City of Madison
Planning Division
Madison Municipal Building, Suite 017
215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
P.O. Box 2985

Madison, WI 53701-2985
(608) 266-4635


Complete all sections of this application, including the desired meeting date and the action requested.

If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate formats or other accommodations to access these forms, please call the phone number above immediately.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Paid $\qquad$ Receipt \# $\qquad$
Date received $\qquad$
Received by
Aldermanic District $\qquad$
Zoning District $\qquad$
Urban Design District $\qquad$
Submittal reviewed by $\qquad$
Legistar \# $\qquad$

## 1. Project Information

Address: 2403 E Springs Dr. Madison, WI
Title: 2403 East Springs Drive
2. Application Type (check all that apply) and Requested Date

UDC meeting date requested September 21, 2022

| New development | Alteration to an existing or previously-approved development |
| :--- | :--- |
| Informational | Initial approval |

3. Project Type

Project in an Urban Design District
Project in the Downtown Core District (DC), Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX), or Mixed-Use Center District (MXC)
Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC), Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC)
Planned Development (PD)
General Development Plan (GDP)
Specific Implementation Plan (SIP)
v Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building Complex
4. Applicant, Agent, and Property Owner Information

| Applicant name | Jay Patel |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street address | 6251 Joliet Road |
| Telephone | $860-510-2540$ |

Project contact person Jill Rubin / Nicte Gonzalez
Street address 1785 Village Center Circle Suite 100
Telephone (702) 403-1575
Property owner (if not applicant) Badger Lodging LLC

| Street address | 2706 James Street |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## Signage

Comprehensive Design Review (CDR)
Signage Variance (i.e. modification of signage height, area, and setback)
Signage Exception

## Other

Please specify

Company Hawkeye Hotels
City/State/Zip Countryside, IL 60525
Email jay.patel@hawkeyehotels.com
Company Design-Cell Architecture
City/State/Zip Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Email jill@design-cell.com / nicte@design-cell.com

City/State/Zip Coralville, IA 52241
Email

## 5. Required Submittal Materials

## $\checkmark$ Application Form

$\checkmark$ Letter of Intent

- If the project is within an Urban Design District, a summary of how the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required
- For signage applications, a summary of how the proposed signage is consistent with the applicable CDR or Signage Variance review criteria is required.
$\checkmark$ Development Plans (Refer to checklist on Page 4 for plan details)
$\checkmark$ Filing fee
$\checkmark$ Electronic Submittal*


## $\checkmark \quad$ Notification to the District Alder

- Please provide an email to the District Alder notifying them that you are filing this UDC application. Please send this as early in the process as possible and provide a copy of that email with the submitted application.
Both the paper copies and electronic copies must be submitted prior to the application deadline before an application will be scheduled for a UDC meeting. Late materials will not be accepted. A completed application form is required for each UDC appearance.

For projects also requiring Plan Commission approval, applicants must also have submitted an accepted application for Plan Commission consideration prior to obtaining any formal action (initial or final approval) from the UDC. All plans must be legible when reduced.
*Electronic copies of all items submitted in hard copy are required. Individual PDF files of each item submitted should be compiled on a CD or flash drive, or submitted via email to udcapplications@cityofmadison.com. The email must include the project address, project name, and applicant name. Electronic submittals via file hosting services (such as Dropbox.com) are not allowed. Applicants who are unable to provide the materials electronically should contact the Planning Division at (608) 266-4635 for assistance.

## 6. Applicant Declarations

1. Prior to submitting this application, the applicant is required to discuss the proposed project with Urban Design Commission staff. This application was discussed with Jessica Vaughn on July 28, 2022
2. The applicant attests that all required materials are included in this submittal and understands that if any required information is not provided by the application deadline, the application will not be placed on an Urban Design Commission agenda for consideration.

Name of applicant Jay Patel Relationship to property Development Manager
Authorizing signature of property owner flathes $\qquad$ Date August 15, 2022

## 7. Application Filing Fees

Fees are required to be paid with the first application for either initial or final approval of a project, unless the project is part of the combined application process involving the Urban Design Commission in conjunction with Plan Commission and/or Common Council consideration. Make checks payable to City Treasurer. Credit cards may be used for application fees of less than \$1,000.
Please consult the schedule below for the appropriate fee for your request:

Urban Design Districts: \$350 (per §35.24(6) MGO).
Minor Alteration in the Downtown Core District
(DC) or Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX) : \$150 (per $\S 33.24(6)(b)$ MGO)
Comprehensive Design Review: \$500
(per §31.041(3)(d)(1)(a) MGO)
Minor Alteration to a Comprehensive Sign Plan: \$100 (per §31.041(3))(d)(1)(c) MGO)
All other sign requests to the Urban Design Commission, including, but not limited to: appeals from the decisions of the Zoning Administrator, requests for signage variances (i.e. modifications of signage height, area, and setback), and additional sign code approvals: $\$ 300$ (per $\$ 31.041(3)(d)(2)$ MGO)

A filing fee is not required for the following project applications if part of the combined application process involving both Urban Design Commission and Plan Commission:

- Project in the Downtown Core District (DC), Urban Mixed-Use District (UMX), or Mixed-Use Center District (MXC)
- Project in the Suburban Employment Center District (SEC), Campus Institutional District (CI), or Employment Campus District (EC)
- Planned Development (PD): General Development Plan (GDP) and/or Specific Implementation Plan (SIP)
- Planned Multi-Use Site or Residential Building Complex


## URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION APPROVAL PROCESS

## Introduction

The City of Madison's Urban Design Commission (UDC) has been created to:

- Encourage and promote high quality in the design of new buildings, developments, remodeling, and additions so as to maintain and improve the established standards of property values within the City.
- Foster civic pride in the beauty and nobler assets of the City, and in all other ways possible assure a functionally efficient and visually attractive City in the future.


