PLANNING UNIT REPORT DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT of May 9, 2006

RE: I.D. #03615, Demolition Permit – 5402 Whitcomb Drive

- 1. Requested Action: Approval of a demolition permit for a single-family residence at 5402 Whitcomb Drive.
- 2. Applicable Regulations: Section 28.04 (22) provides the guidelines and regulations for the approval of demolition permits. The proposed use of the property post demolition will be three single-family lots to be created by Certified Survey Map. Section 16.23, Subdivision Regulations, provides the requirements for land divisions.
- 3. Report Prepared By: Timothy M. Parks, Planner

GENERAL INFORMATION:

- 1. Applicant & Property Owner: Susan Peters, Peterscott, LLC; N60 W34698 Forest Bay Road; Oconomowoc.
- 2. Development Schedule: The applicant wishes to begin demolition as soon as all necessary approvals have been obtained.
- 3. Parcel Location: An approximately 0.75-acre parcel located at the northwest corner of Loruth Terrace and Whitcomb Drive; Aldermanic District 20; Madison Metropolitan School District.
- 4. Existing Conditions: The site is developed with an existing single-family residence, zoned R1 (Single-Family Residence District).
- 5. Proposed Use: The applicant wishes to demolish the residence to allow division of the property into three lots by approval of a Certified Survey Map. It is anticipated that the three proposed lots will each be developed with single-family residences.
- 6. Surrounding Land Use and Zoning: The subject site is generally surrounded by other single-family residences in R1 (Single-Family Residence District) zoning, with Orchard Ridge Park east of the property across Loruth Terrace.
- 7. Adopted Land Use Plan: The <u>Comprehensive Plan</u> identifies this area for low-density residential uses.
- 8. Environmental Corridor Status: This property is not located within a mapped environmental corridor. The public parklands to the east are identified on the corridor maps.
- 9. Public Utilities & Services: This property is served by a full range of urban services.

(1)

ID #03615 5402 Whitcomb Drive May 9, 2006 Page 2

STANDARDS FOR REVIEW:

This application is subject to the demolition standards of Section 28.04 (22).

ANALYSIS, EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION:

The applicant is requesting approval of a demolition permit to demolish an existing two-story, single-family residence located on approximately 0.75-acres at the northwest corner of Loruth Terrace and Whitcomb Drive. City records indicate the house, which has 3,616 square feet of living area, was built in 1955 with additions added subsequently. A gravel drive extends from Loruth Terrace to serve an attached garage. The property is a heavily wooded parcel with approximately 106.5 feet of frontage along Whitcomb Drive and 307 feet of frontage along Loruth Terrace. The subject site is located in the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, which is generally characterized by single-family residences on large lots. The lots in immediate vicinity of the subject property generally range in size between a third-acre and an acre. The site and surrounding neighborhood are zoned R1 (Single-Family Residence District).

The letter of intent as well as a number of photos of the existing residence suggests that the home has been severely neglected over the course of a number of years by previous owners. A windshield survey by the Planning Unit found the exterior home to be in a deteriorated state and the site to be overgrown. More significantly, the Inspection Unit was required to take enforcement action in Dane County Circuit Court in 2003 and 2004 against the former owners resulting from their keeping between 100 and 150 cats on premises and the impact it was having on the condition of the property and the surrounding neighborhood. The letter of intent submitted by the applicants suggests that it would be financially infeasible to rehabilitate the property.

The new owners wish to demolish the house to facilitate the division of the property into three lots by Certified Survey Map. The lots will ultimately be developed with individual single-family residences per R1 bulk requirements. The three lots will be oriented towards Loruth Terrace, with lot frontages of 100 feet for the northern two lots and 106.8 feet for the southernmost lot adjacent to Whitcomb Drive. All three lots will be 106.7 feet deep. The size of the three lots more than adequately conform to minimum R1 zoning requirements, which require at least 65 feet of lot frontage and 8,000 square feet of lot area for each lot. The three lots proposed also generally maintain the average lot width present in the surrounding area, which largely features 100-foot minimum lot widths. The proposed lots, however, will be much shallower than the majority of lots in the area, which have depths ranging between 160 feet and 300 feet.

The proposed demolition permit for this single-family residence is unique from the vast majority of demolition permits reviewed by the Plan Commission in recent years. Typically, the request to demolish a building is accompanied by specific plans for the building(s) that will replace the demolished building. The demolition standards grant the Plan Commission the ability to review the proposed use of a property following a demolition, which in this case is the subdivision of the site into three lots by Certified Survey Map. Ordinarily, a land division request such as the one proposed would be reviewed administratively by staff to ensure conformance with various City regulations, including but not limited to the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations.

ID #03615 5402 Whitcomb Drive May 9, 2006 Page 3

As noted above, the lots more than amply comply with the minimum lot dimensions required in R1 zoning. In general, the lots also comply with the minimum design criteria in the Subdivision Regulations. A passage in those criteria requires that "the size, shape and orientation of the lots shall be appropriate for the location of the subdivision and for the type of development and use contemplated." The Comprehensive Plan, which identifies the site and surrounding area for low-density residential uses, encourages infill development to be "compatible with established neighborhood character" and to be consistent with an adopted neighborhood plan for the area, for which one does not exist for this established area of the City.

