

From: [Donna Marie Arrigale](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Madison Police Department
Date: Sunday, June 19, 2022 6:54:53 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please do NOT vote to curtail the Madison Police from using less lethal weapons to control a situation getting out of hand. I believe this is a better alternative than the use of guns or fists! The officers are at risk each and every day and most wouldn't use brutal force on an individual unless absolutely necessary to save their own or someone else's life. Don't take this option away! It might be the only way to put down an abusive or threatening crowd.

Thank you!

Donna Marie Arrigale
53 Merlham Dr, Madison, WI 53705

From: reporting@cityofmadison.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Opposed to ban
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 3:43:30 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Laura Behnke

Address: 1031 Melvin Ct, Madison, WI 53704

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

I agree with Madison Police Chief Shon Barnes concerns, and oppose the proposed Chemical Munitions Ban in the city of Madison. Please do not enact this ban and make it more difficult for police to control crowds by taking away a generally non-lethal method. When necessary, police should be allowed to use as they decide best based on their training and policies.

From: caroldbirch@att.net
To: [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Cc: ["Bonnie Roe"](#)
Subject: Police use of pepper spray etc. in order to quell violence at gatherings
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 12:46:12 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please vote NO on resolution 5.17 and keep these tools in the hands of our trained law enforcement officers for the good of our city.

Carol Birch
4259 Beverly Road
Madison WI 53711

From: caroldbirch@att.net
To: [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Cc: ["Bonnie Roe"](#)
Subject: Police use of pepper spray etc. in order to quell violence at gatherings
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 12:46:12 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please vote NO on resolution 5.17 and keep these tools in the hands of our trained law enforcement officers for the good of our city.

Carol Birch
4259 Beverly Road
Madison WI 53711

From: [Cindy Carlson](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Mayor](#)
Subject: Madison Police Department
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 9:04:57 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello--

I am writing to you regarding the council's consideration of limiting certain methods employed by our police department. May I suggest that the police be allowed to do what they are supposed to do to protect the residents and businesses of Madison? Is there a reason why the council members wish to prohibit people feeling safe in this community? Residents already have had to deal with increases in crime during the past few years--I don't understand trying to make it more difficult for the members of our police department to address crime issues.

Thank you.

Cindy Carlson

From: [Dusten Carlson](#)
To: [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Subject: Tear gas ban
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 2:22:08 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello all,

I'm writing with regard to the upcoming Madison Common Council vote to ask you to oppose a ban on tear gas and other less lethal tools.

I trust Chief Barnes on the issue and think that Madison is already a positive model for progressive policing. I'm puzzled that we would be considering moving further into areas that limit the police force's non-lethal capabilities and that could potentially hurt our economy in an already difficult economic era.

I can appreciate the desire to do all we can to ensure that policing is safe and effective, but let's not fall into the trap of "doing something" if that something isn't pragmatic and is otherwise unsupported by data.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dusten Carlson
Meadowood Neighborhood

From: speechlx@outlook.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] MGO 5.17.
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 10:43:27 AM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Susan Carnell
Address: 453 Orchard Dr., Madison, WI 53711
Email: speechlx@outlook.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

I am writing to ask that you not pass the ordinance to ban our police department from using chemical weapons to help disperse crowds. These tools are intermediate to the use of impact weapons such as batons and guns. Taking away these tools disables our department in such a way as to actually make it more dangerous for people when violent protests need to be quelled.

Thank you-

From: [Susan Pride Caulum](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Sept 20 Less Lethal Tools
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 4:54:54 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please do not ban MPD's ability to use less lethal deterrents to stop insurrections. When demonstrations become dangerous, less lethal is preferable to lethal. Thank you.

--Susan

Susan Pride Caulum
710 Ottawa Trail 53711

From: [Greg Chesmore](#)
To: [Furman, Keith](#); [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Subject: Support Law Enforcement
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 7:20:40 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

It's time our community do more to support law enforcement. The resolution to further limit the non-violent tools officers have to control crowds when they become violent or destructive is a mistake. Please prioritize public safety and vote NO.

Thanks for your consideration.

Greg Chesmore
5018 Flambeau Road
Madison 53705

Sent via Greg Chesmore's Personal E-Mail

From: [Nicholas Davies](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Thank you for introduction of 72126 (chemical weapons ban)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 11:51:09 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear alders,

I want to thank Alder Bennett for introducing item 72126, and encourage other alders who are ready to end the era of chemical weapons in our streets to add yourselves as cosponsors.

In addition, I know there's a lot of process and discussion yet to come on this item, but I want to start by sharing why it matters to me personally.

My partner is as passionate about civic issues as I am, including and especially about racial inequity and the pattern of violence in our justice system. But as someone with asthma, she can't risk going to a protest where MPD might turn violent on us. Because that protest might be her last.

We're fortunate to live somewhere with relatively clean air, and an indoor smoking ban that makes most spaces safe for her to go. Her asthma doesn't prevent her from enjoying Madison's long bike routes.

But when an entire street is intentionally flooded with respiratory irritants, that's quite a different story. Tear gas can and has taken lives. I don't want my partner to get counted towards that statistic. I don't want our city to have to see firsthand what's already happened elsewhere.

That threat has quelled her first amendment right to protest, and by association, mine as well. I've stayed home sometimes because of it, and I recognize that as the sign of privilege that it is. Asthma disproportionately affects the Black community, and yet many of our Black friends and neighbors don't see staying home from a protest against police violence as an option--they see their lives on the line either way. It doesn't have to be that way.

