



PREPARED FOR THE URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION

**Project Address:** 6853 McKee Road  
**Application Type:** Planned Development (PD) – Informational Presentation  
**Legistar File ID #:** [73955](#)  
**Prepared By:** Jessica Vaughn, AICP, UDC Secretary

## Background Information

**Applicant | Contact:** Alex Weis, Livesey Company, LLC/Mad Grove, LLC

**Project Description:** The applicant is providing an Informational Presentation for two phases of development consisting of a mixed-use building on the eastern portion of the site, and a one to two-story commercial building on the western portion of the site.

### Project Schedule:

- The original Planned Development (General Development Plan) (PD-GDP) was approved on March 2, 2010 (Legistar File ID #[17139](#)).
- A Certified Survey Map was approved on February 7, 2012 (Legistar File ID #[25217](#)).
- A Planned Development (Specific Implementation Plan) (PD-SIP) for a 100-unit senior housing facility was approved on February 5, 2014 (Legistar File ID #[32969](#)).

**Approval Standards:** The UDC will be an **advisory body** on the Planned Development request. Prior to filing a PD application, the Zoning Code requires the concept is submitted to the Urban Design Commission at an informational meeting. The code specifies that such submittals include contextual information such as topography, photos of the site and surrounding properties, and a discussion of the initial design direction. The Commission will review the concept in reference to the objectives listed in Subsection 28.098(1) and the other requirements of this Subchapter. The Commission may request that additional materials be submitted to assist in communicating the nature of the site and its context. Once a formal application is submitted, the Urban Design Commission is required to provide a recommendation to the Plan Commission with specific findings on the design objectives listed in Zoning Code sections [28.098\(1\)](#), Statement of Purpose, and (2), Standards for Approval (PD Standards Attached).

**Planned Development Zoning:** The project site is located within the Maple Grove Commons General Development Plan Planned Development (est. 2010). As noted in the original General Development Plan, development of the project site was originally anticipated to be commercial in nature, with buildings ranging in height from one to two stories. The Planned Development does include general architectural guidelines for commercial buildings:

*“Individual commercial buildings will be designed to be as oriented to, or more oriented to the adjacent public and private streets than to the internal parking lots through the inclusion of architectural features including but not limited to vision glass, usable entrances and fully screened utility and mechanical facilities along all street-side elevations.”*

The proposed General Development Plan is considered a Major Amendment to the previously approved Planned Development, which may alter the original intent of the General Development Plan, including permitted uses, building massing, site plan configuration, etc. if approved by the Common Council, following a recommendation from the Urban Design Commission and Plan Commission (MGO 28.098).

**Adopted Plans:** The project site is located in the [Cross Country Neighborhood Development Plan](#) (the “Plan”) planning area. The Plan recommends the project site for commercial/office land use development. In addition, the City’s adopted [Comprehensive Plan](#) recommends the project site for Neighborhood Mixed-Use development. The Neighborhood Mixed-Use land use recommendation includes more prescriptive development objectives, including those related to building form and type, which in this case is 2-4 stories, and where free-standing commercial buildings would be appropriate.

## Summary of Design Considerations

Planning Division staff requests that the UDC review the proposed General Development Plan Amendment and provide feedback based on the standards for Planned Developments and the adopted plan recommendations noted above, including as it relates to the following design considerations.

- **Plan Consistency - Building Height.** As noted on the proposed General Development Plan, building heights are anticipated to range from 1-2 stories for the stand alone commercial building located on the west side of the GDP area, and three stories for the multi-family and mixed-use buildings located on the east. As proposed, if the stand alone commercial building proceeds as a one-story building, it would not be consistent with the [Comprehensive Plan’s](#) recommendation for 2-4 story heights.

Based on pre-application discussions, staff understands there are concerns from the prospective developer of the western building about developing a smaller-scale, multi-story, stand-alone commercial building. While staff believes a two-story building would certainly be most consistent with the aforementioned recommendation, consideration could be given to incorporating design elements into a one-story building so that it “reads” as two stories. This could include the incorporation of features such as lofts, mezzanines, vaulted ceilings, clearstory and/or corner elements to increase the height, which staff believes would be more consistent with the intent of the plan than a purely single-story development. Ultimately, the proposed General Development Plan and building heights will be considered by the Plan Commission and Common Council as it relates to the applicable PD standards, including those that speak to coordination of “...architectural style and building forms to achieve greater compatibility with surrounding land uses,” and creating “...an environmental of sustained aesthetic desirability compatible with the existing or intended character of the area.”

Staff requests the UDC’s feedback on the anticipated building heights and the alternative design options for one-story buildings with regard to the adopted plan recommendations and PD standards.

- **Building Orientation and Siting.** Staff requests the UDC’s feedback on the proposed building orientation as it relates to the surrounding context and in creating an active streetscape. As shown on the concept plans, the proposed buildings are primarily oriented internally to the site. More recent development within the corridor reflects some buildings being oriented towards McKee Road. Given the visibility of the project site and the site’s location having multiple public and private street frontages, consideration should be given to creating a more prominent relationship to the street including utilizing active building and unit entries located on the street.

While no elevations were provided, staff has concerns that having the narrow ends of the larger building along McKee Road limits the physical and visual orientation of that building towards that street frontage. Potential design considerations for the larger building could include more of an “L-shaped” configuration or utilizing an alternate building footprint shape, which could help better frame corners and open spaces.