In this case, the three lots to be created will comply with the R1 requirements in the Zoning Ordinance, and the three new houses will need to comply with the setbacks and other bulk requirements of the district. The applicant proposes to create lots that appear to mirror the prevalent minimum lot width elsewhere in the neighborhood. The proposed lot widths will likely result in a pattern of home locations on those lots that continues the general rhythm of building facades along Loruth Terrace. However, the proposed lots will not be as deep or as large in area compared to most of the lots in the surrounding area along and east of Gilbert Road, though a 10,000 square-foot lot was created by a City-approved in 1987 on the western end of the same block at 1129 Gilbert Road. The Plan Commission should give consideration to the overall size of the proposed lots when considering the proposed use of the property following demolition and the impacts it may have on the "normal and orderly development...of the surrounding properties" as directed in the demolition standards.

In the event the Plan Commission approves the demolition permit, a reuse and recycling plan approved by the Recycling Coordinator will be required prior to the issuance of wrecking permit.

RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Unit recommends that the Plan Commission find that the demolition standards are met and **approve** demolition of single-family residence at 5402 Whitcomb Drive and division of the property into three lots subject to input at the public hearing and the comments from reviewing agencies on both the demolition permit and the proposed Certified Survey Map.

CITY OF MADISON INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

Date: May 5, 2006

To:

Plan Commission

From:

Kathy Voeck, Assistant Zoning Administrator

Subject:

5402 Whitcomb Dr., Demolition and CSM

Present Zoning District:

R-1

Proposed Use:

Demolish a house, divide the lot into three single family lots

Conditional Use:

28.04(22) Demolition of a principal building requires Plan Commission

approval.

MAJOR OR NON-STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS (Comments which are special to the project and/or may require additional work beyond a standard, more routine project). **NONE.**

GENERAL OR STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS

1. Note: Site plans will be reviewed when the future lots will be developed. Remove the existing driveways until future development occurs.

ZONING CRITERIA

Bulk Requirements	Required	Proposed
Lot Area	8,000 sq. ft.	10,668 sq. ft. +
Lot width	65'	100'.+
Usable open space	1,300 sq. ft.	
Front yard	30'	
Side yards	6' 1 story, 7' 2-story	
Rear yard	40'	
Building height	2 stories/35'	

Site Design	Required	Proposed
Number parking stalls	1	

Other Critical Zoning Items		
Historic District	No	
Landmark building	No	
Flood plain	No	
Utility easements	None shown	

With the above conditions, the proposed project does comply with all of the above requirements.

 $F: \label{linear_loss} F: \label{linear_los$



CITY OF MADISON FIRE DEPARTMENT

Fire Prevention Division

325 W. Johnson St., Madison, WI 53703-2295 Phone: 608-266-4484 • FAX: 608-267-1153

n	Δ	٦	Г	F	•
	_	. 1		┖	_

5/5/06

TO:

Plan Commission

FROM:

Edwin J. Ruckriegel, Fire Marshal

SUBJECT:

5402 Whitcomb Dr. (demolish house & subdivide)

The City of Madison Fire Department (MFD) has reviewed the subject development and has the following comments:

MAJOR OR NON-STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS (Comments which are special to the project and/or may require additional work beyond a standard, more routine project.)

1. None.	

GENERAL OR STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS

In addition, we offer the following General or Standard Review Comments:

2. All portions of the exterior walls of newly constructed one- and two-family dwellings shall be within 500-feet of at least one fire hydrant. Distances are measured along the path traveled by the fire truck as the hose lays off the truck. See MGO 34.20 for additional information.

Please contact John Lippitt, MFD Fire Protection Engineer, at 608-261-9658 if you have questions regarding the above items.

CC:

John Lippitt



Department of Public Works City Engineering Division

608 266 4751

Deputy City Engineer

Robert F. Phillips, P.E.

Larry D. Nelson, P.E. City Engineer

Principal Engineers Michael R. Dailey, P.E. Christina M. Bachmann, P.E. John S. Fahrney, P.E. David L. Benzschawel, P.E. Gregory T. Fries, P.E.

City-County Building, Room 115 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard Madison, Wisconsin 53703 608 264 9275 FAX 608 267 8677 TDD

Operations Supervisor Kathleen M. Cryan

Hydrogeologist Joseph L. DeMorett, P.G.

GIS Manager David A. Davis, R.L.S.

DATE:

May 1, 2006

TO:

Plan Commission

FROM:

Larry D. Nelson, P.E

SUBJECT:

5402 Whitcomb Drive - Demolition

The City Engineering Division has reviewed the subject development and has the following comments.

MAJOR OR NON-STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS (Comments which are special to the project and/or may require additional work beyond a standard, more routine project.)

City Robbert Alles

1	Each	lot sh	all have	a	separate	sanitary	lateral.
١.		IUL SH	ali Have	, a	3chai aic	Samual y	lateral.

GENERAL OR STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS

and Engineering Division records.

Name: 5402 Whitcomb Drive - Demolition

In addition, we offer the following General or Standard Review Comments:

Engineering Division Review of Planned Community Developments, Planned Unit Developments and Conditional Use Applications.