We need to be able to demonstrate in the streets without physical threat. If you believe, as I do, that there's no place for violence in civic dialogue, then we must remove the violence from it that we have the power to remove: this unprompted, unnecessary, uncontrolled and uncontrollable violence carried out in our name, paid for with our tax dollars.

I look forward to sharing with you all everything I can, to help you all make an informed decision on this issue. And my inbox is always open to you.

All the best,

Nick Davies
3717 Richard St

From: [Nicholas Davies](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [PD PSRC](#)
Subject: Tear gas resources (RE: 72126)
Date: Sunday, July 10, 2022 9:28:04 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello,

Here are some resources to help you all consider banning tear gas, as proposed in item 72126. I shared some of this with PSRC back in February (although that was back in February). Much of this info is new since the last time a chemical weapons ban was before the council, back in October 2020.

Medical impacts

- * [CDC fact sheet on tear gas exposure](#) - Immediate risks include chemical burns to lungs and throat, fatal respiratory failure, rashes, blindness. Long-term effects include eye damage such as glaucoma or cataracts; or respiratory conditions such as asthma.
- * [Sep 2021 U of Minnesota summary study](#) - concluding that effects of tear gas are still too unknown
- * [Apr 2021 study of overall health impacts](#) - found that victims of tear gas attacks ended up requiring more healthcare resources afterwards
- * [2014 study of long-term respiratory effects](#) - tear gas exposure doubles the risk of chronic bronchitis.
- * [2014 US military study](#) - found that tear gas exposure significantly increases risk of acute respiratory illness.
- * [May 2021 NY Times article on reproductive health impacts](#) - Tear gas has been observed to cause sudden menstruation, including for trans men on long-term hormonal therapies. This also makes it abortifacient (illegal under current Wisconsin law).
- * [Dec 2021 civil rights complaint](#) - lawsuit against Portland, OR for violating reproductive rights.

Tear gas bans / severe restrictions elsewhere

- * [New Orleans](#)
- * [Philadelphia](#)
- * [Denver](#) (via court order)
- * [Boston](#) (plus [Cambridge](#), [Somerville](#))
- * [Washington \(state\)](#)
- * [Oregon \(state\)](#)
- * [Canada \(national law enforcement\)](#)
- * [Brooklyn Center, MN](#)

Fiscal impacts

- * The city stands to save on equipment costs (\$50K-\$100K per last request), and ongoing personnel training costs.
- * By continuing to use tear gas, MPD could at any time incur the astronomical cost of a court settlement. We're lucky to have avoided a lawsuit so far, but it's already happened in [Pittsburgh](#), [Oakland](#) (\$1.5m), [Denver](#) (\$14m), [Minneapolis](#) (\$2.4m), [New York City](#), [Santa Rosa](#) (1.9m), [Washington D.C.](#), [Charlotte](#), [Portland](#) (\$22.5k) and [Richmond](#).
- * The "Fiscal note" in item 72126 claims that event organizers will pull out of Madison if they

cannot expect MPD to tear-gas people. This is not a real thing. See list above of cities/states that have already taken action on tear gas. These places are not shunned by event organizers.

Effectiveness / necessity of tear gas

* [Jan 2021 MPD report on tear gas](#)

"Projectiles used to deploy CS are not fired directly at individuals."

- [This is false.](#)

"Evaluating the effectiveness of the May/June 2020 deployments is much more complicated due to the size/scope of the unrest."

- The report contained no evidence that CS gas is effective for crowd control, either from inside or outside MPD.

- The Common Council specifically requested counts of tear gas victims, which this report did not include.

* [Nov 2021 Quattrone Center report on MPD's uses of force in summer 2020](#)

- This report repeatedly explains how tear gas escalates a conflict, putting officers in greater risk. Tear gas is cited as a contributing factor in widespread property damage and the arson of a police vehicle.

- It also notes how gas masks impair communication.

- The incidents described in the report include times when tear gas failed to clear an area, or only cleared it momentarily; in contrast, it also includes times when MPD decided not to use tear gas, and resolved the situation quickly and peacefully.

* [1980 National Institute of Justice study](#) - The *only* study I could find on the tactical effectiveness of tear gas, and it's specifically about adding dye and CS powder to banknotes during a robbery.

* [MPD records request](#) - I contacted MPD directly, to try to understand what they refer to when they claim tear gas is effective/necessary for crowd control. MPD had no matching documents. (I have also reached out to Chief Barnes directly, and received no response.)

I really do hope this helps. I would not say that the police violence of 2020 was a good thing, but it does put us in a better position than any generation before us, to reassess the merits of tear gas. To fully weigh the real, scientific evidence that it negatively, seriously impacts everyone present at an event--journalists, protesters, neighborhood residents, officers themselves--against the sorely lacking evidence that tear gas improves the situation at all.

Thank you,

Nick Davies
3717 Richard St

From: rdiedrich@charter.net
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Resolution 5.17 opposition
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 10:11:55 AM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Valerie and Robert Diedrich
Address: 4009 Naheda Trl, Madison, WI 53711
Phone: 608-238-5260
Email: rdiedrich@charter.net

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

We urge you OPPOSE Res. 5.17, the proposed ban on MPD officers and mutual aid agencies from using tear gas, pepper spray and other tools to disperse violent and destructive crowds. This ban poses a serious threat to our community by restricting MDP from protecting Madison residents and property. Thank you for your support in OPPOSING Res. 5.17.