## Types of Approvals

There are three types of requests considered by the UDC:

- Informational Presentation. Applicants may, at their discretion, request to make an Informational Presentation to the UDC prior to seeking any approvals to obtain early feedback and direction before undertaking detailed design. Applicants should provide details on the context of the site, design concept, site and building plans, and other relevant information to help the UDC understand the proposal and provide feedback. (Does not apply to CDR's or Signage Variance requests)
- Initial Approval. Applicants may, at their discretion, request initial approval of a proposal by presenting preliminary design information. As part of their review, the Commission will provide feedback on the design information that should be addressed at Final Approval stage.
- Final Approval. Applicants may request Final Approval of a proposal by presenting all final project details. Recommendations or concerns expressed by the UDC in the initial approval must be addressed at this time.


## Presentations to the Commission

Primarily, the UDC is interested in the appearance and design quality of projects. Emphasis should be given to the site plan, landscape plan, lighting plan, building elevations, exterior building materials, color scheme, and graphics.

When presenting projects to the UDC, applicants must fill out a registration slip provided in the meeting room and present it to the Secretary. Presentations should generally be limited to 5 minutes or as extended by motion by consent of the Commission. The Commission will withhold questions until the end of the presentation.

Applicants are encouraged to consider the use of various graphic presentation material including a locator map, photographs, renderings/model, scale drawings of the proposal in context with adjacent buildings/uses/signs, etc., as may be deemed appropriate to describe the project and its surroundings. Graphics should be mounted on rigid boards so that they may be easily displayed. Applicants/presenters are responsible for all presentation materials, AV equipment and easels.

## URBAN DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PLANS CHECKLIST

The items listed below are minimal application requirements for the type of approval indicated. Please note that the UDC and/ or staff may require additional information in order to have a complete understanding of the project.

## 1. Informational Presentation

\(\left.\begin{array}{l}Locator Map <br>
Letter of Intent (If the project is within <br>
an Urban Design District, a summary of <br>
how the development proposal addresses <br>
the district criteria is required) <br>
Contextual site information, including <br>
photographs and layout of adjacent <br>

buildings/structures\end{array}\right\}\)| Site Plan |
| :--- |
| Two-dimensional (2D) images of |
| proposed buildings or structures. |

Providing additional information beyond these minimums may generate a greater level of feedback from the Commission.

## Requirements for All Plan Sheets

1. Title block
2. Sheet number
3. North arrow
4. Scale, both written and graphic
5. Date
6. Fully dimensioned plans, scaled at $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$ or larger
** All plans must be legible, including the full-sized landscape and lighting plans (if required)
7. Initial Approval
Locator Map
Letter of Intent (If the project is within a Urban Design District, a summary of how
the development proposal addresses the district criteria is required)
Contextual site information, including photographs and layout of adjacent buildings/
structures
Site Plan showing location of existing and proposed buildings, walks, drives, bike
lanes, bike parking, and existing trees over 18" diameter
Landscape Plan and Plant List (must be legible)
Building Elevations in both black \& white and color for all building sides (include
mallouts)

Providing additional information beyond these minimums may generate a greater level of feedback from the Commission.

## 3. Final Approval

All the requirements of the Initial Approval (see above), plus:
$\square$ Grading Plan
$\square$ Proposed Signage (if applicable)
$\square$ Lighting Plan, including fixture cut sheets and photometrics plan (must be legible)
$\square$ Utility/HVAC equipment location and screening details (with a rooftop plan if roof-mounted)
$\square$ PD text and Letter of Intent (if applicable)
$\square$ Samples of the exterior building materials (presented at the UDC meeting)

## 4. Comprehensive Design Review (CDR) and Variance Requests (Signage applications only)

$\square$ Locator Map
$\square$ Letter of Intent (a summary of how the proposed signage is consistent with the CDR or Signage Variance criteria is required)
$\square$ Contextual site information, including photographs of existing signage both on site and within proximity to the project site
$\square$ Site Plan showing the location of existing signage and proposed signage, dimensioned signage setbacks, sidewalks, driveways, and right-of-ways
$\square$ Proposed signage graphics (fully dimensioned, scaled drawings, including materials and colors, and night view)
$\square$ Perspective renderings (emphasis on pedestrian/automobile scale viewsheds)
$\square$ Illustration of the proposed signage that meets Ch .31 , MGO compared to what is being requested.
$\square$ Graphic of the proposed signage as it relates to what the Ch. 31, MGO would permit
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September ${ }^{\text {st, }}, 2022$
City of Madison
215 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., Suite 017
Madison, WI 53701

Re: Home2 Suites \& Tru Dual Brand Hotel by Hilton
2403 East Springs Drive, Madison, WI 53704
Urban Design Commission,
We are resubmitting this package for your consideration and review to reinstate previous approvals granted during the first submittal in 2019 for a 5-story Home 2 Suites \& Tru Dual Brand Hotel by Hilton. Hawkeye Hotels will develop and operate this toptier limited service 219 guestroom hotel.

