

From: [Stu Levitan](#)
To: [Erica Fox Gehrig](#); [christina slattery](#); [Rummel, Marsha](#); [michael rosenblum](#); [Jason Fowler](#); [David McLean](#); [Zellers, Ledell](#)
Cc: [Scanlon, Amy](#); [Strange, John](#)
Subject: Landmarks Ordinance
Date: Monday, June 23, 2014 1:21:35 PM
Attachments: [Sec. \(12\) Standards for CoA.docx](#)

Commissioners

In trying to fix the sign section, I realized that the current draft provides standards for considering a Certificate of Appropriateness for construction, demo and signs, but not the three other things for which a CoA is required under the draft (land divisions/combinations, alteration of additional preservation restrictions and abrasive cleaning methods.) If we have the power of a CoA, we need to have a standard to apply. Attached is my attempt at providing a standard for considering CoAs for signs and the three new elements. I've used qualitative terms from current (12) and (13), but a new "shall approve unless" standard for land divisions/combinations and additional restrictions, because LC should bear the burden of establishing the CoA would cause harm before denying, and "only approve if" for abrasive cleaning methods. I've kept the the standards for exterior alteration/construction and demolition/removal as in the current draft.

I've also included a proposed addition to (17) (b) regarding waivers.

Please do not Reply All with any comments.

See you this afternoon.

Thanks,
Stu