=Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
		=Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	10	No	FACW
2. <i>Bromus inermis</i>	40	Yes	UPL
3. <i>Poa pratensis</i>	10	No	FACU
4. <i>Solidago canadensis</i>	20	Yes	FACU
5. <i>Galium aparine</i>	10	No	FACU
6. <i>Monarda fistulosa</i>	3	No	FACU
7. <i>Alliaria petiolata</i>	1	No	FACU
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
		94 =Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)			
1. _____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
		=Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>44</u>	x 4 = <u>176</u>
UPL species <u>40</u>	x 5 = <u>200</u>
Column Totals: <u>94</u> (A)	<u>396</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.21</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P6

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P7
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace (within ditch) Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located within the lower terrace of a ditch.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>4</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P7

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>2</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>2</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>140</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>3</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>9</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)</td> <td><u>151</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.01</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>2</u>	x 1 = <u>2</u>	FACW species <u>70</u>	x 2 = <u>140</u>	FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>151</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.01</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>2</u>	x 1 = <u>2</u>																			
FACW species <u>70</u>	x 2 = <u>140</u>																			
FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>																			
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>75</u> (A)	<u>151</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.01</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. <u>Carex vulpinoidea</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
3. <u>Geum canadense</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P7

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P8
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace (filled) Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was in an upland old field within a filled terrace near an excavated ditch. Bank of ditch was lined with concrete blocks.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: P8

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>40.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>60</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>3</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>9</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>32</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>128</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>125</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>120</u> (A)</td> <td><u>382</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.18</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>	FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>	FACU species <u>32</u>	x 4 = <u>128</u>	UPL species <u>25</u>	x 5 = <u>125</u>	Column Totals: <u>120</u> (A)	<u>382</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.18</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>																			
FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>																			
FACU species <u>32</u>	x 4 = <u>128</u>																			
UPL species <u>25</u>	x 5 = <u>125</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>120</u> (A)	<u>382</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.18</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
6. <u>Cirsium arvense</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
8. <u>Galium aparine</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
9. <u>Viola bicolor</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
10. <u>Achillea millefolium</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
11. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)
No wetlands above OHWM of adjacent ditch

Sampling Point P8

Northcentral and Northeast Region – Version 2.0

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P9
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an upland filled area.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P9

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P9

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P10
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an upland fill area.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P10

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Acer saccharinum</u>	8	Yes	FACW	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>4</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>7</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>57.1%</u> (A/B)																
2. <u>Ulmus pumila</u>	3	Yes	FACU																	
3. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	3	Yes	FAC																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
	14	=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>53</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 2 = <u>106</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 3 = <u>9</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>52</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 4 = <u>208</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>3</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">x 5 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>111</u> (A)</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>338</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.05</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>53</u>	x 2 = <u>106</u>	FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>	FACU species <u>52</u>	x 4 = <u>208</u>	UPL species <u>3</u>	x 5 = <u>15</u>	Column Totals: <u>111</u> (A)	<u>338</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.05</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>53</u>	x 2 = <u>106</u>																			
FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>																			
FACU species <u>52</u>	x 4 = <u>208</u>																			
UPL species <u>3</u>	x 5 = <u>15</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>111</u> (A)	<u>338</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.05</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	35	Yes	FACW																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
	35	=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	25	Yes	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ☐ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	10	Yes	FACW																	
3. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	10	Yes	FACU																	
4. <u>Cirsium arvense</u>	3	No	FACU																	
5. <u>Cirsium vulgare</u>	3	No	FACU																	
6. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	3	No	UPL																	
7. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	1	No	FACU																	
8. <u>Glechoma hederacea</u>	5	No	FACU																	
9. <u>Arctium minus</u>	2	No	FACU																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
	62	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
	=Total Cover																			

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P10

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	SiCL
8-24	10YR 4/4	67					Loamy/Clayey	SiCL, 20% gravel, mixed fill
	10YR 5/4	20						
	10YR 4/6	10						
	10YR 3/2	3						
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.						² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil Indicators:			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:					
☐ Histosol (A1)			☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B))				
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)			☐ MLRA 149B)	☐ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R))				
☐ Black Histic (A3)			☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	☐ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R))				
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			☐ High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L)	☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L))				
☐ Stratified Layers (A5)			☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)	☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L))				
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R))				
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)			☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B))				
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	☐ Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B))				
☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)				
☐ Sandy Redox (S5)			☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)				
☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)			☐ Marl (F10) (LRR K, L)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)				
☐ Dark Surface (S7)								
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
Restrictive Layer (if observed):								
Type: _____								
Depth (inches): _____						Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ No __X__		
Remarks: 								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P11
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of fill slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in a shallow marsh at the toe of fill.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ☒ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) ☒ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ☒ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>9</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P11