## General Description

Tru is a new brand that is simplified, spirited and grounded in value for guests with a zest for life and a desire for human connection. The Home 2 Suites is an innovative, modern approach to the midscale, extended-stay hotel market targeted at today's tech-savvy, value-oriented traveler. Together, the Home 2 Suites \& Tru dual brands will offer travelers a wonderful and exciting mix of business and pleasure.

## Site

From East Springs Drive, the site slopes up approximately 22 ' to where the building pad is situated. We are proposing to leave the existing sloped, curved entry drive as is, due to the connection to the adjacent drive at the northwest edge of the property. The excessive grades, existing shared driveway, and the required fire department access requirements around the perimeter of the hotel all necessitate that the building be located as shown, so it is pushed as close to the front of the lot as is reasonably possible, while still lending a clear and obvious entry point from the street. The Commission of the Zoning Board of Appeals previously approved the 94'-11" variance from the 100'-0" setback for a total of 194'-1"" from East Springs Drive to the building. This time, we are requesting the Zoning Board of appeals to re-approve the previous granted approval. 222 parking spaces will be provided on site for hotel occupants. Per Table 281.3, with parking requirements for hotels at . 75 per bedroom, we are providing more than the required 183 parking spaces. Since delivery of pre-packaged items and snacks will be by box truck and not by larger semi-trucks, we are once again requesting a waiver on the two (2) 10 ' $\times 50$ ' loading spaces, which was previously approved the last time.

## Architecture

The overall design shall conform to Hilton Design Standards for the Home 2 Suites \& Tru brands. In response to previous staff comments, the hotel's exterior is featuring a building base of slate grayish-brown colored brick, with fiber cement board panels in varying colors and textures utilizing vertical and horizontal reveals on the undulating facades on levels 2 through 5, as it is very important for the vertical elements on these two brands to be consistent and continuous, and limited use of exterior
insulation and finish system (EIFS) on the back (north and east) facades of the upper level only. These materials were previously approved by the Planning Commission, and once again, we are kindly requesting re-approval.

Each wing of the hotel shall employ featured brand colors and elements; the green color and lit "beacon" for Home 2 Suites and the turquois color and angled element for Tru (please refer to the renderings). To stay true to the aesthetics of each brand while meeting the City's Ordinance Requirements and the Planning Commission's previously approved materials, we are incorporating a small amount of vertical green EIFS at the Home2 Suites beacon on the South Elevation, a small amount of blue EIFS at the Tru logo on the upper level only of the South Elevation, and at the vertical multi-colored Tru elements on the West Elevation. These pops of brand colors are not available in any other material, so therefore painted EIFS is the preferred solution to meet these requirements. As a result, we have created a more interesting and vibrant building for the community.

Contemporary light fixtures will blend with the clear anodized aluminum window and storefront systems. Per City Ordinance, the building's primary (west) street facade facing East Springs Drive will incorporate at least 60\% glazing along the lineal length and at least $40 \%$ of the area of the ground floor of the facade. And the lit glass "beacon" on top of the Home 2 Suites will represent a landmark that is visible from the highway and that will shine throughout the Commercial Central District.

The trash enclosure to the rear of the site will incorporate the slate grayish-brown brick of the hotel on the exterior and will include painted metal gates.

## Previous Pre-approval Comments History

As we began, an initial meeting was held on February 07, 2019, followed by an Informational Presentation on February 27, 2019, where the overall site elements and elevations were discussed. The city officials' recommendations at the time pointed to specific items, including:

## Site

- Building location addressing proposed setback variance due to topography hardship.
- Parking seemed to be excessive.
- ADA access from East Springs Dr to the building with direct connection (ADA ramps).
- The fire pit shall comply with City requirements.


## Elevations

- Meet the form requirements for window and door ratios.
- Material requirements; EIFS only allowed on top of building as accent material.
- Design and color; avoid a cookie cutter building without color.

On May 29th, 2019, final hearing for the UDC, the improved project was presented before the Commission, accommodating the items suggested in the previous meetings:

## Site

- A contemporary design and updated plans. The building was shifted toward East Springs Drive following an approved variance for the increased setback.
- The parking was adjusted to one parking space per guestroom estimating the hotel capacity at 80\% so no employee parking was required.
- The footprint of the building was reduced to fit better on the site.


## Elevations

- Roof plans were revised to include the screening of the mechanical units
- A review of the exterior elevations showed multi-colored branding on the West elevation, change of EIFS on some areas.
- The lighting plans showed the beacon and the addition of a vertical element with multi-colored vertical branding.