General The construction of this building will require removal and replacement of sidewalk, curb and gutter and possibly 1.1 other parts of the City's infrastructure. The applicant shall enter into a City / Developer agreement for the improvements required for this development. The applicant shall be required to provide deposits to cover City labor and materials and surety to cover the cost of construction. The applicant shall meet with the City Engineer to schedule the development of the plans and the agreement. The City Engineer will not sign off on this project without the agreement executed by the developer. The developer shall sign the Developer's Acknowledgement prior to the City Engineer signing off on this project. The site plan shall identify lot and block numbers of recorded Certified Survey Map or Plat. 1.2 The site plan shall include all lot/ownership lines, existing building locations, proposed building additions, П 1.3 demolitions, parking stalls, driveways, sidewalks (public and/or private), existing and proposed signage, existing and proposed utility locations and landscaping. The site plan shall identify the difference between existing and proposed impervious areas. 1.4

The site plan shall reflect a proper street address of the property as reflected by official City of Madison Assessor's

The site plan shall include a full and complete legal description of the site or property being subjected to this

Right of Way / Easements

application.

1.5

1.6

10

` L] 2	.1	The Applicant shall Dedicate a foot wide strip of Right of way along	
] 2	.2	The Applicant shall Dedicate a foot wide strip of Right of Way along	
] 2	3	The Applicant shall Dedicate a Permanent Limited Easement for grading and sloping feet wide along	
С] 2	.4	The City Engineer has reviewed the need for pedestrian and bicycle connections through the development and finds that no connections are required.	
] 2	2.5	The Applicant shall Dedicate a Permanent Limited Easement for a pedestrian / bicycle easement feet wide from to	
] 2	2.6	The Developer shall provide a private easement for public pedestrian and bicycle use through the property running from to	
] 2	2.7	The developer shall be responsible for the ongoing construction and maintenance of a path within the easement. The maintenance responsibilities shall include, but not be limited to, paving, repairing, marking and plowing. The developer shall work with the City of Madison Real Estate Staff to administer this easement. Applicable fees shall apply.	
S	treets and	d Side	ewalks	
С] 3	3.1	The Applicant shall execute a waiver of notice and hearing on the assessments for the improvement of [roadway] in accordance with Section 66.0703(7)(b) Wisconsin	
			Statutes and Section 4.09 of the MGO.	
E] 3	3.2	Value of sidewalk installation over \$5000. The Applicant shall Construct Sidewalk to a plan approved by the City Engineer along	
] 3	3.3	Value of sidewalk installation under \$5000. The Applicant shall install public sidewalk along The Applicant shall obtain a Street Excavation Permit for the sidewalk work, which is available from the City Engineering Division. The applicant shall pay all fees associated with the permit including inspection fees. All work must be completed within six months or the succeeding June 1, whichever is later.	
] 3	3.4	The Applicant shall execute a waiver of their right to notice and hearings on the assessments for the installation of sidewalk along [roadway] in accordance with Section 66.0703(7)(b) Wisconsin Statutes and Section 4.09 of the MGO.	
Е	3	3.5	The Applicant shall grade the property line along to a grade established by the City Engineer. The grading shall be suitable to allow the installation of sidewalk in the future without the need to grade beyond the property line. The Applicant shall obtain a Street Excavation permit prior to the City Engineer signing off on this development.	
Σ	3 3	3.6	The Applicant shall close all abandoned driveways by replacing the curb in front of the driveways and restoring the terrace with grass.	
	3	3.7	Value of the restoration work less than \$5,000. When computing the value, do not include a cost for driveways. Do not include the restoration required to facilitate a utility lateral installation. The Applicant's project requires the minor restoration of the street and sidewalk. The Applicant shall obtain a Street Excavation Permit for the street restoration work, which is available from the City Engineering Division. The applicant shall pay all fees associated with the permit including inspection fees.	
Е] 3	3.8	The Applicant shall make improvements to in order to facilitate ingress and egress to the development. The improvement shall include a (Describe what the work involves or strike this part of the comment.)	
] 3	3.9	The Applicant shall make improvements to The improvements shall consist of	
C		3.10	The approval of this Conditional Use does not include the approval of the changes to roadways, sidewalks or utilities. The applicant shall obtain separate approval by the Board of Public Works and the Common Council for the restoration of the public right of way including any changes requested by developer. The City Engineer shall complete the final plans for the restoration with input from the developer. The curb location, grades, tree locations, tree species, lighting modifications and other items required to facilitate the development or restore the right of way shall be reviewed by the City Engineer, City Traffic Engineer, and City Forester.	
E] ;	3.11	The Applicant shall provide the City Engineer with a survey indicating the grade of the existing sidewalk and street. The Applicant shall hire a Professional Engineer to set the grade of the building entrances adjacent to the public right of way. The Applicant shall provide the City Engineer the proposed grade of the building entrances. The City Engineer shall approve the grade of the entrances prior to signing off on this development.	
	J ;	3.12	The Applicant shall replace all sidewalk and curb and gutter which abuts the property which is damaged by the construction or any sidewalk and curb and gutter which the City Engineer determines needs to be replaced because it is not at a desirable grade regardless of whether the condition existed prior to beginning construction.	(v
[_ ;	3.13	The Applicant shall obtain a privilege in streets agreement for any encroachments inside the public right of way.	