From: [irenierenie](#)
To: [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Subject: DO NOT VOTE TO BAN TEAR GAS, ETC
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 6:53:15 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I am writing to ask you to NOT ban Madison Police Officers & all mutual agencies from using tear gas, pepper spray, mace and others tools to disperse violent, destructive crowds. You cannot take away these tools and let criminals have the upper hand. My husband has a business barely hanging on downtown. Many of his customers refuse to come to Madison (especially downtown). Still fresh on their minds are the recent riots that took place. We allowed them to express themselves and let them destroy downtown. Don't think this didn't leave a bad impression on Madison, it DID. One of his old customers said Madison is GOTHAM CITY, not safe!

Please DO NOT VOTE for this ban. Police need these tools.

Thank you,
Irene Elsayed

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: p.troha@charter.net
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] POLICE AND CROWD CONTROL
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 3:29:59 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: PATRICIA FIELDS-TROHA
Address: 13 WANEBO LANE, MADISON, WI 53719
Email: p.troha@charter.net

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

Without law and order, you do not have a city, state or country and when you allow individuals to attack others and destroy property, you have mayhem. Whoever came up with the idea of a ban on the ability of police officers to use tear gas, pepper spray, etc. to protect law abiding citizens from being attacked or their property to be destroyed should immediately resign from The Common Council - the idea itself is an embarrassment to the city and an insult to its citizens who are now in the midst of historic crime with nightly gun shots becoming a routine, car thefts by repeated criminals with records, thefts, etc. Again, do all law abiding citizens a favor and resign - stupidity cannot get any worse.

From: [Pat Fish](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Fwd: I support Tear gas as a tool to help control crowds that are out of control
Date: Sunday, August 21, 2022 1:55:48 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pat Fish
Date: July 19, 2022 at 7:54:49 AM CDT
To: district3@cityofmadison.com
Subject: I support Tear gas as a tool to help control crowds that are out of control

The police need to maintain the peace in cases of riots and crowds that are out of control. I agree keeping tear gas as a method to maintain peace in our madison streets. We have already unleashed our youth to steal cars by the hundreds, now we want to take away the police tool to unleash disorderly crowds? Support public safety.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Pat Fish](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Fwd: I support Tear gas as a tool to help control crowds that are out of control
Date: Sunday, August 21, 2022 2:00:17 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Reject section 5.17

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pat Fish
Date: August 21, 2022 at 1:55:43 PM CDT
To: allalders@cityofmadison.com
Subject: Fwd: I support Tear gas as a tool to help control crowds that are out of control

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pat Fish
Date: July 19, 2022 at 7:54:49 AM CDT
To: district3@cityofmadison.com
Subject: I support Tear gas as a tool to help control crowds that are out of control

The police need to maintain the peace in cases of riots and crowds that are out of control. I agree keeping tear gas as a method to maintain peace in our madison streets. We have already unleashed our youth to steal cars by the hundreds, now we want to take away the police tool to unleash disorderly crowds? Support public safety.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [John Fons](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Open Letter on 5.17
Date: Monday, August 22, 2022 8:24:13 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

An Open Letter on Section 5.17

No member of the Madison Common Council or Public Safety Review Committee will ever respond in person to quell an angry mob. No emergency meeting of the council or committee will ever be called to assemble on the street and face screaming protesters and withstand bricks or rocks or bottles or refuse thrown through the air in violent demonstration.

Madison alders and committee members will never stand shoulder to shoulder in the line of duty to face the threat of physical injury or prevent destruction of private and public property. No alder or committee member will ever fill out intricate and exhaustive forms or wear cameras to prove what they say happened actually did happen in response to lawlessness or reckless endangerment.

Yet these official bystanders, these elected spectators propose to restrict the equipment and tactics of public servants who have sworn to protect not only the public, but the public officials who impose those restrictions.

Do not approve the proposed Section 5.17 of the Madison General Ordinances to prohibit the Madison Police Department and law enforcement responding under mutual aid from using tear gas, mace, impact projectile devices or other chemical agent for the purpose of crowd control.

The very fact you propose and consider such an ordinance demonstrates antagonism and distrust, a childish immaturity toward harsh reality and a preference for law breakers by an attempt to hamper law enforcement, even when law enforcement and public safety receive the first blow.

Made simple, you tell others how to do a job you will not do yourselves and whether or not you approve Section 5.17, you have proven who you serve.

John Fons
4634 Tokay Blvd.
Madison, WI 53711
661-917-0850 cell

From: [Frazak, Patricia](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Upcomin vote on less lethal weapons
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 3:22:13 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Thomas Frazak
Address: 6125 Waterford Rd., MADISON, WI 53719
Phone: 608-332-7200
Email: tomfrazak@att.net

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

Hi Alders,

I am writing to express how important it is to the City of Madison to ALLOW the use of less lethal weapons such as tear gas, pepper spray and such. The Madison police dept. has always used great restraint in dealing with bad situations. If the city takes away those tools in dealing with a very serious situation we will be in big trouble. If an riot is going on or people are destroying property and hurting other people how do you stop them without having those tools to use in those cases? If the police have nothing to use they might as well go home and let the criminals take over and destroy and harm because there is nothing left to stop them. I hope the Common Council truly looks at how much harm they could cause the city and its citizens by not allowing the use of those tools. Lets us hope common sense prevails and we give the police what they need to protect us and the city. The criminals are already causing a lot of harm...it would only get worse!