After the presentation, the Commission granted Final Approval with a few suggestions / questions:

## Site

- Provide electric vehicle charging stations.
- Exchange daylilies for another species or perennial.
- Clarifying stormwater system on site; underground oversized tanks.


## Elevations

- Clarifying materials; EIFS on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the building. Need to maintain EIFS on colored areas and eliminate it on the visible areas using fiber cement.
- Add a brick corner element on the back.
- Window color: Low-E clear.
- Louver in the windows for HVAC to be the same color as window frame; integral with the window system.

After the approval, the changes were incorporated into the final permit sets, which have been approved for construction. However, the owner has been unable to pull the permit due to the expiration of the entitlement package. There have been no changes to the permit set and as soon as the entitlement package is re-approved, the contractor will be ready to begin construction once again.

18068 Home2 Suites \& Tru Hotel by Hilton - Madison, WI
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Sincerely,

## Kastytis Cechavicius

Principal

1785 Village Center Circle \#100
Las Vegas Nevada 89134
Office: +1 7024031575
Cell: +1 7022440013
www.design-cell.com
designcell


## ENTITLEMENT PACKAGE

AUGUST 22, 2022

## SHEET INDEX

DR 0.1 COVER SHEET
DR_0.2 RENDERINGS
DR_1.1 SITE PLAN / SITE DATA
DR 1.2 CONTEXTUAL SITE INFORMATION
DR_1.3 CONTEXTUAL SITE INFORMATION DR_1.4 CONTEXTUAL SITE INFORMATION DR A2.1 FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL
DR A2.2 FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 2
DR_A2.3 FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 3-5 (TYP.)
DR_A3.1 BUILDING ELEVATIONS
DR A3.2 BUILDING ELEVATIONS
DR_A3.3 BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLACK AND WHITE) DR_A3.4 BUILDING ELEVATIONS (BLACK AND WHITE) DR_A4.1 ROOF PLAN
DR A5.1 MATERIAL BOARD
DR_A5.2 PERSPECTIVE VIEWS
DR_P1.0 PHOTOMETRIC STUDY
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VIEW FROM PROPOSED SITE \#2


VIEW FROM OFF RAMP \#3
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GOOGLE EARTH IMAGES SHOWING PROPOSED NEW HOTEL ON SITE
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(2) $\operatorname{\text {NORTHELEEVATION}}$
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6 BD1
FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING
HARDIEPLANK-SMOOTH MISSION GRAY (OR SIMILAR)

7 BD2
FIBER CEMENT LAP SIDING HARDIEPLANK - SMOOTH BLACK BROWN
(OR SIMILAR)

8 FC1
FIBER CEMENT PANEL SYSTEM REVEAL PANEL SYSTEM BY JAMES HARDIE ARTIC WHITE
(OR SIMLIAR)
$9 \quad$ FC2
FIBER CEMENT PANEL SYSTEM REVEAL PANEL SYSTEM BY JAMES HARDIE BLACK BROWN
(OR SIMLLAR)

10 MTL1 ALUMINUM STOREFRONT ALUMINUM STOREFRON
AND WINDOW FRAMES

13 PT4
EIFS
PANTONE PANTONE
PMS \#2685C (NO SUBSTITUTIONS)

14 PT5
EIISS
PANTO
PANTONE
PMS PROCESS YELLOW (NO SUBSTITUTIONS)

15 PT7
EIFS
PANTONE PMS \#2255C
(NO SUBSTIUTIONS)

16 PT8
EIFS
PANTONE
PMS \#317C
PMS \#317C
(NO SUBSTITUTIONS)

MATERIAL BOARD
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FINAL GRades Shall be Established on paved surfaces by usina
SPOT grades only.
cross-slope of sidewalks shall be $2 \%$ max unless otherwis
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 Contours are shown for purposes of nolcating rouch gradina
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A Means to locate bured undercround exterior
NOE Metallic sewers Manc An watrr


 SITE CONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE SANTARY SENER
LATERLLS ROOF DRAN CONNECTONS, AND WATER SERYCES FIVE (5) FEET SHORT (HORZONTALLY) FRON




 Clean out All Existing ano proposed storm nlets
$\qquad$
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C5.0

EROSION CONTROL MEASURES
Erosion control shal be in A
WSCONSIN ADMNSTRATVE COOE.




 1057. SEDMENT REACH
OF EACH WORK DAY.

CHanneluzed runofe: from adjacent areas passing through the site shall be diverted around disturbed areas
8. STABIUED DISTVPED CROUND ANY SOL OR DIRT PIES WHICH WLL REMAN IN EXITENCE FOR WORE THAN 7 -CONSECUTVE DAYS,




See detall sheets for rip-rap sizing. in no case wll rip-rap be smaller than $3^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$



 5. Erosion mat (CLASS I, TYPE A urban per wisconsin d.o.t. p.a.l.) shall be nstalled on all slopes 3:1 or greater but less
16. EROSION MAT (CLASS L, TPEE B PER WISCONSIN D.OT. P.A.L.
._.) shall be instaled on the bottom (INvert) of roadside

