STAFF REVIEW OF PROPOSALS FOR YEAR 2009-2010 COMMUNITY/NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

| | COMMUNITY/NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUNDS | | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Project Name/Title: | SRO Suppo | ort Services | | | |
| 2. | Agency Name: | Porchlight, | Inc. | | | |
| 3. | Requested Amount: | \$11,200 \$11,200 | 2009 2010 plus | COLA | | |
| 4. | Project Type: | ☐ New | □ Continuing | (Prior Year Level \$5,600) | | |
| 5. | Framework Plan Objective Mo | ost Directly | Addressed by | Proposed Activity: | | |
| | A. Housing – Owner-occ B. Housing – Housing fo D. Housing – Rental hou E. Business Developme creating jobs F. Business Developme business | r homebuye Ising nt – Busines | rs | Strengthening Madison's Neighborhoods – Civic places Strengthening Madison's Neighborhoods – Comprehensive revitalization Access to Community Resources – Low/moderate income persons seeking housing Access to Community Resources – Homeless services Access to Community Resources – Capital facilities | | |
| 6. | Product/Service Description: Supportive services are available for all residents of the Brooks Street SRO facility with the intent to address barriers to self-sufficiency. This is considered permanent housing; support services are available but are not required as a condition for living in one of the SRO units. Services include connecting to outside and in-house clinics and workshops for issues such as mental illness and AODA issues, as well as responding to the needs of individual residents. | | | | | |
| 7. | Anticipated Accomplishments (Numbers/Type/Outcome): 75% of 100 residents will take advantage of the support services available to them in order to increase their changes of maintaining stable housing (75 individuals) and 75% of 100 residents will maintain stable housing at the Brooks Street facility for twelve months or more. | | | | | |
| | Total Cost/To | otal Benefic | iaries Equals: | \$124,308 / 75 individuals = \$1,657 | | |
| | CD Office Funds/CD-Eligi | ble Benefic | iaries Equals: | \$11,200 / 75 individuals = \$44 | | |
| | CD Office Funds as Per | centage of | Total Budget: | 9% | | |
| 0 | Staff Daview (content strongs | ha huaalma | | | | |

8. Staff Review (content, strengths/weaknesses, issues):

The CDBG Office has had a long collaboration with Porchlight, funding both support services and capital projects. This proposal is currently funded with city funds as part of a larger contract for support services at Porchlight's scattered housing sites and Brooks Street After Hours. This is a \$5,600 increase from previous years reflects the combined increased costs in the three separate proposals – Brooks Street After Hours, SRO Support Services and Housing Operations/Case Management.

Date of Review: 6/19/08 Staff Reviewer Sue Wallinger

| Technical and Regulatory Issues | Project information |
|--|--|
| Within unit, capital, mortgage limits | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Within Subsidy layering limits | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Environmental Review issues | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Eligible project | ⊠ yes □ no |
| Conflict of interest | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Church/State issues | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Accessibility of program | ⊠ yes □ no |
| Accessibility of structure | |
| Lead-based paint issues | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Relocation/displacement | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Zoning restrictions | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Site and Neighborhood Standard/Issues | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Inclusionary Zoning Unit: Enhancement / Benefits | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Fair Labor Standards | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Vulnerable populations | ⊠ yes □ no |
| Matching Requirement | \boxtimes yes \square no One for one match for ESG funds |
| Period of Affordability for HOME funds | ☐ yes ☐ no NA |
| Supplanting issues | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Living wage issues | ⊠ yes □ no |
| MBE goal | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Aldermanic/neighborhood communication | ☐ yes ⊠ no |
| Management issues: | ☐ yes ⊠ no |