Thanks,
Tom Frazak

From: jbfrey@charter.net
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] tear gas ban
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 10:14:07 AM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: bruce frey
Address: 530 HIGH CLIFF TRAIL, MADISON, WI 53718
Phone: 608-576-9928
Email: jbfrey@charter.net

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

I cannot believe that this council is even entertaining this proposal. Does this council understand what this means for our police as they try to keep our community safe? You are blaming the police for being under attack during the Floyd riots. A swat van was shot at, several incidents of serious property destruction occurred and they attempted to fire bomb our City/County building. The very heart of our city government. Please use common sense and reject this proposal. Crime is escalating here and this response shows this council does not support our officers. Shameful Bruce Frey former MPD retired officer

From: [Louis Francis Genter](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: I Do Not Support a Tear Gas Ban
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 5:10:26 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I think tear gas and other non-lethal methods should remain available to MPD as a tool.

Francis Genter
490 Orchard Drive
Madison, WI. 53711

From: [Brian Goossen](#)
To: [Mayor](#)
Cc: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Opposition to proposed ban on Madison police use of non-lethal tools
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 4:57:32 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I would like to express my extreme opposition to the proposed ban on non-lethal tools.

Please vote NO on resolution 5.17 and keep these tools in the hands of out trained law enforcement officers.

Sincerely concerned,
Brian P Goossen

Sent from my iPad

From: [Joanne Heil](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Mayor](#)
Subject: Chief Barnes on Ability to Respond to Riots
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:11:59 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I agree 100% with the information relayed in Chief Barnes' blog post, linked here. He outlines the issues perfectly.

<https://www.cityofmadison.com/police/chief/blog/?Id=26948>

Thanks,
Joanne Heil
Madison 53718

From: reporting@cityofmadison.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Tear gas ban
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 11:12:02 AM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Nick Iordachescu

Address: 3013 Nottingham way, Madison , Wi 53713

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

Please, do not take this option away from MPD officers, vote against it.

From: jamesgisaac@gmail.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Tear Gas
Date: Monday, June 20, 2022 7:30:08 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: James Isaac
Address: 4006 Mandan Crescent, Madison, WI 53711
Email: jamesgisaac@gmail.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

Dear Common Council Members,

I hope this finds you all well, and thank you for everything you do for our Madison community.

I write today to express my respectful but strong disagreement with Alder Bennett's proposal to revisit the proposal to categorically ban the use of non-lethal alternatives by the Madison Police Department, including tear gas. The Common Council previously examined this topic at length and, thankfully, determined not to impose such a categorical ban. There are many more significant issues - such as combating our city's ongoing crime epidemic - to which the Common Council should direct its attention. Positive steps forward in those areas would have a much more direct impact on community members' lives.

With all due respect, the fatal flaw in the argument to categorically ban the use of tear gas and other non-lethal alternatives by the MPD is clear when one examines the facts: the argument cares more about protecting ideology than it does about protecting people.

For starters, the argument ignores the facts of how the MPD has actually employed tear gas. The MPD was only able to identify 11 uses of tear gas in the past three decades, some of which I believe also came in situations far removed from responding to protests or riots. Thus, at least in Madison, the proposed categorical ban on tear gas is a solution in search of a problem.

Second, Ms. Bennett's proposed categorical ban is not supported by the third-party report conducted to examine the question. The Quattrone report recommended using tear gas as a crowd dispersal tactic "cautiously...only when people are at risk of imminent physical harm or to prevent substantial property damage." For pepper spray, the report recommended that the MPD not use the spray against "passive resisters." So we see that an outsider looking in also disagreed with Ms. Bennett's proposal.

Third, Ms. Bennett's proposal is not supported by two local heads of law enforcement who are closest to, and best understand, the potential impact to community safety of a categorical ban. Chief Barnes said it simply and best: "Outright bans cut out an important and less lethal way

of controlling crowds and violent situations. We are opposed to removing any option that would move us closer to physical force." And many members of the Common Council will recall that the UW Madison Chief of Police informed former Alder Henack that, if the Common Council approved a categorical ban on the use of non-lethal alternatives such as tear gas, she would not send her police officers in to support the MPD.

The hypothetical Ms. Bennet offers in support of the categorical ban illustrates the weakness of ideologically driven proposals. The Wisconsin State Journal quotes her as stating: "Picture this: one person in a crowd of one hundred people throws a coke can at the police...The police respond by tear gassing everyone in the space. If you were one of those in the crowd, you'd be pretty angry wouldn't you? Thus, the use of chemical munitions does not have the desired effect of controlling the crowd, rather it makes it more volatile and difficult to control." First, this hypothetical does not even try to relate to the specific behavior of the Madison police department. Its relevance for the current discussion is thus questionable at best. Second, this is precisely the type of use of a non-lethal alternative that the Madison police are committed to NOT employing. Especially given the MPD's lack of a track record to the contrary, one can truly only think of this as a solution in search of a problem.

In sum, Ms. Bennet's proposal is not supported by the facts on the ground in Madison, the outside third-party that evaluated the proposal, or those primarily responsible for protecting the community. I respectfully but urgently ask the Common Council to therefore allow the MPD to retain these non-lethal alternatives for those very few moments when their use could mean so much for the community's safety. Thank you.

From: [brian johnson](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Tear gas ban
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 12:58:56 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Mayor and Council members

I'm writing to ask you not to ban the strategic use of tear gas, pepper spray and other non-lethal forms of crowd control by the Madison police and other mutual aid agencies. Until you can assure us that there will be no more riots, violent protests or other property and life threatening events that the police are called on to address, I feel it is foolish to take tools like these way.