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																									
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																								
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
_____ =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Multiply by:</th> <th style="width: 40%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>85</u></td> <td>x 1 =</td> <td><u>85</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 2 =</td> <td><u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>105</u></td> <td>(A)</td> <td><u>125</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.19</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:		OBL species <u>85</u>	x 1 =	<u>85</u>	FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 =	<u>40</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 =	<u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 =	<u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 =	<u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>105</u>	(A)	<u>125</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.19</u>		
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																											
OBL species <u>85</u>	x 1 =	<u>85</u>																										
FACW species <u>20</u>	x 2 =	<u>40</u>																										
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 =	<u>0</u>																										
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 =	<u>0</u>																										
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 =	<u>0</u>																										
Column Totals: <u>105</u>	(A)	<u>125</u> (B)																										
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.19</u>																												
_____ =Total Cover																												
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																												
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																									
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
_____ =Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																								
_____ =Total Cover																												
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																												
1. <u>Typha X glauca</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>																									
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																									
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
_____ =Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																								
_____ =Total Cover																												
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																												
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																									
_____ =Total Cover																												
_____ =Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____																								

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P11

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P12
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an upland old field fill area.	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:	

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P12

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>72</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>288</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>87</u> (A)</td> <td><u>333</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.83</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>72</u>	x 4 = <u>288</u>	UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>	Column Totals: <u>87</u> (A)	<u>333</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.83</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>72</u>	x 4 = <u>288</u>																			
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>87</u> (A)	<u>333</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.83</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Ulmus pumila</i>	5	Yes	FACU																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5 =Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Solidago gigantea</i>	10	No	FACW																	
2. <i>Solidago canadensis</i>	20	Yes	FACU																	
3. <i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	20	Yes	FACU																	
4. <i>Poa pratensis</i>	25	Yes	FACU																	
5. <i>Bromus inermis</i>	5	No	UPL																	
6. <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	2	No	FACU																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
82 =Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P12

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T. Blooming Grove & C. Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P13
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): ditch Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 2-4
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located within a lower bank of excavated ditch.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P13

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____ = Total Cover			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____ = Total Cover			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. <i>Typha X glauca</i>	75	Yes	OBL	
2. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	5	No	FACW	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	80 = Total Cover			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____ = Total Cover			

Dominance Test worksheet:			
Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 1 (A)			
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ 1 (B)			
Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 100.0% (A/B)			
Prevalence Index worksheet:			
Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	75	x 1 =	75
FACW species	5	x 2 =	10
FAC species	0	x 3 =	0
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	80 (A)		85 (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A =		1.06	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
____ X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
____ X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹			
____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?			
Yes ____ X ____		No ____	

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P13

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P14
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel Pit (Gp) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an upland old field fill area.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P14

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	10	Yes	FAC	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>40.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	10	=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>10</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>22</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>66</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>49</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>196</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>17</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>85</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>93</u> (A)</td> <td><u>357</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.84</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>	FAC species <u>22</u>	x 3 = <u>66</u>	FACU species <u>49</u>	x 4 = <u>196</u>	UPL species <u>17</u>	x 5 = <u>85</u>	Column Totals: <u>93</u> (A)	<u>357</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.84</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>																			
FAC species <u>22</u>	x 3 = <u>66</u>																			
FACU species <u>49</u>	x 4 = <u>196</u>																			
UPL species <u>17</u>	x 5 = <u>85</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>93</u> (A)	<u>357</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.84</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	12	Yes	FAC																	
2. <u>Ulmus pumila</u>	1	No	FACU																	
3. <u>Lonicera X bella</u>	8	Yes	FACU																	
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	21	=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	5	No	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	20	Yes	FACU																	
3. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	10	No	UPL																	
4. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	15	Yes	FACU																	
5. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	5	No	FACU																	
6. <u>Daucus carota</u>	5	No	UPL																	
7. <u>Anthriscus sylvestris</u>	2	No	UPL																	
8. _____																				
9. _____																				
10. _____																				
11. _____																				
12. _____																				
	62	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____																				
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
		=Total Cover																		

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P14

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P15
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): ditch bed Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel Pit (Gp) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an excavated ditch bed.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> ☒ Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) ☒ High Water Table (A2) ☐ Aquatic Fauna (B13) ☒ Saturation (A3) ☐ Marl Deposits (B15) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Moss Trim Lines (B16) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) ☒ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4) ☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: P15

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)				Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 2 _____ (A)	
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ 2 _____ (B)	
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 100.0% _____ (A/B)	
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Prevalence Index worksheet:	
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____	
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	OBL species _____ 35 _____ x 1 = _____ 35 _____	
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	FACW species _____ 5 _____ x 2 = _____ 10 _____	
				_____ =Total Cover	FAC species _____ 0 _____ x 3 = _____ 0 _____			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)							FACU species _____ 0 _____ x 4 = _____ 0 _____	
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	UPL species _____ 0 _____ x 5 = _____ 0 _____	
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Column Totals: _____ 40 _____ (A) _____ 45 _____ (B)	
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Prevalence Index = B/A = _____ 1.13 _____	
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____ X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____ X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.	
				_____ =Total Cover	Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)							Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.	
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ X _____ No _____	
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____		
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____		
				_____ =Total Cover				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.) Vegetation characteristic of mudflat.								