 19. SLL fence to be used across areas of the lot that slope towards a public street or waterwar. See detals.
20. sebiment shall be cleaned from curb and guter after each ranfall and prior to project acceptance.
 22. ALL construction entranees shall have temporary road closed sidns that wll be in place when the entrance is not in 23. ANY PRoposed changes to THE Erosion control plan must be submited and Approved by the gity of maison and the
are
seeding rates:
 USER MLANR MEAS OR RYE AT 3.0 LB. $/ 1,000$ SF FOR FALL LANTNGS STARTED
$\frac{\text { PEEMANENT }}{1 .}$
 EERTILIING RATES:
TEMPORARY $Y$ AND PRERMANENT
USE WSCONSN D.O.T. TTPE A mulching rates:
$\qquad$



BAG TO RE CNSTRUCTED USING
CGOTEXTLE FABRC, WiSOOT TTPE
DIMENSION OF Top Openng or
BAC To MATCH INLET GRATE.
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CLASS II SLOPE INTERUPTION


 Lap jonts shall not be placed $N$ we botrou or -Shaced
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Erososon Mat over seeonc



CHANNEL EROSION MAT

CONSTRUCTION
DETAll S-1



FOLLOW WSCONSIN DNR TEChNCAL STANDARD 1057 FOR FURTHER DETALS AND INSTALLATON
2. LeNGTH - Minmum or $50^{\circ}$
3. mith - 24 ' minumu, should be flared at the exiting road to provide a turning radus,
4. on site wit A hion ground water table or wher saturate conotions exist geotexie fabric shall be placed
5. Stone - crushed $3^{\prime \prime}$ clear stone shall be placed at least 12 " deep over the entre length and with of entrance.
6. SURFACE WATER AAL SURFACE WATER LLOWNG To or diverted Toward constructon entraces shal ge ped

 OR THE MANTENANCE OF SAO PNE.


## 1 TRACKING PAD



CONSTRUCTION DETAILS - 2

WEEPERSILT FENCE
NOT TO SCALE


(1) LANDSCAPE BLOCK RETAINING WALL SYSTEM


RIBBON CURB


CURB AND GUTTER
CROSS SECTION


CURB AND GUTTER REJECT SECTION

HANDICAP RAMP GUTTER CROSS SECTION


HANDICAP RAMP
GUTTER REJECT SECTION


CONCRETE PAD


BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT


PROFILE VIEWCURB \& GUTTER TERMINATION


18" CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER
SITE PAVEMENT
(1) CURBED SIDEWALK SITE DETAIL

C6.2




## (1) CURB RAMP DETAIL


signage Notes.STANDARD SIGN
NOT TO SCALE
$\square$
}
都



5" SITE SIDEWALK


SIDEWALK CONTROL JOINT
5" SIDEWALK
NOT TO SCALE
NOT TO SCALE
vierbicher |


 HAND TRIM AROUND BELL.
DRY TRENCH CONDITION
WET OR UNSTABLE CONDITION


- Approved adhesive

TAPREOVED ADHESIIC REF: CHAP 4.2.0. $4.30 \&$ SECSTANDARD GATE VALVE BOX SETTING NOT TO SCALE


CROSS SECTION
$\underset{\substack{\text { concregte } \\ \text { BUTRESS }}}{ }$


STANDARD HYDRANT SETTING $\qquad$


PRECAST CONCRETE MANHOLE
NOT TO SCALE

STORM SEWER CLEANOUT
CONCRETE COLLAR FOR FIELD INLET


CROSS SECTION
FIELD INLET (36" DIA. BASIN)
NOT TO SCALE


CONCRETE STAIRS AND HANDRAIL

vierbicher
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CONSTRUCTION DETAlLS - 8



NOTE: IF SALTING AGENTS FOR SNOW AND ICE REMOVAL ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE ROJECT, A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. TRE GEOMEMBRANE LINER IS INTENDED TO HELP PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM T SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. PLEASE REFER TO THE
CORRUGATED METAL PIPE DETENTION DESIGN GUIDE FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.


BACKFILL REQUIREMENTS FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES OF AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN (SEC 12) AND CONSTRUCTION (SEC 26)
1 MINIMUM TRENCH WIDTH MUST ALLOW ROOM FOR PROPER COMPACTION OF HAUNCH MATERIALS UNDER THE PIPE THE MIIIMUM TRENCH WIDTH (12.6.6.1):
PIPE $\leq 122^{\prime \prime}$ D $+16^{\prime \prime}$
PIPE $>12^{\prime \prime} 1.5 \mathrm{D}+12^{\prime \prime}$
1a MINIMUM EMBANKMENT WIDTH (IN FEET) FOR INITAL FILL ENVELOPE (12.6.6.2): PIPE $<244^{\prime \prime}$. 0.0 D
PIPE $244^{-1} 144^{\prime \prime}$ PIPE $24^{4}-144^{\prime \prime}: D+4^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$
PIPE $>144^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{D}+10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$

