Historic Preservation Ordinance Revisions:

Landmarks Commission

May 9, 2022



LORC _

1) LORC 1

- "Front End" revisions
- Began 2014
- Ordinance adopted 2015

2) LORC 2

- District specific revisions
- Began 2017



Madison City Council upholds Landmarks Commission denial of project in historic district

Dean Mosiman | Wisconsin State Journal Apr 9, 2014 💂



Edgewater developer appeals, calls Landmarks decision flawed

Dean Mosiman | 608-252-6141 | Dec 3, 2009







Local Historic Districts





Process

- Initial public meetings in each district (15)
 - Mansion Hill (3)
 - Third Lake Ridge (3)
 - University Heights (3)
 - Marquette Bungalows (3)
 - First Settlement (3)
- LORC meetings (35)
- Final virtual public meetings (3)
 - All districts
 - Contractors & development professionals
 - New construction
- BCCs
 - Economic Development
 - Housing Strategies
 - Landmarks



Goals of Ordinance Revision _

- 1) Comply with State Statutes (2018)
- 2) Create consistent standards across all districts
- 3) Incorporate current preservation standards and practices
- 4) Make ordinance easier to understand
- 5) Clarify the approval process



Current Ordinance _

Alternations	Mansion	Third Lake		University		Marquette	First	
Alterations	Hill	Ridge		Heights		Bungalows	Settlement	
	1111	F	C	R	TR-C	TR-V	Dungalows	Settlement
Building Form		_			111.0	TIV V		
Proportions of width toheight in facades	Х							
Proportions and relation of width to height of doors andwindows	X			Х				
Proportion and rhythmof solids to voids	X		Х	Х				
Directional Expression	X							
Compatible Height	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Compatible GrossVolume	X		A	A				
Rhythm of buildingsand masses	A							
Chimneys		\vdash					х	Х
Retain Original Historic			Х	Х	Х	Х	A	X
Architecture								
Retain historical roofappearance			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Restoration					X			A
Residing					X	Х	Х	Х
Alterations to visiblestreet facades					X	X	A	X
Alteration to non-visible facades		m			X	X		
Dormers and other roofalterations							Х	Х
Windows and doors –general standards		m					X	X
Windows and doors –street facades		m					X	A
Windows and doors –non-street side facades		m					X	
Windows and doors –Rear facades							X	
Porches		m					X	
Additions and other alterations		m					X	Х
Foundations							X	X
Tuckpointing and brickrepair							X	X
Decks		m						X
Entrance Doors		m						X
Double or MultipleDoors								X
Storm Windows andDoors							Х	X
Lighting Fixtures								X
Shutters								Х
Repairs								Х
Alterations to Post-1930 Structures								Х
Site						,		
Landscape Treatment				Х				
Parking lots					Х	Х		
Fences							Х	Х
Retaining Walls in FrontYards								Х
Accessory Structures								
Accessory Structures								Х
Other								
2 nd exits					Х		Х	
Skylights							X	Х
Accessibility Ramps								X
Fire Escapes andRescue Platforms								X
Permanently InstalledAir Conditioners								X
4.0	MADIS							,



Current Ordinance ____

New Construction	Mansion Hill	Third Lake			University Heights	Marquette Bungalows	First Settlement
		E	С	R	TR-C TR-V		
Building Form							
Proportions of widthto height in facades	Х						Х
Proportions and relation of width toheight of doors and windows	Х			Χ		X	Х
Proportion and rhythm of solids tovoids	Х		Х	Χ		X	Х
DirectionalExpression	Х		Х	Χ			X
Compatible Height	X	Χ		Χ	X	X	X
Compatible GrossVolume	X	Χ	Χ	Χ			
Rhythm of buildings and masses and spaces			Χ	Χ			Χ
Visual Size					Х		
Scale						Χ	X
Architecture							
Design of the roof			Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х
Materials Used in theStreet Facade			Х	Χ		Χ	
Façade Design							Х
Materials, Patternsand Textures				Χ	X		
Architectural Details						Χ	
Roof Materials					Х		Х
Siding Materials							X
Windows and Doors							
Site							
Landscape Treatment				Χ			
Parking lots					Х		
Fences						Х	X
Retaining Walls inFront Yards							Х
Setbacks, side yards and other visiblefeatures						Х	
Accessory Structures							
Accessory Structures					Х	Х	Х
Other							



Case Studies _____

Cases by District	Landmarks Commission Review	Administrative Review	TOTAL
First Settlement	3	24	27
Marquette Bungalows	4	22	26
Mansion Hill	12	111	123
Third Lake Ridge	113	263	376
University Heights	73	197	270
TOTAL	205	617	822

Cases by Type	Landmarks Commission Review	Administrative Review	TOTAL
New Construction – principal building	3	0	3
New Construction – accessory building	26	0	26
Alteration (includes additions)	152	616	768
Demolition – principal building	4	0	4
Demolition – accessory building	15	1	16
Land Division	5	0	5
TOTAL	205	617	822



Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Landmarks Commission recommends to Common Council to adopt the ordinance as proposed.