SOIL

Sampling Point P15

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P16
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level plain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in an old field within a filled upland.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P16

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Ulmus pumila</u>	25	Yes	FACU	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>20.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	25	=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>3</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>9</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>117</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>468</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>11</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>55</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>141</u> (A)</td> <td><u>552</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.91</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>	FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>	FACU species <u>117</u>	x 4 = <u>468</u>	UPL species <u>11</u>	x 5 = <u>55</u>	Column Totals: <u>141</u> (A)	<u>552</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.91</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>10</u>	x 2 = <u>20</u>																			
FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>																			
FACU species <u>117</u>	x 4 = <u>468</u>																			
UPL species <u>11</u>	x 5 = <u>55</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>141</u> (A)	<u>552</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.91</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Lonicera X bella</u>	5	Yes	FACU																	
2. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	3	Yes	FAC																	
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	8	=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	40	Yes	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	20	Yes	FACU																	
3. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	10	No	FACW																	
4. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	5	No	UPL																	
5. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	5	No	FACU																	
6. <u>Arctium minus</u>	8	No	FACU																	
7. <u>Glechoma hederacea</u>	12	No	FACU																	
8. <u>Daucus carota</u>	3	No	UPL																	
9. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	3	No	UPL																	
10. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	2	No	FACU																	
11. _____																				
12. _____																				
	108	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____																				
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
		=Total Cover																		

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P16

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P17
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 0-3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was a phrag pocket within a depression in fill.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: P17

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>104</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>208</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>109</u> (A)</td> <td><u>223</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>104</u>	x 2 = <u>208</u>	FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>109</u> (A)	<u>223</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>104</u>	x 2 = <u>208</u>																			
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>																			
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>109</u> (A)	<u>223</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u>																				
_____ =Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2. <u>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
_____ =Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phragmites australis</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
_____ =Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P17

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P18
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): low ridge Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 3-4
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was characteristic of a wet meadow and was positioned in a low ridge between creek and ditch.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P18

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>100</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)</td> <td><u>215</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>	FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)	<u>215</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>																			
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>																			
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)	<u>215</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.05</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
<u>105</u> =Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P18

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P19
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Upland Ridge Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 4
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was an upland ridge between stream and ditch.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P19

Northcentral and Northeast Region – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point P19

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P20
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): upland gentle slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located in an upland old field within a filled area.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P20

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>10</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>101</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>404</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>136</u> (A)</td> <td><u>544</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>	FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>	FACU species <u>101</u>	x 4 = <u>404</u>	UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>	Column Totals: <u>136</u> (A)	<u>544</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>																			
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>																			
FACU species <u>101</u>	x 4 = <u>404</u>																			
UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>136</u> (A)	<u>544</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Lonicera X bella</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
5. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P20

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P21
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 1-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: none (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was in a wet meadow at the toe of fill slope. Wetland extended offsite to the east.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P21

Northcentral and Northeast Region – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point P21

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P22
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): bottom slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 10
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel Pit (Gp) NWI classification: (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was in an old field near toe of slope at property line. Wetlands commence just north of property line.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u>		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Marl Deposits (B15)	☐ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
		☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 Water Table Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 Saturation Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 (includes capillary fringe)

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Sampling Point: P22

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	<i>Populus deltoides</i>	10	Yes	FAC
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
		10	=Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	<i>Cornus racemosa</i>	5	Yes	FAC
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
		5	=Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	<i>Solidago canadensis</i>	35	Yes	FACU
2.	<i>Solidago gigantea</i>	5	No	FACW
3.	<i>Vicia sativa</i>	15	No	FACU
4.	<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	5	No	UPL
5.	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	25	Yes	FACU
6.	<i>Erigeron strigosus</i>	5	No	FACU
7.	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	3	No	FACU
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
		93	=Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
			=Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>5</u>	x 2 = <u>10</u>
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>
FACU species <u>83</u>	x 4 = <u>332</u>
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>
Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)	<u>412</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.81</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

2 - Dominance Test is >50%

3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$

4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P22

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P23
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): level Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel Pit (Gp) NWI classification: none (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was within a phragmites pocket on fill material on shore of quarry pond.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P23

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	<i>Phragmites australis</i>	100	Yes	FACW
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		100 = Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1.	_____	_____	_____	_____
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
		_____ = Total Cover		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	_____ 0	x 1 =	_____ 0
FACW species	_____ 100	x 2 =	_____ 200
FAC species	_____ 0	x 3 =	_____ 0
FACU species	_____ 0	x 4 =	_____ 0
UPL species	_____ 0	x 5 =	_____ 0
Column Totals:	_____ 100 (A)		_____ 200 (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A =		_____ 2.00	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