2 THE FOUNDATION UNDER THE PIPE AND SIDE BACKFILL SHALL BE ADEQUATE TO SUPPORT THE LOADS ACTING UPON IT (26.5.2).
3 ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF BEDDING IS REQUIRED. BEDDING MATERIAL SHALL BE A RELATIVELY LOOSE MATERIAL THAT IS ROUGHLY SHAPED TO FIT THE BOTTM O O THE PIPE, AND A MINIMUM OF TWICE THE CO
PARTICLE SIZE OF ONE-HALF OF THE CORRUGATION DEPTH (26.3.8.1, 26.5 .3$)$.
4 CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE (CSP / HEL-COR).
5 HAUNCH ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE HAND SHOVELED OR SHOVEL SLICED INTO PLACE TO ALLOW FOR PROPER COMPACTION (26.5.4).
5a INTIAL BACKFILL FOR PIPE EMBEDMENT TO MEET AASHTO A-1, A-2 OR A-3 CLASSIFICATION, OR APPROVED EQUAL, COMPACTED TO 90\%
 RECOMMENDED THAT LIFTS NOT EXCEED AN 8" UNCOMPACTED LIFT HEIGHT T
THE DIAMETER OR 24" AS THE MAXIMUM DIFFERENTAL SIDE-TO-SIDE (26.5.4).
6 intial backfill above pipe may include road base material (and rigid pavement if applicable). SEe table above.
6a TOTAL HEIGHT OF COMPACTED COVER FOR CONVENTIONAL HIGHWAY LOADS IS MEASURED FROM TOP OF PIPE TO BOTTOM OF
7 FINAL BACKFILL MATERIAL SELECTION AND COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS SHALL FOLLOW THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
NOTES:
EOTES:
ENGINERR).
BUT NO LESS THAN $12^{\prime \prime}$ OR 36" FOR PIPE DIAMETERS $72^{\prime \prime}$ AND LARGER

- $\quad$ CONTACT YOUR CONTECH REPRESENTATIVE FOR NONSTANDARD SPACING (TABLE C 12.6.7-1).
TYPICAL BACKFILL DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MARK | DATE | REVISION DESCRRPTION | BY |

$\underset{\substack{\text { CRONTECH } \\ \text { DRAWNG }}}{\text { Col }}$
96"Ø UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM - 636755-010 EAST SPRINGS DRIVE REDEVELOPMENT

| 636755 | 010 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Date }} ^{\text {Date }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NDC | ${ }^{\text {DRAWN }}$ NDC |  |
| NDC |  |  |
| CHECKED: <br> NDC | Rove: |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { SHEET No. }} \mathrm{P} \text { 2 }$ | of |  |



PLAIN END CMP RISER PIPE
general notes:

1. Dellivered band style and fastener type may vary by fabrication plant
2. JOINT IS TO BE ASSEMBLED PER AASHTO BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION SEC 26.4.2.4.
3. BAND MATERIAL AND GAGE TO BE SAME AS RISER MATERIAL.
4. IF RISER HAS A HEIGHT OF COVER OF $10^{\circ}$ OR MORE, USE A SLIP JOINT
5. BANDS ARE NORMALLY FURNISHED AS FOLLows:

6. ALL RISER JOINT COMPONENTS WILL BE FIELD ASSEMbLED.
7. MANHOLE RISERS IN APPLICATIONS WHERE TRAFFIC LOADS ARE IMPOSED REQUIRE SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS.
8. Dimensions subject to manufacturing tolerances.

NOT TO SCALE


FLAT GASKET

CONNECTION DETAIL 4 RODS AND LUGS

GENERAL NOTES:
2/3"x1/2" RIVETED PIPE

1. JOINT IS TO BE ASSEMBLED PER AASHTO BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION SEC 26.4.2.4
2. BAND MATERIALS ANDIOR COATING CAN VARY BY LOCATION. CONTACT YOUR CONTECH REPRESENTATIVE FOR AVAILABILITY.
3. BANDS ARE SHAPED TO MATCH THE PIPE-ARCH WHEN APPLICABLE.
4. bands are normally furnished as follows:

- 12" THRU 48" ${ }^{1-P \text { PIECE }}$
- 102 "THRU 144" 3 -PIECES

5. BAND FASTENERS ARE ATTACHED WITH SPOT WELDS, RIVETS OR HAND WELDS
6. ALL CMP IS REROLLED TO HAVE ANNULAR END CORRUGATIONS OF $22 / 3^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$
7. DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO MANUFACTURING TOLERANCES.
8. ORDER SHALL DESIGNATE GASKET OPTION, IF REQUIRED (SEE DETAILS ABOVE).

10-C BAND DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| cmama |  |  |  |  |
| 込 |  | DATE | REVISION DESCRPPTION | BY |



CHENTESH
CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
PROPOSAL

96"Ø UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM - 636755-010 EAST SPRINGS DRIVE REDEVELOPMENT

SITE DESIGNATION: P1 - WEST DETENTION

| (elect | 010 04/06/2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| NDC | NDC |  |
| NDC | vep: |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{T N O}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4 |




## WIER PLATE B6

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY APPROVES THE ATTACHED
(4) PAGES INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING:

- PIPE STORAGE $=17,008$ CF

MAINLINE PIPE GAGE $=16$

- WALL TYPE = SOLID
- DIAMETER = 72
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - } & \text { FINISH }=\text { ALT2 } \\ \text { - } & \text { CORRUGATION }=5 \times 1\end{array}$

ASSEMBLY SCALE: $1^{1 "}=30^{\prime}$
PIPE STORAGE: 17,008 C LOADING: H20 PIPE INV. $=905.56^{\prime} \pm$

NOTES
ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLIN
ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATIONS OF RISERS AND INLETS, SHALL BE VERIFIED
BY THE ENGINER OF RECORD (EOR) PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A99
ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE $22_{3}$ " $\times 1 / 2$ " CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE

- RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE AS REQUIRED, BY CONTRACTOR.

QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES GOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE FOR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO
EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTUES OUR SYSEM AS EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUR SYSTEM AS DETAILED PROVIDES NOMINAL
 ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED TIIS THE RESPONSIBLIITY OF THE CONTRACTOR
ALL ACCESS CASTINGS ARE THE RESPONSIBLITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ARE NOT - ALL ACCESS CASTINS AR
SUPPLED BY CONTECH.


CUSTOMER

CewNTECH
www.ContechES.com


72"Ø UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM - 636755-020 EAST SPRINGS DRIVE REDEVELOPMENT

MADISON, WI
SITE DESIGNATION: P2 - EAST



NOTE: IF SALTING AGENTS FOR SNOW AND ICE REMOVAL ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT, A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. TR GEOMEMBRANE LINER IS INTENDED TO HELP PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM T
POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM A CHANGE IN THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. PLEASE REFER TO THE
CORRUGATED METALPIPE DETENTION DESIGN GUIDE FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.


BACKFILL REQUIREMENTS FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES OF AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN (SEC 12) AND CONSTRUCTION (SEC 26)
MIIIMUM TRENCH WIDTH MUST ALLOW ROOM FOR PROPER COMPACTION OF HAUNCH MATERIALS UNDER THE PIPE. HE MINIMUM TRENCH WIDTH (12.6.6.1)

1a MINIMUM EMBANKMENT WIDTH (IN FEET) FOR INITIAL FILL ENVELOPE (12.6.6.2)
PIPE < 24": 3.0D
IPE $24^{4 \prime}-144^{\prime \prime}: D+40^{\prime \prime}$
PIPE $>144^{\prime \prime}: D+10^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$
THE FOUNDATION UNDER THE PIPE AND SIDE BACKFILL SHALL BE ADEQUATE TO SUPPORT THE LOADS ACTING UPON IT (26.5.2)
3 ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF BEDDING IS REQUIRED. BEDDING MATERIAL SHALL BE A RELATIVELY LOOSE MATERIAL THAT IS ROUGHLY SHAPED TO FIT THE BOTTOM OF THE PIPE, AND A MINIMUM OF TWICE THE CORRUGATION DEPTH IN THICKNESS, WITH THE MAXIMUM

CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE (CSP / HEL-COR).
5 HAUNCH ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE HAND SHOVELED OR SHOVEL SLICED INTO PLACE TO ALLOW FOR PROPER COMPACTION (26.5.4).
5a INTIAL BACKFILL FOR PIPE EMBEDMENT TO MEET AASHTO A-1, A-2 OR A-3 CLASSIFICATION, OR APPROVED EQUAL, COMPACTED TO $90 \%$
STANDARD PROCTOR (T 99) MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIZE NOT TO EXCEED 3 " (12.4.12) ALL LIFTS PLACED IN A CONTROLLED MANNER ITIS STANDARD PROCTOR (T 999). MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIIZ NOT TO EXCEEE D"" (12.4.1.2). ALL LIFTS PLACED IN A CONTROLLED MANNER. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT LIFTS NOT EXCEED AN 8 " UNCOMPACTED LIFT HEIGHT
THE DIAMETER OR 24" AS THE MAXIMUM DIFFERENTAL SIDE-TO-SIDE (26.5.4).
6 INITIAL BACKFILL ABOVE PIPE MAY INCLUDE ROAD BASE MATERIAL (AND RIGID PAVEMENT IF APPLICABLE). SEE TABLE ABOVE.
6a TOTAL HEIGHT OF COMPACTED COVER FOR CONVENTIONAL HIGHWAY LOADS IS MEASURED FROM TOP OF PIPE TO BOTTOM OF
FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR TOP OF RIGID PAVEMENT (12.6.6.3).
7 FINAL BACKFILL MATERIAL SELECTION AND COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS SHALL FOLLOW THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
NOTES:
ENES:
ENGINER TO DETERMINE IF GEOTEXTLLE SHOULD BE USED TO PREVENT SOIL MIGRATION INTO VARYING SOIL TYPES (PROJECT
ENGINER)

- FOR MULTIPLE BARREL INSTALLATIONS THE RECOMMENDED STANDARD SPACING BETWEEN PARALLEL PIPE RUNS SHALL BE PIPE DIA. 2

BUT NO LESS THAN 12", OR 36" FOR PIPE DIAMETERS 72" AND LARGER.
CONTACT YOUR CONTECH REPRESENTATIVE FOR NONSTANDARD SPACING (TABLE C12.6.7-1).
TYPICAL BACKFILL DETAIL NOT TO SCALE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MARC | DATE | REVIIION DESCRRPTION | BY |