Don't make a decision that cuts off your nose to spite for your face or the next time Madison businesses and citizens are attacked by a crowd and people are hurt or worse because the police had no basic means to disperse or control a crowd - the damage will be on your heads.

Don't think it can happen?just remember what happened on State Street.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brian Johnson

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Brent Kimbel](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Tear gas and use of force
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 7:41:16 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear Alders

Myself, my family and many of my friends are all in favor of the police and any protective force being able to use tear gas or any use of force necessary to protect our city, it's population and it's property.

Please do what's right and vote to give the police access to the tools they need to do their jobs.

Brent Kimbel
608-630-3412

From: [Ruth Kleban](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Vote no on police ban on tear gas and other non lethal tools
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 11:04:16 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please vote no on resolution 5.17 and keep these tools in the hands of our trained law enforcement officers for the good of our city.

Our police officers put their lives on the line every single day. It's important that you and the common council do not tie their hands. We have had unruly moms destroying parts of our city, especially State Street.

Respect our officers and their need for non lethal use of force.

Ruth Kleban
Madison resident of 49 years
Rmfkleban@gmail.com

From: [Dayna Long](#)
To: [Foster, Grant](#)
Cc: [All Alders](#)
Subject: I support the ban on MPD using chemical agents
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 9:26:13 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear Alder Foster,

I'm writing to say that I strongly support the proposed general ordinance to ban MPD from using tear gas and other chemical agents for crowd control purposes. The use of chemical agents is a violent practice that does lasting damage to the people subjected to them, and also to bystanders who have nothing to do with the conflict. It is the opposite of the kind of targeted, creative problem solving we should be exploring to prevent harm in our community.

I was present at a non-violent protest on Capitol Square where police used chemical agents in May 2020. The protest was not destructive that evening. People were agitated by the arrival of police in militarized body armor, but we kept marching. Things fell apart when a police officer fired pepper spray into the crowd, which included many, many teenagers and some pre-teens, most of them Black. The police officer might have intended to use it against a single person but that's not how pepper spray works. We were all subjected to tear gas that night, too, fired at us in canisters. It was scary, unpleasant, and very upsetting. It is upsetting to me to remember it now. And I am only describing the psychological effects. Tear gas and pepper spray are toxins and [can kill people](#) in extreme cases. The impacts of police use of chemical agents wasn't limited to protesters either. State Street residents reported being irritated by the chemicals in their own homes. A friend [wrote poignantly](#) about meeting a woman who was homeless at the time, trapped downtown due to lack of bus service that night, and having panic attacks throughout the episode.

I think it's hugely destructive for a city agency to have the authority to attack residents like this. It is especially wrong to use pepper spray on kids, which is also what we're talking about when we allow MPD to use chemical agents for crowd control. We should absolutely ban this practice.

Sincerely,

Dayna Long
District 15 Resident

From: rm51twin-mail@yahoo.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] banning police use of tear and pepper gas
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 4:02:09 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Rosalie Migas
Address: 5913 South Hill Dr., Madison, WI 53705
Phone: 608-233-0019
Email: rm51twin-mail@yahoo.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

Dear City Council Members:

I am hoping that you follow the sage advice of Police Chief Barnes and do not ban the police from using pepper and tear gas when needed. I don't think we should handcuff the police from using appropriate tools when they deem necessary. Please know as a student at the UW in the 60's and early 70's I have been tear gas: not pleasant but not lethal either. Regards, R. Migas

From: [Emily Mills](#)
To: [Abbas, Syed](#)
Cc: [All Alders](#)
Subject: I support the ban on MPD using chemical agents
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 11:36:36 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear Alder Abbas,

I'm writing to say that I strongly support the proposed general ordinance to ban MPD from using tear gas and other chemical agents for crowd control purposes. The use of chemical agents is a violent practice that does lasting damage to the people subjected to them, and also to bystanders who have nothing to do with the conflict. It is the opposite of the kind of targeted, creative problem solving we should be exploring to prevent harm in our community. If we can [ban its use in warfare](#), we should ban its use on civilians as well.

Use of tear gas on non-violent protesters in Madison during the summer of 2020 brought this issue into sharp focus. I was present for much of the time but thankfully left just before tear gas was deployed. The vast majority of those still present were minors--teenagers--who were left physically and emotionally harmed by the unnecessary deployment of the weapon. Tear gas and pepper spray are toxins and [can kill people](#) in extreme cases. The impacts of police use of chemical agents wasn't limited to protesters either. State Street residents reported being irritated by the chemicals in their own homes. A friend [wrote poignantly](#) about meeting a woman who was homeless at the time, trapped downtown due to lack of bus service that night, and having panic attacks throughout the episode.

I think it's hugely destructive for a city agency to have the authority to attack residents like this. It is especially wrong to use pepper spray on kids, which is also what we're talking about when we allow MPD to use chemical agents for crowd control. We should absolutely ban this practice.

Sincerely,

Emily Mills
District 12 Resident

From: [K Newman](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Stop Crime
Date: Tuesday, August 16, 2022 12:26:28 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Alders,

We cannot continue to handicap our police and other agencies. If they do not have any tools to stop criminals and if we continue to release those committing crimes, we will have turned the city over to criminals. It is time to protect the people of this city, unless you want to see a mass exit from the city.

DO NOT VOTE to ban MPD and all mutual aid agencies from using tear gas, mace, all chemical irritants, and impact projectiles. These items may be needed so that they themselves or others nearby will not be killed by those with guns. The police use these items so that they don't have to use their guns.