	3.14	The Applicant shall provide the City Engineer with the proposed soil retention system to accommodate the restoration. The soil retention system must be stamped by a Professional Engineer. The City Engineer may reject or require modifications to the retention system.
	3.15	The Applicant shall complete work on exposed aggregate sidewalk in accordance with specifications provided by the city. The stone used for the exposed aggregate shall be approved by the City. The Construction Engineer shall be notified prior to beginning construction. Any work that does not match the adjacent work or which the City Construction Engineer finds is unacceptable shall be removed and replaced.
	3.16	All work in the public right-of-way shall be performed by a City licensed contractor.
Storm W	Vater Ma	anagement
	4.1	The site plans shall be revised to show the location of all rain gutter down spout discharges.
	4.2	Storm sewer to serve this development has been designed and constructed. The site plans shall be revised to identify the location of this storm sewer and to show connection of an internal drainage system to the existing public storm sewer.
	4.3	The plan set shall be revised to show a proposed private internal drainage system on the site. This information shall include the depths and locations of structures and the type of pipe to be used.
	4.5	The applicant shall show storm water "overflow" paths that will safely route runoff when the storm sewer is at capacity.
	4.6	The applicant shall demonstrate compliance with Section 37.07 and 37.08 of the Madison General Ordinances regarding permissible soil loss rates. The erosion control plan shall include Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) computations for the construction period. Measures shall be implemented in order to maintain a soil loss rate below 7.5-tons per acre per year.
	4.7	The City of Madison is an approved agent of the Department of Commerce. This proposal contains a commercial building and as such, the City of Madison is authorized to review infiltration, stormwater management, and erosion control on behalf of the Department of Commerce. No separate submittal to Commerce or the WDNR is required.
	4.8	This development includes multiple building permits within a single lot. The City Engineer and/or the Director of the Inspection Unit may require individual control plans and measures for each building.
	4.9	If the lots within this site plan are inter-dependent upon one another for stormwater runoff conveyance, and/or a private drainage system exists for the entire site an agreement shall be provided for the rights and responsibilities of all lot owners. Said agreement shall be reviewed and placed on file by the City Engineer, referenced on the site plan and recorded at the Dane Co Register of Deeds.
	4.10	Prior to approval, this project shall comply with Chapter 37 of the Madison General Ordinances regarding stormwater management. Specifically, this development is required to:
		 □ Detain the 2 & 10-year storm events. □ Detain the 2, 10, & 100-year storm events. □ Control 40% TSS (20 micron particle). □ Control 80% TSS (5 micron particle). □ Provide infiltration in accordance with NR-151. □ Provide substantial thermal control. □ Provide oil & grease control from the first 1/2" of runoff from parking areas. Stormwater management plans shall be submitted and approved by City Engineering prior to signoff.
	4.11	The plan set shall be revised to show more information on proposed drainage for the site. This shall be accomplished by using spot elevations and drainage arrows or through the use of proposed contours. It is necessary to show the location of drainage leaving the site to the public right-of-way. It may be necessary to provide information off the site to fully meet this requirement.
	4.12	A portion of this project comes under the jurisdiction of the US Army Corp of Engineers and WDNR for wetland or flood plain issues. A permit for those matters shall be required prior to construction on any of the lots currently within the jurisdictional flood plain.
	4.13	The Applicant shall submit, prior to plan sign-off, digital CAD files to the Engineering Program Specialist in the Engineering Division (Lori Zenchenko). The digital copies shall be to scale and represent final construction.
		CAD submittals can be either AutoCAD (dwg) Version 2001 or older, MicroStation (dgn) Version J or older, or Universal (dxf) formats and contain the following data, each on a separate layer name/level number:
		a) Building Footprints b) Internal Walkway Areas c) Internal Site Parking Areas d) Other Miscellaneous Impervious Areas (i.e. gravel, crushed stone, bituminous/asphalt, concrete, etc.) e) Right-of-Way lines (public and private) f) Lot lines

g) Lot numbers h) Lot/Plat dimensions i) Street names NOTE: Email file transmissions preferred |zenchenko@cityofmadison.com . Include the site address in this transmittal. NR-151 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code will be effective on October 1, 2004. Future phases of this project shall comply with NR 151 in effect when work commences. Specifically, any phases not covered by a Notice of Intent (NOI) received from the WDNR under NR-216 prior to October 1, 2004 shall be responsible for compliance with all requirements of NR-151 Subchapter III. As most of the requirements of NR-151 are currently implemented in Chapter 37 of the Madison General Ordinances, the most significant additional requirement shall be that of infiltration. NR-151 requires infiltration in accord with the following criteria. For the type of development, the site shall comply with one of the three (3) options provided below: Residential developments shall infiltrate 90% of the predevelopment infiltration amount, 25% of the runoff from the 2-year post development storm or dedicated a maximum of 1% of the site area to active infiltration practices. Commercial development shall infiltrate 60% of the predevelopment infiltration amount, 10% of the runoff from the 2-year post development storm or dedicate a maximum of 2% of the site area to active infiltration practices. The applicant shall submit, prior to plan sign-off, digital PDF files to the Engineering Division (Jeff Benedict or 4.15 Tim Troester). The digital copies shall be to scale, and shall have a scale bar on the plan set. PDF submittals shall contain the following information: a) Building footprints. b) Internal walkway areas. c) Internal site parking areas. d) Lot lines and right-of-way lines. e) Street names. f) Stormwater Management Facilities. g) Detail drawings associated with Stormwater Management Facilities (including if applicable planting plans). The Applicant shall submit prior to plan sign-off, electronic copies of any Stormwater Management Files 4.16 including: a) SLAMM DAT files. b) RECARGA files. c) TR-55/HYDROCAD/Etc... d) Sediment loading calculations If calculations are done by hand or are not available electronically the hand copies or printed output shall be scanned to a PDF file and provided. **Utilities General** The Applicant shall obtain a Street Excavation permit for the installation of utilities required to serve this project. 5.1 The Applicant shall pay the permit fee, inspection fee and street degradation fee as applicable and shall comply with all the conditions of the permit. The applicant shall obtain all necessary sewer connection permits and sewer plugging permits prior to any utility 5.2 All proposed and existing utilities including gas, electric, phone, steam, chilled water, etc shall be shown on the 5.3 5.4 The applicant's utility contractor shall obtain a connection permit and excavation permit prior to commencing the storm sewer construction. The site plans shall be revised to show the location of existing utilities, including depth, type, and size in the 5.5 adjacent right-of-way. The developer shall provide information on how the Department of Commerce's requirements regarding treatment 5.6 of storm water runoff, from parking structures, shall satisfied prior to discharge to the public sewer system.