PLAIN END CMP RISER PIPE
general notes:

1. DELIVERED BAND STYLL AND FASTENER TYPE MAY VARY BY FABRICATION PLANT.
2. JOINT IS TO Be ASSEMBLED PER AASHTO BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION SEC 26.4.2.4.
3. BAND MATERIAL AND GAGE TO BE SAME AS RISER MATERIAL.
4. IF RISER HAS A HEIGHT OF COVER OF 10 OR MORE, USE A SLIP JOINT.
5. BANDS ARE NORMALLY FURNISHED AS FOLLOWS:

6. ALL RISER JOINT COMPONENTS WILL BE FIELD ASSEMBLED.
7. MANHOLE RISERS IN APPLICATIONS WHERE TRAFFIC LOADS ARE IMPOSED REQUIRE SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS.
8. Dimensions subject to manufacturing tolerances.

NOT TO SCALE


FLAT GASKET

CONNECTION DETAIL 4 RODS AND LUGS

GENERAL NOTES:
2 2/3"x1/2" RIVETED PIPE

1. JOINT IS TO BE ASSEMBLED PER AASHTO BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION SEC 26.4.2.4
2. BAND MATERILLS AND/OR COATING CAN VARY BY LOCATION. CONTACT YOUR CONTECH REPRESENTATIVE FOR AVALLABLITY.
3. BANDS ARE SHAPED TO MATCH THE PIPE-ARCH WHEN APPLICABLE.
. BANDS ARE NORMALLY FURNISHED AS FOLLOWs:

- ${ }^{12 " 10}{ }^{2}$ THRU 48" 1 1-PECE
${ }^{54}{ }^{102 " \text { THRU }}$ THRU 144" 2 -PIECES 3 -PIECES

5. BAND FASTENERS ARE ATTACHED WITH SPOT WELDS, RIVETS OR HAND WELDS
6. ALL CMP IS REROLLED TO HAVE ANNULAR END CORRUGATIONS OF 2 2/3"x12"
7. Dimensions are subject to manufacturing tolerances.
8. ORDER SHALL DESIGNATE GASKET OPTION, IF REQUIRED (SEE DETALLS ABOVE).

10-C BAND DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE

| 636755 | ${ }^{\text {an No.: }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sgene: | ${ }^{\text {Drawn }}$ NDC |  |
| NDC |  |  |
| KED: | aproove: |  |
| NDC |  |  |
| P3 | of | 4 |





PLANT SCHEDULE

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cont } \\ & \hline 8888 \\ & 8888 \\ & 8888 \\ & 8888 \end{aligned}$ |  | SIZE |  | oriv <br>  <br>  <br> 5 <br> 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { EVERGREEN TREES }}{} \\ & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | cat | $\frac{5175}{6 \cdot \mathrm{ht}}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{\rho \pi r}{2}}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { REMARKS }}{40-60^{{f37322ea2-d0e5-4046-94cb-3cbca29ef187}}} \\ & 6-8^{{f67cc7133-1fc3-4b95-a1ac-b1f6c0450aaf}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME Acer $x$ freemanii / Freeman Maple Gleditsia triacanthos / Honey Locust Ulmus $x$ / Hybrid Elm |  | cal | SIZE |  |  | reman |
| Unoerstory tres $\substack{c \\ \text { co } \\ \text { MR }}$ SR |  |  |  | Sl2E |  | $\frac{\text { orv }}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { REMARKS }}{20^{{fb09832ec-15ca-4c60-bb2c-3c293d29dc82}}} \\ & 20-30^{{f4f137653-fab4-489c-82e9-6f449ad08806}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\frac{\text { BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME }}{\text { Cornus sericea `Alleman`s Compact` / Dwarf Red Twig Dogwood }}$  Diervilla lonicera / Dwarf Bush Honeysuckle  Hydrangea paniculata `Jane` / Little Lime Hydrangea  Physocarpus opulifolius / Ninebark  Rhus aromatica `Gro-Low` / Gro-Low Fragrant Sumac Sambucus canadensis / Elderberry  Syringa mey 'Anthony Waterer Spraea  Viburnum carlesiii `Spice Island` / Korean Spice Viburnum  Viburnum prunifolium / Blackhaw Viburnum \end{tabular} &  &  & Helo3 & &  &   \hline Evergeten shuves Jht jht Ix Ix &  &  &  & Helo & &  &   \hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}      Matteuccia struthiopteris / Ostrich Fern Panicum virgatum `Heavy Metal` / Blue Switch Grass Perovskia atripicifolia / Russian Sage Schizachyrium scoparium / Little Bluestem Grass Sporobolus heterolepis / Prairie Dropseed |  |  | FILD 3 |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { crouno covers }}{\text { ar }}$ |  |  | Hello |  | $\frac{\text { Spacing }}{18{ }^{\text {coic }}}$ | $\frac{071}{162}$ |  |

## general notes:




 fincl acceeptance.
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