Those of you supporting this ban are coddling criminals so much that criminals don't care if they are arrested because they will not have any consequences from the DA and are free to commit more crimes against those who are trying to make this city a great place to live. We have multiple people committing crime after crime. Stop allowing this before it is too late.

Kathie Newman
608-395-1253

From: kai.rasmussen@astrobotany.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] vote yes on section 5.17
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 2:56:16 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Kai Rasmussen
Address: 8 HIGH POINT OAKS LN, 103, MADISON, WI 53719-3602
Phone: 715-456-9773
Email: kai.rasmussen@astrobotany.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

To my Alder Matt Phair, and all Alders,

I'm sure by now you've gotten six thousand emails from older residents telling you that MPD needs tear gas and "less than lethal" not non-lethal, because you can still be killed by supposedly "non-lethal" tools to do their job. I lived in downtown Madison for 5 years, unlike some of these boomers who only travel downtown to watch the Badgers. I cannot recall a single instance where it was appropriate to deploy tear gas or less than lethal tools on Madison citizens. Yes, including 2020. I know people who went to the protests downtown just to support BLM and left hating Madison cops because of the tactics they used. Not just cops, but it eroded their trust in Madison city government as well. The police in this city already have enough tools at their disposal. I am a 26 year old asian american. The consequences of MPD being able to use tear gas and less than lethal tools will affect me FAR before it affects any of the older, white residents who are probably flooding your email as we speak. Trust me when I say that the majority of people this will affect do not want MPD to have these weapons. The older white folks in Madison simply don't care because they can't imagine being on the receiving end of this equipment. And even if you don't agree with that statement, I 100% believe that if MPD decides to use tear gas and less than lethal force in the future, it's only going to continue to erode people's faith in them and Madison institutions.

Sincerely,

Kai Nakano Rasmussen
26 year old Madison Resident,
UW-Madison graduate
Pharmaceutical Scientist,
Director, Astrobotany astrobotany.com

From: [Maya Ray](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Agenda item # 42
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 8:05:07 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear council members,

This motion to prohibit the Madison Police Department and law enforcement responding under mutual aid from using tear gas, mace, impact projectile devices or other chemical agent for the purposes of crowd control is necessary to promote safety in our community and protect protectors and our freedom of speech.

The continuance of police brutality on non-violent protectors has to stop. There are parents and children and grandparents that are permanently injured by these types of police actions, because they need to defend their families and communities by speaking up. The police response is absurd, dangerous, counterproductive and a show of democracy not existing. Violence brings violence, injury and illness into our community. Please do the right thing and Prohibit these violent police tactics.

Sincerely,
Maya Smith

From: badgerjaner@yahoo.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Tear Gas
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 6:27:52 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Jane Renneberg
Address: 5834 Suffolk Rd, Madison, Wi 53712
Phone: 608-225-0225
Email: badgerjaner@yahoo.com

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

I am writing to urge you to continue to allow MPD officers to use tear gas when necessary to maintain public safety. I'm worried about the safety and security of everyone . I'm sure it's in everyone's best interest to keep non-lethal tools available for law enforcement .

From: dennis.reno@att.net
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Banning Tear Gas
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 12:04:53 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: DENNIS RENO

Address: 1618 S Golf Glen Unit A Madis, Madison, WI 53704

Email: dennis.reno@att.net

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

So now the Common Council are the experts on tear gas? I see no scientific basis in this proposal for the banning of tear gas. Do your due diligence. I guess some alders only do that when it fits their needs. Follow the science. Spend your time on more important issues.

From: [Antoine Rios](#)
To: [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Subject: Opposing ban on tear gas and other less lethal tools
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 11:14:21 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

As a citizen of the greater Madison area I wanted to let you know that I oppose this ban. I am horrified that this ban is even making it to a vote after the police chief very professionally explained why it is not a good idea. We already live in a city where crime is growing by the day and we need as many resources out there helping our citizens. We should be giving the police more resources to fight crime rather than removing them. Large crowd management is a very related issue and very dangerous if not done properly. We would be at the risk of more unwanted individuals infiltrating these crowds and creating havoc just because of this ban. This havoc will make the downtown area even more dangerous for those citizens living there or visiting, which will eventually harm the area businesses as well.

Respectfully submitted,

Antoine Rios
2688 Granite Cir.
Madison, WI 53711

From: [Mary Roche](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Mayor](#)
Subject: Banning chemical agents
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 8:02:02 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

To all persons who have ever been in a dangerous situation...what are you thinking?! If you limit police from using pepper spray, etc, you essentially limit their ability to control violent crowds such as those Madison saw during the riots. What do you propose as an alternative? What, are you going to call out a social worker? I am so disappointed with how this city handled the downtown riots. The downtown area was left in shambles. As you see on the news, most businesses are still trying to recover. Cut, cut, cut... that's all you do. Protect our police and community. Give them the tools they are requesting.
Mary Roche

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Jo Rummelhart](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Banning less lethal tools
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 11:56:55 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

I am writing to voice my opposition to banning less lethal tools.

I support the advice and recommendation of our police chief....let's hope we never have to use it....but if we do....we will want and need that option.

We should keep our options open and not restrict those fo the police department. I trust our police chief and department.

Heaven forbid, if ever needed, these measures could end up saving massive property and LIVES.....

