

## REGARDING THE CRITERIA OF REASONABLENESS AND BENEFIT

This report was prepared and submitted to satisfy the requirements that the City is required to show that the assessments will benefit the properties assessed in the Crossroads Drive-High Crossing Blvd. Traffic Signal Assessment District as per a recent Wisconsin State Supreme Court Decision (Berkvam vs. The City of Glendale, 79 Wis, 2d 279.255 NW 251 (1979)).

### Criteria of Reasonableness

It is the opinion of this office that the assessments are, in fact, reasonable. The assessments have been calculated in accordance with the Madison General Ordinances Section 4.09 for assessing costs for street improvements. The cost of these signals is assessed to the various parcels based on the estimated trips generated by each parcel.

### The Properties Against which the Assessments are proposed are Benefited as follows:

The installation of traffic signals will effect orderly traffic movement through an appropriate assignment of right-of-way. The proposed traffic signal is needed to accommodate existing development and to minimize traffic impacts on the surrounding transportation system. The proposed improvement will provide positive benefit and traffic relief for all of the land uses in the assessment district. In particular, the proposed traffic signal at Crossroads Drive and High Crossing Blvd. will interrupt traffic flow on High Crossing Blvd. at intervals to allow traffic on Crossroads to enter High Crossing Blvd.

Installation of this traffic signal will provide better access for the employees, customers, and other traffic associated with the commercial land uses in this assessment district.