

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

	Jame Corner Field Operations	Agency Landscape & Planning	Sasaki	Smith Group	Olin	Rodgers Partners	Saiki / SWA*	RDG Planning and Design	Edgewater Resources**	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Civitas	Coen + Partners	Port	Confluence
AA	90	88	80	70	60	85	43	65	63	68	53	40	52	41
AS	85	90	80	62	58	70	40	72	77	38	40	40	50	49
AW	85	88	86	84	87	76	74	69	57	79	68	69	61	65
CC	86	95	79	82	90	65	95	90	95	56	56	67	56	80
CMF	84	68	75	80	70	72	69	78	66	63	65	64	63	55
CSO	95	52	95	95	95	95	52	52	29	52	52	29	29	29
JK	93	87	93	88	78	93	88	88	85	85	63	61	72	84
JR	91	92	93	94	94	91	93	88	0	92	82	77	90	60
MS	89	87	74	85	83	75	82	82	80	74	70	81	72	69
NLE	88	78	76	74	72	69	59	63	68	66	63	63	39	54
RD	90	89	79	84	87	76	80	81	71	67	74	71	78	73
RS	47	42	44	46	47	45	41	46	47	40	42	40	40	40
Combined Total	85.3	79.7	79.5	78.7	76.8	76.0	73.0	72.8	67.1	65.0	60.7	58.5	58.5	58.3

* Averaged total include local vendor preference

**Average divisor adjusted for abstention

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED - Qualifications and Capacity

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

Qualifications and Capacity Category														
	Jame Corner Field Operations	Agency Landscape & Planning	Sasaki	Smith Group	Rodgers Partners	Olin	RDG Planning and Design	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Saiki / SWA	Edgewater Resources*	Civitas	Port	Coen + Partners	Confluence
AA	40	40	30	20	40	20	20	30	10	20	20	20	10	10
AS	36	40	32	25	31	24	30	15	15	30	15	20	15	20
AW	38	36	38	37	36	38	30	33	32	25	34	25	30	30
CC	40	40	35	35	30	39	38	30	40	40	30	30	32	38
CMF	38	28	33	38	29	28	36	26	30	33	29	28	30	25
CSO	40	20	40	40	40	40	20	20	20	10	20	10	10	10
JK	40	40	40	37	40	30	35	40	37	35	25	30	25	37
JR	39	40	39	40	38	40	38	39	39	0	39	38	35	20
MS	38	38	34	37	34	37	37	35	36	35	32	33	36	32
NLE	37	35	35	30	25	30	25	25	22	25	30	20	25	25
RD	39	39	36	36	30	39	35	30	36	30	32	32	32	32
RS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg. Score for Category 1	38.6	36.0	35.6	34.1	33.9	33.2	31.3	29.4	28.8	28.3	27.8	26.0	25.5	25.4

*Average divisor adjusted for abstention

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED - Previous Related Experience

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

Previous Related Experience Category	Jame Corner Field Operations	Agency Landscape & Planning	Smith Group	Olin	Rodgers Partners	Sasaki	RDG Planning and Design	Saiki / SWA	Edgewater Resources*	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Civitas	Confluence	Coen + Partners	Port
AA	20	20	15	10	20	15	15	10	15	15	10	10	5	10
AS	20	20	15	12	15	15	15	10	16	10	8	12	8	10
AW	19	20	17	18	15	18	14	15	12	16	14	12	14	13
CC	20	20	17	18	15	17	17	20	20	10	10	17	15	10
CMF	18	13	15	16	14	15	14	15	11	15	13	11	11	12
CSO	20	10	20	20	20	20	10	10	5	10	10	5	5	5
JK	18	20	18	18	18	20	20	18	20	16	15	18	15	15
JR	19	20	20	20	19	19	19	19	0	20	15	15	12	20
MS	18	19	18	17	16	16	17	17	16	15	14	13	18	15
NLE	19	15	18	18	18	15	15	15	15	18	15	10	15	5
RD	19	19	19	19	16	15	17	15	15	14	16	16	15	18
RS	20	17	20	20	20	20	20	18	20	18	17	18	18	17
Avg. Score for Category 2	19.2	17.8	17.7	17.2	17.2	17.1	16.1	15.2	15.0	14.8	13.1	13.1	12.6	12.5