Please do not support this “feel good” vote.....

thank you,

Jo Rummelhart, D.D.S.
5818 Julia St
Madison, WI 53705

L: 608-233-4877
C: 608-294-0046

jorumm@gmail.com

From: kdietzman@sbcglobal.net
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Resolution 5.17 - Ban Less Lethal Tools
Date: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 8:06:23 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Glori Sabin
Address: 10 Jubilee Circle, Madison, Wi 53718
Email: kdietzman@sbcglobal.net

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

This is a plea to turn down the subject proposed resolution as if you don't, this will set up dangerous situations that affects the safety of all citizens. It would tie the hands of law enforcements ability to maintain peace in out of control crowds & situations and prevent further escalations. The Chief of Police is even against eliminating less lethal tools for crowd control.

PLEASE be reasonable and use sound judgement which is turning down Resolution 5.17.
Thank you.

From: brenda.salvo@gmail.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Ban Tear Gas, Pepper Spray and Impact Projectiles for Crowd Control
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 5:07:30 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Brenda Salvo
Address: 3317 Harvey Street Apt. 7, Madison, WI 53705
Phone: 608-852-3599
Email: brenda.salvo@gmail.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

Hello Madison Alders,

As a resident of the city of Madison since 2015, and someone who grew up in the area, the idea of a police officer using weapons indiscriminately against a crowd of people I am a part of is terrifying.

I have friends who had to evacuate their homes because of the use of tear gas by MPD in the summer of 2020. Using these weapons criminalizes community members who are exercising their rights and harms bystanders. Please support the ban. No one should live in fear that authorities will use weapons to silence and physically harm them. Anything less than a ban is a threat to the wellbeing of our community.

Thank you,
Brenda Salvo
District 5

From: [Peggy Scallon](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Please support the safety of our city and respect our law enforcement people who work in good faith. Vote against the ban on tear gas.
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 2:15:24 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Dear Madison Alders:

I urge you not to approve the proposed Section 5.17 of the Madison General Ordinances to prohibit the Madison Police Department and law enforcement responding under mutual aid from using tear gas, mace, impact projectile devices and other chemical agent for the purpose of crowd control.

Sincerely,

Peggy Scallon
Resident of District 19

From: [George and Patricia Silverwood](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Mayor](#)
Subject: Ban on the use of tear gas and less than lethal technology
Date: Monday, July 4, 2022 1:42:40 PM
Attachments: [Common Council letter.docx](#)

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

To my surprise, some Council members are, again, considering banning the use of tear gas and the use of less than lethal instruments by the Madison Police Department. The latter will certainly increase the danger of more serious injuries and deaths to citizens and officers. You may want to invite the City Attorney and the City's insurance company to give their opinions on whether courts and juries would look upon this reversal negatively in a liability court case. It seems to fly in the face of common sense that the City would refuse the use of enhanced technology that reduces the chance of injury or death.

In regards to banning the use of tear gas, I am attaching a slightly edited memo from February 2021 that some of you saw at that time. I would add that continuing incidents by extremist groups make the retention of this rarely used option all the more essential.

Captain George Silverwood (ret)

From: [Megan Spielbauer](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Support of Tear Gas Ban - 9/20
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 6:04:44 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello,

I am emailing my support for agenda item #42 (72126). Madison Common Council should vote to prohibit the use of tear gas, pepper spray and impact projectiles for use of crowd control.

I am uncomfortable with describing my fear and experiences around the use of tear gas. Even writing this email brings up a lot of memories for me that are unpleasant to say the least.

Tear gas, pepper spray, and impact projectiles are painful and can cause a lot of harm. They are not effective forms of building community. They are also not used equally.

Madison Police Department harass Black and Brown organizers. They follow them around and stalk them at their home. They should not have the power to indiscriminately attack people and call it "crowd control".

Vote in favor of this ban. Put people over property.

Best,

Megan

From: [Chris Truitt](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Mayor](#)
Subject: Ordinance Prohibiting Less Lethal Methods
Date: Sunday, August 21, 2022 8:34:00 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Police departments across the nation employ less lethal technologies to help them control individuals and crowds that are endangering the public or law enforcement officer safety.

These less lethal methods are used when the public or law enforcement are at risk of harm but lethal force is not warranted.

Officers have no choice; they must mitigate threats to the public and law enforcement. They can either use less lethal methods such as what is commonly referred to as pepper spray or tasers, or they can use lethal methods such as guns.

As someone who understands the need for law enforcement to protect citizens while also not using unreasonable force on assailants, I cannot for the life of me understand the idea of eliminating less lethal tools. The only alternatives are to allow assailants to molest the public and law enforcement or to kill them.

Are you willing to go on the record as someone who prefers to kill assailants rather than use less lethal methods to force compliance? Do you really hate your constituents that much that you'd prefer they be shot than forced into compliance using methods that leave no lasting effects?

I'm concerned about this because your handling of criminals bleeds into my municipality, affecting the people who elect me. If you fail to address crime, it spreads into the Town of Burke and affects the people who elected me.

I'll tell you this - we in Burke won't handcuff our law enforcement. If pepper spray will do the trick, we'll use it. If the criminal is emboldened because your officers won't do anything due to your misguided social justice ordinance and ignores lawful orders, our law enforcement officers will kill them. It's your choice.

Chris Truitt
Supervisor Seat 4
Town of Burke, WI
(608) 676-7774
supervisor4@townofburke.com www.townofburke.com

From: [Kathy](#)
To: [Mayor](#)
Cc: [All Alders; PD PSRC](#)
Subject: Resolution 72126
Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 5:09:35 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hello All,

I am writing regarding Resolution 72126.