Sanitary Sewer

Prior to approval of the conditional use application, the owner shall obtain a permit to plug each existing sanitary sewer lateral that serves a building that is proposed for demolition. For each lateral to be plugged the owner shall deposit \$1,000 with the City Engineer in two separate checks in the following amounts: (1). \$100 non-refundable deposit for the cost of inspection of the plugging by City staff; and (2). \$900 for the cost of City crews to perform the plugging. If the owner elects to complete the plugging of a lateral by private contractor and the plugging is inspected and approved by the City Engineer, the \$900 fee shall be refunded to the owner.

Additionally, information shall be provided on which system (storm or sanitary) the pipe shall be connected to.

8.2 All outstanding Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District (MMSD) and City of Madison sanitary sewer connection

charges are due and payable prior to connection to the public sewerage system.

6.3 Each unit of a duplex building shall be served by a separate and independent sanitary sewer lateral.

 \boxtimes

6.4 The site plan shall be revised to show all existing public sanitary sewer facilities in the project area as well as the size and alignment of the proposed service.



Traffic Engineering Division

David C. Dryer, City Traffic Engineer

Madison Municipal Building 215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard P.O. Box 2986 Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2986 PH 608/266-4761 TTY 608/267-9623 FAX 608/267-1158

May 4, 2006

TO:

Plan Commission

FROM:

David C. Dryer, P.E., City Traffic Engineer

SUBJECT:

5402 Whitcomb Drive - Demolish - House & Subdivide into 3 Single Family

Lots

The City Traffic Engineering Division has reviewed the subject development and has the following comments.

MAJOR OR NON-STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS (Comments which are special to the project and/or may require additional work beyond a standard, more routine project.)

1. None

GENERAL OR STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS

In addition, we offer the following General or Standard Review Comments:

2. None

Please contact John Leach, City Traffic Engineering at 267-8755 if you have questions regarding the above items:

Contact Person: Scott Matthews

Fax:

Email: scottmadwi@yahoo.com

DCD:DJM:dm



Department of Public Works **Parks Division**

Madison Municipal Building, Room 120 215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard

P.O. Box 2987

Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2987

PH: 608 266 4711 TDD: 608 267 4980 FAX: 608 267 1162

May 9, 2006

TO:

Plan Commission

FROM:

Simon Widstrand, Parks Development Manager

SUBJECT:

5402 Whitcomb Drive

1. Total Park Fees for two added lots = \$5,458.72, which shall be paid prior to signoff on the CSM. (Fee in lieu of dedication = \$1914/lot. Park Development Fee = \$815.36/lot).

Please contact Simon Widstrand at 266-4714 or awidstrand@cityofmadison.com if you have questions regarding the above items.



May 9, 2006

Madison Plan Commission P.O. Box 2985 Madison, WI 53761-2925

Plan Commission:

Recently, the Orchard Ridge Community Club (ORCC) was made aware of the proposal to demolish a home at 5402 Whitcomb Drive, and subdivide the lot into three smaller ones. The home in question apparently has serious problems, including at one time the presence of a large quantity of animal filth. Its removal appears to be justified.

However, the proposed plan to subdivide the lot into three smaller lots raises the important concern that these new lots are substantially smaller than most of the others in the immediate area, and that this is inconsistent with the longstanding design and character of the neighborhood. Although lots of the proposed sizes technically meet the minimum requirements for R1 lot size, they are not supportive of the intent and purpose of the initial and future development concept and lot design for Orchard Ridge. ORCC therefore opposes the current plan.

When the Orchard Ridge development was initially conceived, the idea was to create a neighborhood of lots that would be larger than those in other areas closer to city central. Open areas. Green spaces with ample woodland were central to the neighborhood concept, and plots and plats were created to support this concept. A number of current Orchard Ridge residence property deeds actually contain restrictions or provisions that disallowed sub-division. This was done specifically to prevent changes in the area that would be contrary to the open character of the neighborhood.

The neighborhood in the immediate vicinity of 5402 Whitcomb Drive is the oldest neighborhood in Orchard Ridge, where homes were first constructed in 1949. It also consists of the largest lots in Orchard Ridge and one of only a very few neighborhoods in all of Madison with lots of this size. Of 117 lots in the immediate area (bounded by Gilbert Rd, Hammersley Rd., Loruth Terr. and Whitcomb Dr.), the mean lot size is 27,191 square feet and the median is 23,082 square feet. The proposed area of each of

the three new lots proposed (10,899 square feet) would be only 40% of the immediate neighborhood mean.