_____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

_____ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹

_____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

_____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P23

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P24
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toe of slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Adrian muck (Ad) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was in a wet meadow at toe of slope of fill material. Wetland extends offsite to the east.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>20</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: P24

Northcentral and Northeast Region – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point P24

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P25
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): side slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 12-15
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Grays silt loam (GsC2) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located on a side slope fill pile with concrete block debris. As a result of this debris, there was no soil to evaluate.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P25

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	20	Yes	FAC	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>4</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66.7%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	20	=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>75</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>34</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>136</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>8</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>92</u> (A)</td> <td><u>301</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.27</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>	FACU species <u>34</u>	x 4 = <u>136</u>	UPL species <u>8</u>	x 5 = <u>40</u>	Column Totals: <u>92</u> (A)	<u>301</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.27</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>																			
FAC species <u>25</u>	x 3 = <u>75</u>																			
FACU species <u>34</u>	x 4 = <u>136</u>																			
UPL species <u>8</u>	x 5 = <u>40</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>92</u> (A)	<u>301</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.27</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Acer negundo</u>	5	Yes	FAC																	
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	5	=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	10	Yes	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Arctium minus</u>	10	Yes	FACU																	
3. <u>Solidago gigantea</u>	15	Yes	FACW																	
4. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	15	Yes	FACU																	
5. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	2	No	FACU																	
6. <u>Galium aparine</u>	3	No	FACU																	
7. <u>Glechoma hederacea</u>	2	No	FACU																	
8. <u>Ribes cynosbati</u>	2	No	FACU																	
9. <u>Daucus carota</u>	5	No	UPL																	
10. <u>Euphorbia esula</u>	3	No	UPL																	
11. _____																				
12. _____																				
	67	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____																				
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
		=Total Cover																		

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P25

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T. Blooming Grove & C. Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P26
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope %: 0-1
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel Pit (Gp) NWI classification: none (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was located in a wet meadow along a lower terrace of quarry pond shore.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>18</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P26

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>65</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>130</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>13</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>52</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)</td> <td><u>232</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.15</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>	FACW species <u>65</u>	x 2 = <u>130</u>	FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>	FACU species <u>13</u>	x 4 = <u>52</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)	<u>232</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.15</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>20</u>	x 1 = <u>20</u>																			
FACW species <u>65</u>	x 2 = <u>130</u>																			
FAC species <u>10</u>	x 3 = <u>30</u>																			
FACU species <u>13</u>	x 4 = <u>52</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>108</u> (A)	<u>232</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.15</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Carex pellita</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
4. <u>Erigeron strigosus</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P26

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P27
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): slight hillside Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 2-3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Gravel pit (Gp) NWI classification: none (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil x, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal precepitation range. Plot was located within an upland filled area.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u>
<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u>		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Marl Deposits (B15)	☐ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
		☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 Water Table Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 Saturation Present? Yes _____ No X Depth (inches): _____
 (includes capillary fringe)

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No X

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P27

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>13</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>26</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>92</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>368</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)</td> <td><u>394</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.75</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>13</u>	x 2 = <u>26</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>92</u>	x 4 = <u>368</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)	<u>394</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.75</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>13</u>	x 2 = <u>26</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>92</u>	x 4 = <u>368</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A)	<u>394</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.75</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Salix interior</i>	<u>3</u>	No	FACW																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<u>10</u>	No	FACW																	
2. <i>Poa pratensis</i>	<u>50</u>	Yes	FACU																	
3. <i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<u>15</u>	Yes	FACU																	
4. <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	<u>5</u>	No	FACU																	
5. <i>Trifolium hybridum</i>	<u>5</u>	No	FACU																	
6. <i>Monarda fistulosa</i>	<u>2</u>	No	FACU																	
7. <i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	<u>10</u>	No	FACU																	
8. <i>Solidago canadensis</i>	<u>5</u>	No	FACU																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P27

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P28
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope %: 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)
 Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was in a wet meadow depression within fill.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: P28

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>Salix amygdaloides</u>	15	Yes	FACW	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>75.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. <u>Ulmus pumila</u>	5	Yes	FACU																	
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	20	=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>125</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>250</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>3</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>9</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>11</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>44</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>139</u> (A)</td> <td><u>303</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.18</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>125</u>	x 2 = <u>250</u>	FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>	FACU species <u>11</u>	x 4 = <u>44</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>139</u> (A)	<u>303</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.18</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>125</u>	x 2 = <u>250</u>																			
FAC species <u>3</u>	x 3 = <u>9</u>																			
FACU species <u>11</u>	x 4 = <u>44</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>139</u> (A)	<u>303</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.18</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	15	Yes	FACW																	
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
	15	=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Phragmites australis</u>	85	Yes	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	10	No	FACW																	
3. <u>Arctium minus</u>	3	No	FACU																	
4. <u>Galium aparine</u>	3	No	FACU																	
5. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	3	No	FAC																	
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
8. _____																				
9. _____																				
10. _____																				
11. _____																				
12. _____																				
	104	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point P28

