## UW19 Proposal Ranking: Technical Score

|  | SEH |  |  |  |  | Strand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluation Member/ <br> Ranking Criteria | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Avg. | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Avg. |  |
| Project Understanding | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9.0 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8.4 |  |
| Proposed Project Team | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9.0 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8.2 |  |
| Team <br> Experience/Qualifications | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9.0 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8.6 |  |
| Completeness \& Overall <br> Quality of Proposal | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9.2 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8.0 |  |
| Local Vendor Preference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Considerations |  | 36 | 39 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 2}$ |  |
| TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE <br> (40 MAX.) | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Each member of the evaluation committee independently reviewed and ranked each proposal on its technical merits
- Every member of the committee ranked SEH's technical proposal higher than Strand's though individual scores differed by criteria and totals.


## UW19 Proposal Ranking: Cost Score

| Cost Score <br> Breakdown | SEH |  |  |  | Strand |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Task Cost Hours | Hours |  |  |  | Amount | $\$ /$ Hr. | Score | Hours |
| Amount | \$/Hr. | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prelim. Design | 1109 | $\$ 170,182$ | $\$ 153.46$ |  | 955 | $\$ 155,191$ | $\$ 162.50$ |  |
| Final Design | 2109 | $\$ 341,216$ | $\$ 161.79$ |  | 1795 | $\$ 279,542$ | $\$ 155.73$ |  |
| Bidding Servc. | 201 | $\$ 28,511$ | $\$ 141.85$ |  | 56 | $\$ 11,634$ | $\$ 207.75$ |  |
| Construction Servc. | 1799 | $\$ 240,091$ | $\$ 133.46$ |  | 1645 | $\$ 282,397$ | $\$ 171.67$ |  |
| TOTAL/AVG: | 5218 | $\$ 780,000$ | $\$ 147.64$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 4451 | $\$ 728,764$ | $\$ 174.41$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ |

- The team considered each proposal's submitted total number of hours, number of hours per task, cost per hour, as well as total cost.
- Both proposals were under the \$859,000 budget.
- SEH was given a higher cost score because their proposal allocated more hours per task at a lower dollar amount per hour.


## UW19 Proposal Ranking: Final Score

|  | RAW SCORES <br> (1-10) |  | WEIGHTED <br> SCORES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Criteria | Weight | SEH | Strand | SEH | Strand |
| Project Understanding | $15 \%$ | 9.0 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 12.6 |
| Proposed Project Team | $15 \%$ | 9.0 | 8.2 | 13.5 | 12.3 |
| Team <br> Experience/Qualification <br> Completeness and <br> Overall Quality of <br> Local Vendor Preference | $20 \%$ | 9.0 | 8.6 | 18.0 | 17.2 |
| Cost Considerations | $35 \%$ | 9.2 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 8.0 |
| TOTAL SCORE: |  |  |  |  | 89.2 |

- The combined criteria scores and weightings allow a maximum final score of 100.
- SEH's final score was 89.2
- Strand's final score was 84.7