This is not a neighborhood of high-end homes. It has a wide range of home values and styles, but most are modest homes of average value. Residents value and appreciate the variety and diversity of their neighborhood. The one thing they do have in common, which attracted most of them to this neighborhood, is large lots and ample space between homes, including green space and woods. This neighborhood character has attracted families for over 50 years, and is still attracting young families, as a neighborhood where they can afford to invest, but have room to grow with their families through the years. The spacious yards provide ample space for children to play, for seniors to garden and walk, and for the variety of wildlife frequently seen in the neighborhood, including deer, fox, coyote, turkeys, owls and hawks.

The ORCC has nothing against high density. ORCC is not globally opposed to land division or plat sub-division in Madison in general, or even in Orchard Ridge in particular if neighbors in the affected area view the change as an improvement. But each case must be viewed individually. There is a place for everything, and Madison has always been a community that has offered a wide variety of living options for its residents. There are very few neighborhoods left in Madison that offer reasonably valued homes in a neighborhood with substantial open space. This is the unique character of the neighborhood the current residents chose, invested in, and expected to be maintained. It's what many families are looking for. Let's preserve this neighborhood option for present and future residents of Madison.

Please oppose the demolition and land division proposal that would allow 5402 Whitcomb Drive to be subdivided into three lots. Alternate plans that may result in establishing 1 or 2 residences at this site may be an acceptable alternative that ORCC could support depending on actual plan details and support from our membership and the neighborhood at large

We would further request that as the City proceeds with its proposal to revamp the City zoning codes, that it give consideration to restrictions on subdividing lots in established neighborhoods where the subdivision would be substantially inconsistent with the character or that neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Dan Gregory, President Orchard Ridge Community Club

We, the undersigned residents of the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, oppose the proposed land division and home demolition at 5402 Whitcomb Drive in our neighborhood:

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this
 neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a
 neighborhood such as ours.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone
	GORDON GARNET		
	ett Gamma Starna		
	in AHREDO KODRIGIES		
14 June Fran	Clin June Franklin	5214 LORUTH TE	RR. 271-5753
1 slavi) 9 12	Slos Ca 5	213 Court to	<u> 271-4882</u>
6 MARTHA F	Dankwith 5 Exam Lance Alston	5210 Loruth	11. 277-7935
7 Land at	exen Lunca Alston	5205 Lorus G	701 511-0466
	Julie Wilmot		
2 Jeanne Helle	of Jann Kler	5157 Losyth	Ter 277.9065
11 Kan Mers	ev 5	5150 Coruth 1e	r. 273-3092
12 Ser 97, 12	Shell LEON SKILLE	5145 South Ter	n 271-4780
13 Marian	Skill MARIAN SK	ILLE 5/45 LORGITA	1 271-4780
14 Lovey Lymphy	y Xarey Humphrey	5142 LoruthTer	273-0523
15 Paul W Hayle	y Xarey Humphrey Paur Humphrey	514Z CONSONTON	775-0583
//	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

(Þ

On Dates Capul 392006 Page 1 of 3

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood. We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone
Fage Blue	Faye Blasius	5138 Loruth Te	<u> 273-479</u> 0
		5125 Louth Je	vr. 271-2688
DICIZ MA	TH 150W	5209 hor	4TH Ten 277.1491
Hathlen 3 Morganth.	Mathum		
Worn Mathes	- D-NNA MATHISO	N 5-209 LOKE	aTH Tenr 271-1491
Cherry Knobels	och Cheryl Knobe	Loch 53doLor	with Ten 271-1491
A laller Rasche	e William Blaschk	5217 Groth	Per 271-9329
Jisa Haas	/ LISA HAAS	34 whitcom	o Circle 277-8972
Shore Supa	Lenore Tupa	5305 Lorusk	Tear 274-9245
Fred Dodding	Fred Godding	5305 Lorut	hTerr 852-6816
The He	Lisa Ske	5301 Coru-	4 Ten 273-4829
Wondy Rods	11 Janda Rodrique	2 5218 Lorg	th Ter. 273-2392
3			16
(Dander Rober (kil) Gardon M. Gamero	2 Jeanne J. Carne	11 april 290	May! 2006 page 2 of 3
	1		

We, the undersigned residents of the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, oppose the proposed land division and home demolition at 5402 Whitcomb Drive in our neighborhood:

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone
1 Mones tar	Mark P. Angevire	5302 LOR WHA	- May 1,200
Therene Hand	LAWRENCE WINKLER	5306 LONTH TC.	May 1, 2006
3 Jan Pite	C TAMES & STEC	5301 LOBUTH TE	L 273-4829
ekood	Julia Alston	J205 Lanothte	er 661-6466
57301313VAC	Passing.	5206 Lorutha	358-6350
6 James Butche	Bole!	SISY Loruth	278-1180
Molle fordate	Keslei Butcher	5154 LORUNHTER	278-1180
8 Azey L. Nahin	g Carey L Cehriq	5/49 Lorath Ter	273-3946
9 Jan hel	MARIAN PAUL SEC	AL 5137 LOR	UPHTER 271-1075
10 Pai Pat	CHRIS SMIT	H SI30 LORUT	H TER 271-910
11 Monae Kan	einsky MARCE KARPÍN.	5KY 5134 LORUT	HTER 271-5326
	evence MARY JO ANG		TER 371-9594
13 Cnaha Bur	wedl	1109 Gilbert Rd	222-1241
14 Mariano Z	Noide PaxilKa Blaschke	5217 LOTUTATO	27/-9329
15		,	_
1.5			(p