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Voit Farm Property City/County: T Blooming Grove & C Madison/Dane Co. Sampling Date: 5/12/2022
 Applicant/Owner: Starkweather LLC. State: WI Sampling Point: P29
 Investigator(s): Jeff Kraemer and Keith Phelps, Heartland Ecological Section, Township, Range: S04 & 05, T7N, R10E
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): gentle slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %: 3
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat: _____ Long: _____ Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Colwood silt loam (Co) NWI classification: E1K (WWI)

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil X, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Based on the USACE APT tool, conditions at the time of sampling were expected to be within the normal preprecipitation range. Plot was in an upland gravel and fill site.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION– Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: P29

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>14</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>28</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>45</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>6</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>30</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)</td> <td><u>123</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.08</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>14</u>	x 2 = <u>28</u>	FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>6</u>	x 5 = <u>30</u>	Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)	<u>123</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.08</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>14</u>	x 2 = <u>28</u>																			
FAC species <u>15</u>	x 3 = <u>45</u>																			
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																			
UPL species <u>6</u>	x 5 = <u>30</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>40</u> (A)	<u>123</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.08</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Salix interior</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2. <u>Trifolium arvense</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4. <u>Solidago canadensis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5. <u>Phragmites australis</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover																				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____																				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)																				

SOIL

Sampling Point P29

[illegible]



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix D | Site Photographs



Photo #1 Sample point P1



Photo #2 Sample point P1



Photo #3 Sample point P1



Photo #4 Sample point P1



Photo #5 Sample point P2



Photo #6 Sample point P2



Photo #7 Sample point P2



Photo #8 Sample point P2



Photo #9 Sample point P3



Photo #10 Sample point P3



Photo #11 Sample point P3



Photo #12 Sample point P3



Photo #13 Sample point P4



Photo #14 Sample point P4



Photo #15 Sample point P4



Photo #16 Sample point P4



Photo #17 Sample point P5



Photo #18 Sample point P5



Photo #19 Sample point P5



Photo #20 Sample point P5



Photo #21 Sample point P6



Photo #22 Sample point P6



Photo #23 Sample point P6



Photo #24 Sample point P6



Photo #25 Sample point P7



Photo #26 Sample point P7



Photo #27 Sample point P7



Photo #28 Sample point P7



Photo #29 Sample point P8



Photo #30 Sample point P8



Photo #31 Sample point P8



Photo #32 Sample point P8



Photo #33 Photo of ditch adjacent to P8 (1 of 3)



Photo #34 Photo of ditch adjacent to P8 (2 of 3)



Photo #35 Photo of ditch adjacent to P8 (3 of 3)




Photo #36 Sample point P9



Photo #37 Sample point P9



Photo #38 Sample point P9



Photo #39 Sample point P9



Photo #40 Sample point P10



Photo #41 Sample point P10



Photo #42 Sample point P10



Photo #43 Sample point P10



Photo #44 Sample point P11



Photo #45 Sample point P11



Photo #46 Sample point P11



Photo #47 Sample point P11



Photo #48 Sample point P11



Photo #49 Wetland Boundary near P11




Photo #50 Sample point P12



Photo #51 Sample point P12



Photo #52 Sample point P12



Photo #53 Sample point P12



Photo #54 Sample point P13



Photo #55 Sample point P13



Photo #56 Sample point P13



Photo #57 Sample point P13




Photo #58 Sample point P14



Photo #59 Sample point P14



Photo #60 Sample point P14



Photo #61 Sample point P14



Photo #62 Sample point P15



Photo #63 Sample point P15



Photo #64 Sample point P15



Photo #65 Sample point P15



Photo #66 Photo of quarry lake from NW corner (1 of 3)



Photo #67 Photo of quarry lake from NW corner (2 of 3)



Photo #68 Photo of quarry lake from NW corner (3 of 3)



