



Overview: Homeless by Other Statute in Dane County

Johneisha Prescott, Housing Strategy Specialist
Dane County - Division of Housing Access & Affordability

Scope

Gather population, accessibility, funding as well as gaps and needs data on households experiencing *homelessness by other federal statute*.

- *Short term goals*

- *Connecting with local community agencies (LCAs)*
- *Population data collection*
- *Information & Discovery*
- *Gaps & Needs*

- *Long term goals*

- *Establishing on-going systems to monitor population and progress*
- *Find stable funding sources*
- *Policy recommendations to support access*
- *Creation of vulnerability assessment*

Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) Defined Categories of Homelessness

Category 1

- “Literally” homeless
 - Living on the street or a place not meant as functional living space
 - Living in a shelter/shelter program

Category 2

- Imminent risk of homelessness
 - Households who are likely to lose their current stable housing and be forced into a literally homeless episode

Category 4

- Fleeing/Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence
 - Has no alternative stable residence outside of with their abuser

Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) Defined Categories of Homelessness

Category 3 Homeless

- *Unaccompanied youth under 25 years of age, or families with Category 3 children and youth, who do not otherwise qualify as homeless under this definition, but who:*
 - *Are defined as homeless under the other listed federal statutes;*
 - *Have not had a lease, ownership interest in permanent housing during the 60 days prior to the homeless assistance application;*
 - *Have experienced persistent instability as measured by two moves or more during in the preceding 60 days; and*
 - *Can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time due to special needs or barrier*

Meeting with LCAs/Information & Discovery

- ☐ Dedicated resources/funding

- ☐ Housing programs that offer financial or rental support

- ☐ Comprehensive/wrap around service coordination

- ☐ Front end: housing navigation and readiness

- ☐ On-going: case management

- ☐ Longer service provision

- ☐ Landlord Engagement


- ☐ Incentive measures

- ☐ Good tenant programs

Gaps & Needs

- ❑ Lack of resources for households experiencing homelessness by other statute
 - ❑ Limitations due to HUD funding
 - ❑ Program requirements for rent-supporting income levels
 - ❑ Communities that do not specifically target non-literal homelessness and/or are
 - dependent on centrally located resources.

Population Data Collection



County	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Milwaukee	12,397	10,958	13,836
Dane	5,627	4,397	6,857
Kenosha	1,864	1,293	2,435
Racine	1,207	636	1,778
Brown	1,113	618	1,608
Rock	1,007	574	1,440
Winnebago	919	559	1,279
Waukesha	721	346	1,096
La Crosse	648	171	1,125
Marathon	592	337	847
Outagamie	564	181	947
Walworth	390	199	581
Sheboygan	27	0	71

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

So we estimate doubled-up homeless in Dane County to be about 5,600 and feel confident it is between 4,400 and 6,850.

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

Population Data Collection

PUMA	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Madison City (Central)	4,228	3,141	5,315
Dane County (East)	517	242	792
Dane County (West)	882	374	1,390

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

Population Data Collection

Demographic	Description	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Ethnicity	Not Hispanic	5,262	4,073	6,451
Ethnicity	Hispanic - Mexican	365	49	681
Race	White	4,118	3,065	5,171
Race	Black/African American	622	170	1,154
Race	American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-
Race	Asian or Pacific Islander	825	420	1,230
Race	Other race, nec	-	-	-
Race	Two major races	22	0	58
Race	Three or more major races	-	-	-

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

Population Data Collection

Demographic	Description	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Educational attainment	Grade school (nursery – 8 th grade)	201	42	360
Educational attainment	High school (9 th – 12 th grade)	1,242	737	1,747
Educational attainment	Some college (1-3 years of college)	3,124	2,185	4,063
Educational attainment	4 years of college	833	295	1,371
Educational attainment	5+ years of college	227	0	475
Sex	Male	3,752	2,673	4,831
Sex	Female	1,875	1,284	2,466
Marital status	Married, spouse present	-	-	-
Marital status	Married, spouse absent	97	0	238
Marital status	Separated	12	-	32
Marital status	Divorced	113	27	199
Marital status	Widowed	66	0	133
Marital status	Never married/single	5,339	4,122	6,556

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

Population Data Collection

Demographic	Description	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Age	0-18	548	165	931
Age	19-24	4,175	3,101	5,249
Age	25-29	555	129	981
Age	30-39	31	1	61
Age	40-49	12	0	32
Age	50-59	129	48	210
Age	60-69	102	0	245
Age	70-79	46	0	96
Age	80 and older	29	0	77
Schooling	N/A	55	2	108
Schooling	No, not in school	1,340	805	1,875
Schooling	Yes, in school	4,232	3,125	5,339

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

Population Data Collection

Demographic	Description	Estimate	Lowest Est. ¹	Highest Est. ¹
Age	19-24	4,175	3,101	5,249
Schooling	Yes, in school	4,232	3,125	5,339
Educational attainment	Some college (1-3 years of college)	3,124	2,185	4,063
College	Likely college student	2,942	2,098	3,786
College	Unlikely college student	2,685	1,788	3,582

Because we do not have information from every person in Dane County, there is some uncertainty within the data. This uncertainty is accounted for in the 90% confidence interval. To interpret charts in the report, you can think of it as:

We believe a good guess is shown in the “estimate” column but we are even more sure (90% sure) that the exact number lies between the “lowest estimate” and “highest estimate.”

¹ Lowest and highest estimates show the minimum and maximum of the 90% confidence interval.

What's Next...

- On-going data collection to complete the story
 - Department of Public Instruction (DPI) data and other sources
- More outreach to LCAs
 - Learning what resources are available
 - Supporting coordination
- More investigation to help make policy recommendations on County-level projects
- Vulnerability Assessment Tool
- Any concerns, issues, or areas for collaboration

Questions

prescott.johneisha@countyofdane.com

608.320.8339