Collected by Jeanne Lawell . On Dates May 1 - 6

We, the undersigned residents of the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, oppose the proposed land division and home demolition at 5402 Whitcomb Drive in our neighborhood:

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a

neignborn	lood such as ours.	.*				
Signature	Name_		Address		Phone	
Bruce H	Burnsid	Bruce H. Bu	ruside 1	109 Gilber	tRd. 2	13-00
2						_
3		,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	
4						_
5			***************************************			_
6						_
7			**************************************			-
8			•			-
9						_
10						_
11						_
12						-
13			-			
14						_
15						_
	0					(2)

Collected by Jeanne Varue T. On Dates May le

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone St
Tom Consig	ny 5313 Whiteon	m6 Dr. Msn 271-	8526 Tanlor
2 Diane Cobb	11026.lbert Rd	Madyan 277-	5756 Deareloff
3 Larry Cobb	1102 6,16ert	120. 27	75756,
	110 Gilbat Pd	•	-0997 Popul
	1130 Gilbert Rd		271-4573
6 Marilya Dode	d 1130 Gilbert Rd	Madison	211-4573
7 DE Hidebrano	C 1134 Gilbert Rd	Madison	271-4857
8 Same Whildebrand	1134 Gilbert Rd	Madison, WI 53711	n
			234-0696
10 Michael Corrac	1202 Cybert PL	MADISON WI 53711	274-0626
11 Jan Corradin		Medison 53711	274-0626
\wedge	1222 Gilbert Rd.	Madison 53711	271-0806
13			
14			
15	\		
	,		(4
Collected by Jon (on Di	ately May 1, 82	Page of

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this
 neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a
 neighborhood such as ours.

U	hood such as				
Signature	<u>N</u>	ame	Address		Phone
1 Gear	Consign	4 JEAN CONSIG	NY 5313 W	hitanub	271.8526
2 Put	Jos 1	A JEAN CONSIG Curt Staats	1217 61	bert Rd.	274-3636
3 Sue R	Darica	Sue Barica	1101 Gil	bert Rd	271-0974
4 Mann S	(net	Marian Piekarca	ykuos Cill	pert Rd.	244-8112
5 Hand 1	Men	APTHUR HANSI	MOEN 11296	1LBania)	276-7662
6 lary	Mod	Terry Moe	N 1129 Gi	1 bert Rd	276-7662
7)		- All All All All All All All All All Al	
8					MANAGEM AND
9					
10				AND THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON	
11					
12				and the second s	
13					
14					
15					/0
Collected by	Gran Co	mignif . On	Dates Miy 2	, 3, 4 206	Page of

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood. We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone	
	lingboe ANN EL			1752
2 Seen A.	Fluck Ellen D.	Fluck 5302	Hammersley Re	271 7876
3 Brent Mide	elat Brent Mide	(for + 5310 Han	umersley PQ 27	6-5558
4.1.8.60	Michael G	4 14 150 5401 Hita	MEW/EY RD, 537	11
5/Barbara		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	13 Hannersl	271798
6 Jay Rhad	Is JAY RHOOD	ES 5309 Hami	MERSLEY 277-798	32/100
7 Cora Chen	COYA CHE	V #5214 H	14mmersley 274-8	746
	Bign Kre			
	- X155 El	_	/A	i
10 a. ashma		N 5202 A		
11 Hubert C.	ashman HUBER	T ASI+MAN 5202 1	fammersley to	d. 271-6631
12 hat Sch	estan ART 8	4 11 LTHE 5/1	a HUBIMMERSLES	YKD
13 June 1.1	B_ James	J. Braun 5102 H	ammersley Rd	271-0119
14 Sara Br	oun Sara	J. Brown 5102 H	ammulsley to 2	11-0119
collected by Lean &	tung Dean Arny		((b

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

neighborhood such	as ours.		
Signature	Name	Address	Phone
1 The Contini	John C. Jenkins	SADGLAHEOND Dr	273-3747
2 John Regar	JOHN REGAN	1205 LOENTH ER	273-4747
3 Susan Deffa	M SUSAN TEFANY	1709 LOIWTh	Z748ZZJ
4 Han Ruse	Alan Rudie	1214 Lorath Ten	310 9444
5 RM IN	Robert Gosselin	1210 Loruth Ter	270-6254
6 Sury Macia	GESACE V. BAUER	5102 KORUTH TERR	171-5452
7 Mayo Do Parman	MARY TO PARMAN	5114 LORUTH TER	270-9955
8 Genes Capin	JAMES KAPINUS	51/g Louth	345-9598
9 Ook of Relley	JOHN Kelley	5105 LORUTH	271-4496
10 Robert E. Donn	ek Helen C. Domek	5/17 Loruth	271-3554
11 Type Jupien	Will Tinalupiczow	lec 5121 cornta	204-9972
12 Kathlier B. Mor	genthater	5/25 Louth Je	N 271-2688
13 Bill Sumpsion	Ü	5149 Whitcomb	2-274-1636
14			
15			
Collected by	C. Jenkins . On D.	ates April 29, 2006 April 30, 2606	Page of \