- **Open Spaces.** The General Development Plan indicates one common at-grade open space located along McKee Road. Consideration should be given providing a variety of open space that encourage both active

and passive recreation opportunities, including yard spaces, seating areas, etc. Staff requests the UDC provide feedback on the location and size of the proposed open space specifically as it relates to the PD standard, which states that the *"...PD district shall include open space suitable to the type and character of development proposed, including for projects with residential components, a mix of structured and natural spaces for use by residents and visitors..."*

- **Surface Parking.** While the General Development Plan includes ample parking, both surface and underground, it is important to note that within the underlying zoning district (CC-T) there is no minimum parking requirement. Consideration should be given to reducing the overall amount of surface parking, the type of stalls proposed (angled or compact stalls), and the possibility of shared parking facilities. Doing so, could provide additional opportunities for landscape and open space. Staff requests the Commission's feedback on the proposed surface parking as it relates to the PD standard that speaks to providing adequate parking facilities.

**ATTACHMENT**  
**PD Zoning Statement of Purpose and Standards**

**28.098 (1) Statement of Purpose.**

The Planned Development (PD) District is established to provide a voluntary regulatory framework as a means to facilitate the unique development of land in an integrated and innovative fashion, to allow for flexibility in site design, and to encourage development that is sensitive to environmental, cultural, and economic considerations, and that features high-quality architecture and building materials. In addition, the Planned Development District is intended to achieve one or more of the following objectives:

- (a) Promotion of green building technologies, low-impact development techniques for stormwater management, and other innovative measures that encourage sustainable development.
- (b) Promotion of integrated land uses allowing for a mixture of residential, commercial, and public facilities along corridors and in transitional areas, with enhanced pedestrian, bicycle and transit connections and amenities.
- (c) Preservation and enhancement of important environmental features through careful and sensitive placement of buildings and facilities.
- (d) Preservation of historic buildings, structures, or landscape features through adaptive reuse of public or private preservation of land.
- (e) Provision of more adequate, usable, and suitably located open space, recreational amenities, and other public facilities than would otherwise be provided under conventional land development techniques.
- (f) Facilitation of high-quality development that is consistent with the goals, objectives, policies, and recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan and adopted neighborhood, corridor or special area plans.

**28.098(2) Approval Standards for Project**

The standards for approval of a zoning map amendment to the PD District, or any major alteration to an approved General Development Plan, are as follows:

- (a) The applicant shall demonstrate that no other base zoning district can be used to achieve a substantially similar pattern of development. Planned developments shall not be allowed simply for the purpose of increasing overall density or allowing development that otherwise could not be approved unless the development also meets one or more of the objectives of (1) above. Conditions under which planned development may be appropriate include:
  - 1. Site conditions such as steep topography or other unusual physical features; or
  - 2. Redevelopment of an existing area or use of an infill site that could not be reasonably developed under base zoning district requirements.
- (b) The PD District plan shall facilitate the development or redevelopment goals of the Comprehensive Plan and of adopted neighborhood, corridor or special area plans.
- (c) The PD District plan shall not adversely affect the economic health of the City or the area of the City where the development is proposed. The City shall be able to provide municipal services to the property where the planned development is proposed without a significant increase of the cost of providing those services or economic impact on municipal utilities serving that area.
- (d) The PD District plan shall not create traffic or parking demands disproportionate to the facilities and improvements designed to meet those demands. A traffic demand management plan may be required as a way to resolve traffic and parking concerns. The Plan shall include measurable goals, strategies, and actions to

encourage travelers to use alternatives to driving alone, especially at congested times of day. Strategies and actions may include, but are not limited to, carpools and vanpools; public and private transit; promotion of bicycling, walking and other non-motorized travel; flexible work schedules and parking management programs to substantially reduce automobile trips.

- (e) The PD District plan shall coordinate architectural styles and building forms to achieve greater compatibility with surrounding land uses and create an environment of sustained aesthetic desirability compatible with the existing or intended character of the area and the statement of purpose of the PD District.
- (f) The PD District plan shall include open space suitable to the type and character of development proposed, including for projects with residential components, a mix of structured and natural spaces for use by residents and visitors. Areas for stormwater management, parking, or in the public right of way shall not be used to satisfy this requirement.
- (g) The PD district shall include suitable assurances that each phase could be completed in a manner that would not result in an adverse effect upon the community as a result of termination at that point.
- (h) When applying the above standards to an application for height in excess of that allowed in Section 28.071(2)(a) Downtown Height Map, except as provided for in Section 28.071(2)(a)1. and Section 28.071(2)(b), the Plan Commission shall consider the recommendations in adopted plans and no application for excess height shall be granted by the Plan Commission unless it finds that all of the following conditions are present:
  - 1. The excess height is compatible with the existing or planned (if the recommendations in the Downtown Plan call for changes) character of the surrounding area, including but not limited to the scale, mass, rhythm, and setbacks of buildings and relationships to street frontages and public spaces.
  - 2. The excess height allows for a demonstrated higher quality building than could be achieved without the additional stories.
  - 3. The scale, massing and design of new buildings complement and positively contribute to the setting of any landmark buildings within or adjacent to the project and create a pleasing visual relationship with them.
  - 4. For projects proposed in priority viewsheds and other views and vistas identified on the Views and Vistas Map in the City of Madison Downtown Plan, there are no negative impacts on the viewshed as demonstrated by viewshed studies prepared by the applicant.
- (i) When applying the above standards to an application to reduce or eliminate setbacks required by Section 28.071(2)(c) Downtown Stepback Map, the Plan Commission shall consider the recommendations in adopted plans, including the downtown plan. No application to reduce or eliminate setbacks may be granted unless it finds that all of the following conditions are present:
  - 1. The lot is a corner parcel.
  - 2. The lot is not part of a larger assemblage of properties.
  - 3. The entire lot is vacant or improved with only a surface parking lot.
  - 4. No principal buildings on the lot have been demolished or removed since the effective date of this ordinance.