AGENDA#	
---------	--

CITY OF MADISON, WISCONSIN

AN ORDINANO	DE	PRESENTED January 4, 2005	
		REFERRED CC Mtg. 1-18-05	
_	tions 7.48(6) and (7) of the		
	ral Ordinances to recognize the	REREFERRED	
fertilizer contain	County ordinance pertaining to ning phosphorus, to incorporate ons for consistency, and to clarify	REPORTED BACK	
	o conflicts between the	ADOPTED POF	
	federally approved label	RULES SUSPENDED	
instructions.		PUBLIC HEARING	
	_	* * *	
Drafted by:	James M. Voss	MAYOR SIGNED	
Dianoa by.	Assistant City Attorney	PUBLISHED	
		* * * *	
Date:	December 21, 2004		
		APPROVAL OF FISCAL NOTE IS NEEDED BY THE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE	
Fiscal Note:	No expenditure necessary.	Approved By	
CDONCODO:	Ald Madda and Malda		
SPONSORS:	Ald. Markle and Webber	Comptroller's Office	
		* * * *	
		ORDINANCE NUMBER	
		ID NUMBER	

The Common Council of the City of Madison do hereby ordain as follows:

- 1. Subsection (6) of Section 7.48 entitled "Regulations on the Sale and Use of Fertilizers" of the Madison General Ordinances is amended to read as follows:
- "(6) It shall be unlawful for any person to display or distribute for retail sale lawn and turf fertilizer containing more than a trace of phosphorus. This prohibition shall apply to the fertilizer content of a product that combines fertilizer with a pesticide. Signs may be posted advising customers that lawn and turf fertilizer containing phosphorus is available upon request for uses permitted by sub. (7)(a). This prohibition shall only apply when the retail sale is to a resident of the City of Madison.
- 2. Subsection (7) entitled "Exceptions" of Section 7.48 entitled "Regulations on the Sale and Use of Fertilizers" of the Madison General Ordinances is amended to read as follows:
- "(7) Exceptions.
 - (a) Subsection (3) shall not apply when:
 - a tissue, soil or other test by UW-Extension Laboratory or another approved <u>state-certified</u> soil-testing laboratory and performed within the last three years indicates that the levels of available phosphorus in the soil is insufficient to support healthy turf growth, as determined by the University of Wisconsin

Approved as to form:

06/05/12-D:\InSite\Files\MADI\Attachments\111.doc 04/MPM/04-1872

- Extension Service, provided that the proposed lawn and turf fertilizer application shall not contain an amount of phosphorus exceeding the amount and rate of application recommended in the soil test evaluation;
- 2. the property owner or an agent of the property owner is first establishing or reestablishing turf via seed or sod procedures, and only during the first growing season.
- (b) Any person who applies a lawn and turf fertilizer containing phosphorus pursuant to the aforementioned exceptions shall, consistent with the product label instructions, water such lawn and turf fertilizer into the soil where it is immobilized and generally protected from loss by runoff."
- (c) Subsection (6) regarding the prohibition of the distribution of lawn and turf fertilizer containing phosphorus shall not apply when the customer states:
 - a tissue, soil or other test by UW-Extension Laboratory or another approved state-certified soil-testing laboratory and performed within the last three years indicates that the levels of available phosphorus in the soil is insufficient to support healthy turf growth, as determined by the University of Wisconsin Extension Service;
 - 2. the property owner or an agent of the property owner is first establishing or reestablishing turf via seed or sod procedures, and only during the first growing season."

EDITOR'S NOTE: This ordinance makes three minor housekeeping amendments. The first is to recognize the corresponding County ordinance and to eliminate two inconsistencies between City and County requirements regarding sale and display of affected products. The second clarifies what standards for soil testing are required to determine whether available soil phosphorus is insufficient, consistent with the County ordinance and limits application of fertilizer containing phosphorus under this exception to the recommendation derived from the soil test evaluation. The third is to clarify that there are no conflicts between the ordinance watering requirement and federally approved label instructions, and that the two can and should be compatible with each other.