Photo #69 Sample point P16



Photo #70 Sample point P16



Photo #71 Sample point P16



Photo #72 Sample point P16



Photo #73 Sample point P17



Photo #74 Sample point P17



Photo #75 Sample point P17



Photo #76 Sample point P17



Photo #77 Sample point P18



Photo #78 Sample point P18



Photo #79 Sample point P18



Photo #80 Sample point P18



Photo #81 Sample point P19



Photo #82 Sample point P19



Photo #83 Sample point P19



Photo #84 Sample point P19



Photo #85 Sample point P20



Photo #86 Sample point P20



Photo #87 Sample point P20



Photo #88 Sample point P20



Photo #89 Sample point P21



Photo #90 Sample point P21



Photo #91 Sample point P21



Photo #92 Sample point P21



Photo #93 Sample point P22



Photo #94 Sample point P22



Photo #95 Sample point P22



Photo #96 Sample point P22



Photo #97 Sample point P23



Photo #98 Sample point P23



Photo #99 Sample point P23



Photo #100 Sample point P23



Photo #101 Sample point P24



Photo #102 Sample point P24



Photo #103 Sample point P24



Photo #104 Sample point P24



Photo #105 Sample point P25



Photo #106 Sample point P25



Photo #107 Sample point P25



Photo #108 Sample point P25



Photo #109 Sample point P26



Photo #110 Sample point P26



Photo #111 Sample point P26



Photo #112 Sample point P26



Photo #113 Sample point P27



Photo #114 Sample point P27



Photo #115 Sample point P27



Photo #116 Sample point P28



Photo #117 Sample point P28



Photo #118 Sample point P28



Photo #119 Sample point P28



Photo #120 Sample point P29



Photo #121 Sample point P29



Photo #122 Sample point P29




Photo #123 Sample point P29



Photo #124 Quarry pond from the south (1 of 4)



Photo #125 Quarry pond from the south (2 of 4)



Photo #126 Quarry pond from the south (3 of 4)



Photo #127 Quarry pond from the south (4 of 4)



Photo #128 Photo of agricultural field from the southwest (1 of 4)



Photo #129 Photo of agricultural field from the southwest (2 of 4)



Photo #130 Photo of agricultural field from the southwest (3 of 4)



Photo of agricultural field from the southwest (4 of 4)



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix E | Delineator Qualifications



Jeff Kraemer
Principal Scientist
506 Springdale Street
Mount Horeb, WI 53572
jeff@heartlandecological.com
(608) 490-2450



Jeff is the founder of Heartland Ecological Group, Inc. With over 18 years of experience as an environmental consultant, ecological and regulatory policy practitioner, and managing business leader, Jeff provides proven value to clients with his vast experience guiding often complex projects through environmental regulatory and technical challenges applied throughout a diversity of industry sectors. Jeff is recognized by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Wetland Delineation Assurance Program and is the longest standing assured wetland delineator in the state of Wisconsin.

Jeff is a recognized expert in the field of wetland ecology and delineation; wetland restoration and mitigation banking; and regulatory policy and permitting associated with wetlands and waterways. His experience includes: Wetland Determination, Delineation & Functional Assessment; Wetland Restoration, Mitigation, Banking & Monitoring; Botanical / Biological Surveys & Natural Resource Inventories; Rare Species Surveys, Conservation Plans & Monitoring; Habitat Restoration, Wildlife Surveys, SCAT surveys, Environmental Assessments; Local, state, federal permit applications; Expert Witness testimony; and Regulatory permit compliance.

Education

MS, Biological Sciences (Emphasis in Wetland Ecology), University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee, WI, 2003

BS, Biological Sciences (Emphasis in Aquatic Biology) University of Wisconsin – La Crosse, WI, 1999

Regional Supplement Field Practicum
Wetland Training Institute (WTI)
Portage, WI, 2017

Basic and Advanced Wetland Delineation Training,
Continuing Education and Extension, UW-La Crosse,
WI, 2001

Identification of Sedges Workshop,
UW-Milwaukee, Saukville, WI, 2001

Vegetation of Wisconsin Workshop,
UW-Milwaukee, Saukville, WI 2000

Environmental Corridor Delineation Workshop,
Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission
(SEWRPC), 2004

Wetland Soils and Hydrology Workshop,
Wetland Training Institute, Toledo, OH, 2003

Critical Methods in Wetland Delineation
University of Wisconsin - La Crosse Continuing
Education and Extension
Madison, WI, 2006 - 2018

Federal Wetland Regulatory Policy Course
Wetlands Training Institute (WTI)
Cottage Grove, WI, 2010

Registrations

Professionally Assured Wetland Delineator,
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
(2005-Present)

Wetland Professional in Training (WPIT),
Society of Wetland Scientists Certification
Programs



