

City of Madison, Wisconsin

REPORT OF: URBAN DESIGN COMMISSION **PRESENTED:** July 27, 2016

TITLE: 115 South Paterson Street – Public Project,
Vehicle Storage Renovation and Material
Storage Building, 4th Ald. Dist. (37547) **REFERRED:**
REREFERRED:

REPORTED BACK:

AUTHOR: Alan J. Martin, Secretary **ADOPTED:** **POF:**

DATED: July 27, 2016 **ID NUMBER:**

Members present were: Richard Wagner, Chair; Sheri Carter, Cliff Goodhart, Richard Slayton, Tom DeChant and Dawn O’Kroley.

SUMMARY:

At its meeting of July 27, 2016, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL** of a vehicle storage renovation and material storage building located at 115 South Paterson Street for the Madison Water Utility. Appearing on behalf of the project were Richard Lundeen, representing Madison Water Utility; and Stacey Keller, representing Mead & Hunt.

Discussion was as follows:

- Your building is setback and you have 5-feet of concrete wrapping around the perimeter north and west. Couldn’t you tie your site fence into that building and use your building as the site fence for that corner?
 - They’re looking to be able to still plow around the whole site, that’s the reason they decided to go with this.
- It seems like you’re asking for maintenance and you’re not getting any function.
 - There are also turn-around issues, backing up equipment and dropping off material too.
- Just to the west and the north of the building, not impacting any of your traffic flow, looking at tying the fence into the building and using the building as the fence. Reducing your impervious surface to only where you need to physically drive, and then looking at allocating some of the resources that are going into this project for landscaping and fencing, and moving it to your employee parking lot on the corner of Paterson and Main Streets, as opposed to putting all these resources into this landscaping around this edge, just to the southwest of this corner piece. It’s your staff parking lot, it is in dire need of a new fence and some landscaping attention.
 - That is right now temporary site parking.
- You’re putting in a lot of cost when really there’s another area that could use that attention.

ACTION:

On a motion by O’Kroley, seconded by Slayton, the Urban Design Commission **GRANTED FINAL APPROVAL**. The motion was passed on a vote of (5-1) with Carter voting no. The motion provided for consideration and discussion with staff to more appropriately allocate the (landscaping) resources and to reduce the impervious paving.