PROPOSED AGENDA

Tuesday, September 28, 2010

4:30 PM

119 E. Olin Ave.

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

PUBLIC COMMENT

NEW BUSINESS

1. xxxxxx Monitoring Reports Received Since Last Meeting (Greg, 10 minutes)

Motion indicating that the board has received, read, and evaluated the
General Manager's Report and believes the report does (or does not)

demonstrate reasonable interpretation of board policy then in force.

2. xxxxxx Consent Agenda (Greg, 10 minutes)

Item 1 Item 2 Item 3

3. xxxxxx Board Education: Ten Attributes of Effectively Managed Water Sector

Utilities (Greg, 45 min)

What are the "Ten Attributes"?

How might they fit into the board's discussion of Outcomes policies?

4. xxxxxx Outcomes Policy Discussion (Greg, 60 minutes)

Who are the utility's "owners" and who are its "stakeholders?"

What is the utility here for—to create what benefit for whom at what cost? What additional information do we need to prepare Outcomes policies? What processes might the board use to engage owners to ensure that

Outcomes reflect their values?

Who will lead the continuation of this discussion at the next meeting?

5. xxxxxx Board Self-Evaluation (insert name here, 30 minutes)

In relation to our Board Process and Board–Executive Delegation policies, how did we do between meetings and at this meeting? In relation to our process design, what worked? What didn't work? Do any of these answers point to a need for support/training? If so, what

support and when?

PROPOSED AGENDA

Tuesday, October 26, 2010 4:30 PM 119 E. Olin Ave.

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

PUBLIC COMMENT

NEW BUSINESS

1.	xxxxxx	Monitoring Reports Received Since Last Meeting (Greg, 10 minutes) Motion indicating that the board has received, read, and evaluated the General Manager's Report and believes the report does (or does not) demonstrate reasonable interpretation of board policy then in force .
2.	xxxxxx	Consent Agenda (Greg, 10 minutes) Item 1 Item 2 Item 3

3. xxxxxx Outcomes Policy Discussion (insert name here, 60 minutes)
What new information has been collected since the last meeting?
What Outcomes policies are we ready to create?
What questions remain?

4. xxxxxx Board Rehearsal: Avoiding Typical Pitfalls (Greg, 30 minutes)

Build board skill by responding to a hypothetical (yet typical) scenario

Compare answers and learn

5. xxxxxx Board Self-Evaluation (insert name here, 30 minutes)
In relation to our Board Process and Board–Executive Delegation
policies, how did we do between meetings and at this meeting?
In relation to our process design, what worked? What didn't work?
Do any of these answers point to a need for support/training? If so, what support and when?

PROPOSED AGENDA

Tuesday, November 23, 2010

4:30 PM

119 E. Olin Ave.

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

PUBLIC COMMENT

NEW BUSINESS

1. xxxxxx Monitoring Reports Received Since Last Meeting (Greg, 10 minutes)

Motion indicating that the board has received, read, and evaluated the
General Manager's Report and believes the report does (or does not)

demonstrate reasonable interpretation of board policy then in force.

2. xxxxxx Consent Agenda (Greg, 10 minutes)

Item 1 Item 2 Item 3

3. xxxxxx Outcomes Policy Adoption (Greg, 10 minutes)

Motion to rescind temporary Outcomes policy adopted in August and adopt new Outcomes policies developed in September and October.

4. xxxxxx General Manager's Initial Policy Interpretations (Greg, 1 hr 20 minutes)

Is this a reasonable interpretation of our words?

If not, request a new interpretation by a particular date.

If so, can we live with this interpretation?

If not, do we need to revise the policy?

Is the GM's recommendation for monitoring data and timing sufficient?

If so, begin to build the board's monitoring calendar.

If not, request additional data, monitoring types, or frequency.

5. xxxxxx Board Self-Evaluation (insert name here, 30 minutes)

In relation to our Board Process and Board–Executive Delegation policies, how did we do between meetings and at this meeting? In relation to our process design, what worked? What didn't work? Do any of these answers point to a need for support/training? If so, what

support and when?