We, the undersigned residents of the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, oppose the proposed land division and home demolition at 5402 Whitcomb Drive in our neighborhood:

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

Signature	Name	Address	Phone
Myrich. Russel	INGRID RUSSEL	5310 WHITCOMS DR	<u>\$71-1789</u>
2 Jouise Branden	burg Louise BRANDE	Mary 5362"	271-574
3 Homers Brand			,
		rmgn 1806 Lewon Dri	211-2059
5 Mary 10/h	St 5202 W	retions SCHILTZ	6082715B)
6 Karen laughel	7 COURTLAND	CIR. RAYSHEL	242-9643
		7 Courtland Circle	<u>242-9643</u>
	6 Courtand Cir	Connie Verhalen	211-3269
9 Cargo alder	son 5/58 Whiteon	LAU. ALDERSON	271-4137
- A	son 5,58 Whites	of Sn. ALDERSON	271-4137
/ /	vela 5150/philion	N N DADATAS	171-7239
12 Korr Hale		IB DR HALLE	273-3850
l = 1	gli 5126 Whiter	my presence	277-9012
7,00	Shi 5410 Whiten	JODY HICINSKI	373-3442
15 While 1	/ Junkin 5410Wh.	Framb DEHICHAR	712 1069
rvVV	V		1-

Collected by MIGRAD Russal , On Dates \$4 4-30-06

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

Signature	Name ED WIRKA	Address	Phone
	5426 WHITCOMB DR		
	LINDAS. MOORE		
3 Gard Malizio	Coul Mulying	5 Court land Circl	6 274-6090
11 1100 166	VI llaver Hopel	5 Contlant Civ.	274-6080
5 Judy Wera	P Judy Wence	1 5/29whitcom	b Dr 271-5114
6 Belleuc	D Bruce E. Wence	el 5/29 whiteou	b Dr. 271-5114
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			-
luco.x	RUSSEL , On D	5-02-06	Page of
Collected by ///(S/O/1)	10914, 000	ales 6 0 0 0 0	1 agc 01

We, the undersigned residents of the Orchard Ridge neighborhood, oppose the proposed land division and home demolition at 5402 Whitcomb Drive in our neighborhood:

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.
- We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.

neighborhoo	d such as ours.			
Signature	Name	Address		Phone
1 John Male	20/2 Yd	Address 4 Courtle	and Circle	2714122
2 Ayler Town	have Thyllis LT	155kage 5/10 Win	tomb Dr.	271-6271
3 Marney	Muy Shunnon	Freil S409 WI	ritcomb Mr	608.354.5927
4 Man 18 Age	Diane Ho	crington 5210 Wh	ition by.	271-7603
5 G An Malle	E Scott Har	ringles 5210 whi	Lcomb Dr.	771-7603
6 Aliane Cer	ralanto Drana E	Escalante 5/53 Wh	ctomb Dr.	274-5421
Tore CTra	laute Jonge Es	CALANTE 5153 Whi	tromb Dn	274-5421
8 Beer Har	my Becky Ho	eppeger 5118 W	nitcomb Dr	661-4298
Patiller	Patrick	enneger 5118 W	notcomb Dr	661-4298
10 Ronalda Z	lly RONALD A.	TILLEY 5109 WHI	TCOMB De	273-1244
11 Sandra K	Telley Sandra K	K.Tilley 5109 WI	nitcomb Dr.	273 1244
12	John Hall	gershilm 5413 ph	Hangy/	271-6914
13 Edoch (any Edith +	Arny 540ilu	listanh D	V 271-7627
14 Deane	C. atry Dez	nelfrny 540 Wh	itcom b Dr	271-7427
15				
	0.0		12 22/2/ 2	
Collected by	700 NA)	On Dates $5-0$. <i>02.03/1/1,</i> P	age of

Mary Danis - autrost

- Land division of this property into three parcels is entirely inconsistent with the unique character of this 50+ year old, established neighborhood with large lots and varied housing. The average lot size in our immediate neighborhood of 108 lots is 26,094 sq. ft. The proposal will create 3 lots of approximately 10,899 sq ft. which is inconsistent with our neighborhood. We strongly believe that the City of Madison should preserve the nature of this neighborhood so that future families can have the opportunity, if they wish, to live in a neighborhood such as ours.
- We are concerned that this proposal may set a precedent for further erosion of the unique nature of our neighborhood. In our area there are 22 lots which could be divided under City Zoning requirements: 15 into 2 lots and 7 into 3 lots. The land division should not be permitted, and the lot should be retained as a single lot allowing one single-family home.

Signature	Name /	Address	Phone
1 Barbara	W McLeod		
2/6 My /1)	PA UL MCLEO	> 5209 White	371/ ₅ 271-5300
3 Man Moli	David Denig-Cl	nko (5305	Whitenb 274-6497
/ /	/ 1 1		305 Whiten 6 Dr. 274-649
Sil, Dy	MIFILLITO	WICZ SZDIWL	utcomb 2732167
	Rob Strong	· ·	
57 May At	MARY STR	00D 5203 Wh	it comb 274-4270
8 18 Sill	M Sandy Toh	KICH 5205 W.	6. temb Dr. 274-4263
9 C C	-12 CORISA	JONKUN 5145	WHITCOMB 219599
			tcomb Dr Madis 271870
			Courtland Cirele
			Madison, Q 53711
mpe			(0
collected b	Mary Denis-Chuk	off May 1-5%	06