Starkweather, LLC.
Voit Farm Property
Project #: 20220700
August 3, 2022

Appendix F | Off-Site Analysis

TABLE A1
Wetland Hydrology from Aerial Imagery - Recording Form*

Project Name: Voit Farm Property
Investigator: Keith Phelps

Date: 8/2/2022 County: Dane
Legal Description (T, R, S): T7N, R10E, S04 & 05

Summary Table

Date Image Taken (M-Y)	Image Source	Climate Condition (wet, dry, normal)	Image Interpretation(s)				
			See Offsite Analysis Reference Image figure for outlines of Area 1				
			Area: 1	Area: 2	Area: 3	Area: 4	Area: 5
Jul-92	FSA Slide	Dry	NSS/NV				
Jul-93	FSA Slide	Wet	NSS/NV				
Jul-94	FSA Slide	Dry	NSS/NV				
Sep-95	FSA Slide	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jul-97	FSA Slide	Dry	NSS/NV				
Jul-98	FSA Slide	Wet	NSS/NV				
Jul-99	FSA Slide	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jul-00	FSA Slide	Wet	NSS/NV				
Jul-01	FSA Slide	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jul-02	FSA Slide	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jul-03	FSA Slide	Dry	NSS/NV				
Jun-04	NAIP	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jun-05	NAIP	Normal	AP				
Jul-06	NAIP	Normal	AP				
Jul-08	NAIP	Normal	NSS/NV				
Jul-10	NAIP	Wet	NSS/NV				
Jun-13	NAIP	Wet	NSS/NV				
Oct-15	NAIP	Wet	NSS/NV				
Sep-17	NAIP	Wet	AP				
Oct-18	NAIP	Wet	NSS/NV				
Aug-20	NAIP	Wet	DO				
Normal Climate Condition			Area: 1	Area: 2	Area: 3	Area: 4	Area: 5
Number			8				
Number with wet signatures			2				
Percent with wet signatures			25%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Key		
WS - Wetland Signature	SS - Soil Wetness Signature	CS - Crop Stress
NC - Not Cropped	AP - Altered Pattern	NV - Normal Vegetative Cover
DO - Drowned Out	SW - Standing Water	NSS - No Soil Wetness Signature
Other labels or comments:		

• Use above key to label image interpretations. It is imperative that the reviewer read and understand the guidance associated with the use of these labels. If alternate labels are used, indicate in box above.

• If less than five (5) images taken during normal climate conditions are available, use an equal number of images taken during wet and dry climate conditions and use as many images as you have available. Describe the results using this methodology in your report.

* Source: [http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/delineation/Guidance for Offsite Hydrology and Wetland Determinations.pdf](http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/delineation/Guidance%20for%20Offsite%20Hydrology%20and%20Wetland%20Determinations.pdf)



Wetland Determination from Aerial Imagery - Recording Form*

Project Name: Voit Farm Property
Investigator: Keith Phelps

Date: 8/2/2022 County: Dane
Legal Description (T, R, S): R10E, S04 & 05

Use the decision matrix below to create Table A2

Hydric Soils Present? ¹	Identified on NWI or WWI? ²	Percent with Wet Signatures from TABLE A1	Field Verification Required? ³	Wetland?
Yes	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	<30%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators are present
Yes	No	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators are present
Yes	No	<30%	No	No
No	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	<30%	No	No
No	No	>50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators are present
No	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators are present
No	No	<30%	No	No

¹ The presence of hydric soils can be determined from the "Hydric Rating by Map Unit Feature" under "Land Classifications" from the Web Soil Survey. "Not Hydric" is the only category considered to not have hydric soils. Field sampling for the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators can be used in lieu of the hydric rating if appropriately documented by providing completed field data sheets.

² At minimum, the most updated NWI data available for the area must be reviewed for this step. Any and all other local or regional wetland maps that are publically available should be reviewed.

³ Area should be reviewed in the field for the presence/absence of wetland hydrology indicators per the applicable 87 Manual Regional Supplement, including the D2 indicator (geomorphic position).

TABLE A2

Area	Hydric Soils Present? ¹	Identified on NWI or WWI?	Percent with Wet Signatures from TABLE A1	Other Hydrology Indicators Present? ¹	Wetland?
1	NO	NO	25%	NO	NO

¹ Answer "N/A" if field verification is not required and was not conducted.

* Source: [http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/delineation/Guidance for Offsite Hydrology and Wetland Determinations.pdf](http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/delineation/Guidance%20for%20Offsite%20Hydrology%20and%20Wetland%20Determinations.pdf)



June Aerial Imagery

Off-Site Aerial Imagery Analysis

Date	Monthly Rainfall in Inches ¹						Weighted Sum	Relative Wetness
	March	Weighted Precip	April	Weighted Precip	May	Weighted Precip		
June-04	3.61	3	1.76	2	10.84	9	14	Normal
June-05	1.56	2	1.68	2	3.96	6	10	Normal
June-13	2.41	2	5.83	6	6.57	9	17	Wet
30% chance less than**	1.31		2.84		2.71			
30 Year Average**	2.23		3.70		4.04			
30% chance more than**	2.71		4.30		4.83			