*Average divisor adjusted for abstention

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED - Technical Competency

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

Technical Competency Category	Jame Corner Field Operations	Sasaki	Smith Group	Rodgers Partners	Agency Landscape & Planning	Olin	RDG Planning and Design	Edgewater Resources*	Saiki / SWA	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Civitas	Coen + Partners	Confluence	Port
AA	20	20	20	15	15	15	15	15	10	10	10	15	10	10
AS	20	20	12	15	20	10	15	16	10	10	10	10	8	12
AW	17	17	18	16	19	18	14	13	16	19	12	15	14	15
CC	15	17	17	12	20	18	20	20	20	10	10	12	18	10
CMF	15	16	16	18	16	14	15	13	13	13	14	11	11	14
CSO	20	20	20	20	10	20	10	5	10	10	10	5	5	5
JK	20	18	19	20	15	18	18	15	18	16	12	12	18	15
JR	19	20	19	19	20	20	18	0	20	19	18	19	15	18
MS	19	15	17	15	18	17	16	17	17	16	15	17	16	15
NLE	19	18	18	18	10	10	15	18	5	15	18	15	15	10
RD	19	18	18	18	19	17	18	16	18	12	16	15	16	17
RS	20	18	20	20	19	20	20	20	17	17	19	18	18	20
Avg. Score for Category 3	18.6	18.1	17.8	17.2	16.8	16.4	16.2	15.3	14.5	13.9	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.4

*Average divisor adjusted for abstention

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED - Equitable Design

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

Equitable and Inclusive Design Category														
	Olin	Jame Corner Field Operations	Sasaki	RDG Planning and Design	Smith Group	Edgewater Resources*	Agency Landscape & Planning	Rodgers Partners	Saiki / SWA	Civitas	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Coen + Partners	Confluence	Port
AA	10	7	10	10	10	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	7
AS	7	4	8	7	5	10	5	4	3	5	0	4	5	4
AW	9	8	9	7	7	5	9	6	6	6	8	7	6	6
CC	10	6	6	10	8	10	10	6	10	4	4	6	5	4
CMF	9	9	8	9	7	6	8	7	7	6	6	8	6	6
CSO	10	10	10	7	10	4	7	10	7	7	7	4	4	4
JK	7	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	6	10	6	7	8
JR	9	9	10	8	10	0	7	10	10	7	9	8	8	9
MS	8	9	6	7	8	8	8	6	7	6	6	7	5	6
NLE	5	8	5	5	3	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	3	3
RD	7	8	6	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	6	6	7
RS	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	5	6	6	5	4	4	3
Avg. Score for Category 4	8.2	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.2	6.3	6.3	6.0	5.6	5.6

*Average divisor adjusted for abstention

RFQ 10082 - Lake Monona Waterfront Design Challenge

LMW Ad-hoc Committee RFQ Scoring

Reviewer: COMBINED - Local Planning and Design Category

Date submitted: 6/21/2022

Local Planning and Design Category	Jame Corner Field Operations	RDG Planning and Design	Saiki / SWA	Smith Group	Agency Landscape & Planning	Olin	Edgewater Resources*	Sasaki	Rodgers Partners	Port	W Architecture and Landscape Architecture	Civitas	Coen + Partners	Confluence
AA	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	3
AS	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	3	4
AW	3	4	5	5	4	4	2	4	3	2	3	2	3	3
CC	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
CMF	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	2
CSO	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
JK	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	3	4
JR	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	3	3	2
MS	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	3	3
NLE	5	3	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	1
RD	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
RS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg. Score for Category 5	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.36	4.27	4.2	4.2	3.91	3.45	3.45	3.27	3.18	2.91

*Average divisor adjusted for abstention