I was alarmed and greatly concerned to learn that this resolution was being considered.

I am aware that should this resolution be passed, outside Police Agencies will no longer provide assistance to MPD.

There is nothing to be gained by this proposal and much to lose.

I hope that those of you that support the Defund the Police ideology, will realize what a grievous error this would be.

Sincerely,

Kathy Viets

From: joann.wagnernovak@gmail.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] Resolution 5.17 at the Sept 20th meeting
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 12:37:13 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Jo Ann Wagner Novak
Address: 21 West Newhaven Circle, Madison, wi 53717
Email: joann.wagnernovak@gmail.com

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

Please vote NO on the Resolution banning not only MACE but tear gas by use of Madison police and any other agencies that may help them.

I support a written and implemented policy that would use these crowd control in a tiered fashion, meaning tear gas is a last resort for a violent crowd, after all other options of de-escalation have failed.

Further, the policy should include a follow-up review classically this is at 180 and 360 days followed by annually.

Rationale: just as in medicine and nursing, we have a bevy of tiered methods to run a Code on a critically ill patient, we need a similar method for police men and women to provide safety to both bystanders and groups involved in an escalating protest when the situation begins to erode in a potentially dangerous fashion.

From: [Chad Weick](#)
To: [Furman, Keith](#); [Mayor](#); [All Alders](#)
Subject: Voting on 5.17
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 7:12:32 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Please vote no on resolution 5.17 and keep these tools in the hands of our trained law enforcement officers for the good of our city.

Thanks
Chad Weick
5018 Flambeau Rd, Madison, WI 53705

From: [Alexandra Wilburn](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Ban Tear Gas
Date: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:11:09 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

All Alders,

Every single credible study I've come across about tear gas use, including the study done of the use done by MPD in 2020 found the tactic escalates a situation.

Based on that fact alone, it needs to be banned. Also it is suspect that it is legal within our communities but banned in war against other countries.

I've messaged and lobbied this body in 2020 on this issue.

Be bold, lead us to a future where human rights come first. Do not have to policing institution talking points and bravado that tear gas is necessary.

Under no circumstance should tear gas be deployed by State agents, or anyone.

Best,
Alexandra Wilburn.

From: [Alexandra Wilburn](#)
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: Ban Tear Gas
Date: Monday, August 8, 2022 4:01:45 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

Hey,

Ban Tear Gas for any use.

Just a monday reminder it is bad for people, bad for the environment, and down right immoral to gas people because they are in an area that law enforcement has decided people need to leave.

it's against international law for our soldiers to use it in warfare yet we allow police officers to use it in our streets here domestically?

c'mon now ya'll. Be moral. Ban Tear Gas.

Best Regards,
Alexandra Wilburn

From: [Alexandra Wilburn](#)
To: [All Alders](#); [Heck, Patrick](#)
Subject: Support the Ban against indiscriminate weapon use
Date: Thursday, September 15, 2022 3:49:00 PM

Caution: This email was sent from an external source. Avoid unknown links and attachments.

All alders,

Support the Ban against pepper spray, tear gas, rubber bullets and other impact munitions from being used for crowd control.

The Study specifically for what occurred in Madison found the use of these escalated the situation - it's illogical to keep it as a practice.

Not a single officer attempted to stop anyone from breaking anything or stealing anything, they were gassing everyone in the vicinity. The tactic is one designed to hurt and traumatize people. The history of policy surrounding these weapons is bigoted, and the history of police protocol for "crowd control" of protests against police brutality and corruption is bigoted.

Go back to the recordings of the testimony of people who called in to supported a similar resolution of ban two years ago, people in apartment buildings were gassed, people eating outside nearby were gassed. Medics were gassed as they were helping people.

Not only was an environmental tragedy it was a human rights tragedy. No emergency services for the screaming people with chemicals burning their eyes and skin.

From: jallenjauch@gmail.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] ITEM 42, Section #72126
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 3:07:47 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Jane Allen-Jauch
Address: 1429, Wyldewood Drive, Madison,, WI 53704
Phone: 608-770-0949
Email: jallenjauch@gmail.com

Would you like us to contact you? No, do not contact me

Message:

To my Alder Abbas et al,
I am writing in OPPOSITION to the above-referenced ordinance. The MPD serves this community well, even though younger officers are leaving the department in much greater numbers,so much more is expected from them, there is an increase in calls relating to behavioral health, time spent on priority makes up 75% of an officer's shift, and so on. My neighborhood on the Northside of Madison has seen an uptick in violent crime and I want to be assured that the officers have ALL NON-LETHAL TOOLS at their disposal. It should not matter that a small minority of folks in this city have taken their cue from leadership in Madison and adopted a 'we hate policy' mentality. This viewpoint is very obvious to a large percentage of the electorate do not attempt to disguise. The pilot problem for the Northside officers to use body cameras was APPROVED, yet there was no appropriation of funds what is wrong with THIS PICTURE?! I support Madison Police, their right to use all non-lethal tools in appropriate situations, and hope you will not decrease their budget like you are planning on doing.

From: m.lu537@gmail.com
To: [All Alders](#)
Subject: [All Alders] tear gas option for police
Date: Friday, September 16, 2022 9:44:13 PM

Recipient: All Alders

Name: Marylu Green
Address: 510 Elmside Blvd, Madison, WI 53704
Email: m.lu537@gmail.com

Would you like us to contact you? Yes, by email

Message:

I support GIVING the police as many non-lethal options as possible to deal with violence/rioters. Please do not take away their option to use tear gas. Thank you!