Dane County Regional Airport Weather Station

30-Year Precipitation Data (1992-2021) from NOAA Website

<http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/>

July Aerial Imagery

Off-Site Aerial Imagery Analysis

Date	Monthly Rainfall in Inches ¹						Weighted Sum	Relative Wetness
	April	Weighted Precip	May	Weighted Precip	June	Weighted Precip		
July-92	3.17	2	1.12	2	1.53	3	7	Dry
July-93	5.33	3	3.81	4	6.67	9	16	Wet
July-94	2.57	1	1.33	2	5.66	6	9	Dry
July-97	2.50	1	1.94	2	5.23	6	9	Dry
July-98	4.10	2	4.58	4	7.46	9	15	Wet
July-99	6.91	3	3.72	4	5.57	6	13	Normal
July-00	3.18	2	9.63	6	8.63	9	17	Wet
July-01	3.07	2	4.16	4	5.40	6	12	Normal
July-02	3.45	2	2.92	4	3.70	6	12	Normal
July-03	2.95	2	3.67	4	2.10	3	9	Dry
July-06	5.04	3	4.61	4	2.29	3	10	Normal
July-08	6.43	3	2.55	2	10.93	9	14	Normal
July-10	3.65	2	3.79	4	8.38	9	15	Wet
July-18	2.14	1	9.78	6	5.67	6	13	Normal
30% chance less than**	2.84		2.71		3.24			
30 Year Average**	3.70		4.04		5.25			
30% chance more than**	4.30		4.83		6.35			

Dane County Regional Airport Weather Station

30-Year Precipitation Data (1992-2021) from NOAA Website

<http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/>

August Aerial Imagery

Off-Site Aerial Imagery Analysis

Date	Monthly Rainfall in Inches ¹						Weighted Sum	Relative Wetness
	May	Weighted Precip	June	Weighted Precip	July	Weighted Precip		
July-92		1		2		3	6	Dry
August-20	5.42	3	5.07	4	7.59	9	16	Wet
30% chance less than**	2.71		3.24		3.18			
30 Year Average**	4.04		5.25		4.42			
30% chance more than**	4.83		6.35		5.21			

Dane County Regional Airport Weather Station
 30-Year Precipitation Data (1992-2021) from NOAA Website
<http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/>

September Aerial Imagery

Off-Site Aerial Imagery Analysis

Date	Monthly Rainfall in Inches ¹						Weighted Sum	Relative Wetness
	June	Weighted Precip	July	Weighted Precip	August	Weighted Precip		
September-95	1.22	1	4.36	4	5.58	9	14	Normal
September-17	6.73	3	6.52	6	3.85	6	15	Wet
30% chance less than**	3.24		3.18		2.55			
30 Year Average**	5.25		4.42		4.13			
30% chance more than**	6.35		5.21		5.00			

Dane County Regional Airport Weather Station

30-Year Precipitation Data (1992-2021) from NOAA Website

<http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/>

October Aerial Imagery

Off-Site Aerial Imagery Analysis

Date	Monthly Rainfall in Inches ¹						Weighted Sum	Relative Wetness
	July	Weighted Precip	August	Weighted Precip	September	Weighted Precip		
October-96	4.08	2	1.84	2	1.07	3	7	Dry
October-15	3.15	1	5.02	6	4.10	9	16	Wet
October-18	5.67	3	3.12	4	10.40	9	16	Wet
30% chance less than**	3.18		2.55		2.16			
30 Year Average**	4.42		4.13		3.39			
30% chance more than**	5.21		5.00		4.09			

Dane County Regional Airport Weather Station
 30-Year Precipitation Data (1992-2021) from NOAA Website
<http://agacis.rcc-acis.org/>




Signature Areas

Area 1

Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft



Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC
Appendix: 2017-09-03
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co
2017 NAIP
USDA

August 1992



July 1993- Wet



July 1994- Dry



September 1995- Normal



July 1997- Dry



July 1998- Wet



July 1999- Normal



August 2000- Wet



July 2001- Normal




July 2002- Normal



July 2003- Dry






 Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250
Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2004-07-28
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2004 NAIP
USDA




Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2005-06-23
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2005 NAIP
USDA




Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2006-07-15
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2006 NAIP
USDA




 Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250
Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2008-08-10
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co


2008 NAIP
USDA



Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft


Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC
Appendix: 2010-07-02
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co
2010 NAIP
USDA



Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft


Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC
Appendix: 2013-06-19
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co
2013 NAIP
USDA



Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC
Appendix: 2015-10-11
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co
2015 NAIP
USDA




Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250
Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2017-09-03
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voigt Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2017 NAIP
USDA




 Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250
Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2018-10-04
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co


2018 NAIP
USDA



Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC
Appendix: 2018-04-26
Maxar Sat. Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co
2019 Satellite Imagery
Maxar



Study Area (65.31 ac)

0 250 Ft

Heartland
ECOLOGICAL GROUP INC

Appendix: 2020-08-30
NAIP Aerial Imagery
Voit Farm Property
Project #20220700
T7N, R10E, S04 & 05
T Blooming Grove, C Madison
Dane Co

2020 NAIP
USDA